FIRST ITEM ON THE AGENDA

Approval of the minutes of the 309th Session of the Governing Body

1. The draft minutes of the 309th Session were distributed to allow Members who wished to make corrections to the summary of their own interventions to submit these to the Office.

2. At midday on Monday, 21 March, the time fixed for receipt of corrections, the following had been received:

   In paragraph 20, in the seventh line, after the acronym “ILO”, add a sentence as follows: “She did not support development of a Recommendation on policy coherence.” In the ninth line, replace the words “While she supported the future development of a Recommendation on social security” by “While she looked forward to relevant recommendations on social security.”.

   In paragraph 63, in the first and second lines, delete the words from “the group” down to “proposal.” In the fourth line, insert “IMEC” between the third and fourth words of the line.

   In paragraph 119, fourth sentence, amend the words “existing tools such as the code of practice, and of technical assistance guidelines” to read “existing tools such as the code of good practice, and technical assistance guidelines …”.

   In paragraph 205, in the second line, after the word “Ambassador”, delete the words “of Myanmar”.

   In paragraph 207, in the fourth line, after the word “Government”, delete the words “of Myanmar”.

   In paragraph 217, in the third line, add after the words “Convention No. 29”, the following words: “and, aware that further work needed to be done, she stressed the spirit of cooperation and shared work established between the International Labour Organization and the Government of Myanmar”.

   In paragraph 344, in the second line, replace the words “was aware” by “noted”.

   In paragraph 373, in the first line, after the words “The speaker”, add the following: “stressed that his Government rejected and investigated any act of violence perpetrated against persons living in his country and therefore”. In the seventh line, after the word “matters”, add the following: “with a view to throwing light on the incident, identifying its perpetrators and bringing them to justice.” Replace the last sentence of the paragraph with the following sentences: “On 12 November 2010, the Government had again informed the International Labour Standards Department, the Committee on Freedom of Association and the Office of the Director-General of the ILO that two individuals had also been arrested on 10 November 2010 for their direct involvement in the incident, who belonged to a criminal group given over to theft.”
They had been brought before the courts and justice was taking its course. His Government thus refuted the unfounded suggestion that these acts of violence were linked to the public institutions and high representatives of the Venezuelan State.”

In paragraph 374, in the third line, after “supervisory bodies,” insert the words “some of which had not been analysed and”. In the 14th line, after the acronym “FEDECAMARAS”, continue the sentence as follows: “which dealt with the same issues as those referred to in the article 26 complaint.” In the next line, replace the words “The Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela” by the following phrase: “The speaker stated that the use of the complaint mechanism was being reduced to a political strategy to discredit the Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, diminishing the supervisory mechanisms of the ILO, and”.

In paragraph 379, in the second line, put a full stop after the words “Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela” and begin the following sentence with the words: “She stressed the fact that the Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela had sent abundant information, replies and observations to the ILO supervisory bodies, with regard to progress and results attained in the country”. In the third line, after the sentence ending “examined”, add the following sentences: “She considered that prejudgements should be avoided. The replies and the information submitted by the Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela should be carefully analysed. The tripartite nature of the ILO, also applied in the decision-making process, must be respected.” In the fourth line, replace the words “State concerned” by “implicated State”. In the fifth line, replace the words “was contrary to the spirit of cooperation” with the words: “would deflect the Governing Body from the road of cooperation”. At the end of the paragraph, after the words “proposed measures”, add the words: “against the Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.”

In paragraph 397, in the third line, after the word “reply”, add the words: “from the Government, dated 9 November 2010,”.

In paragraph 402, in the fifth line, replace the word “article” by the word “paragraph”, and replace the last sentence with the following sentence: “The speaker proposed that paragraph 4 of the document under discussion should not be adopted.”

In paragraph 407, replace the second sentence as follows: “He opposed the manner in which this matter had been brought before the Governing Body. The Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela continued to show a positive attitude, and was prepared to supply further information regarding Case No. 2254, at present being examined by the Committee on Freedom of Association, thus complying with its commitment to the ILO.”

In paragraph 409, in the second line, replace the words “a number of delegations” by “a number of Government members of the Governing Body” and at the end of the paragraph, after the words “Office paper”, add the following words: “and to the adoption of this decision without tripartite consensus, in violation of paragraph 24 of the Compendium of rules applicable to the Governing Body.”

3. The Governing Body may no doubt wish to approve the minutes of the 309th Session, as amended.

Geneva, 21 March 2011

Point for decision: Paragraph 3