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If there is one global issue that today requires social dialogue, labour migration is it. Labour migration requires social dialogue today, and will require more social dialogue tomorrow, because globalization is leading people, and will continue to lead people, to change their countries of work in their legitimate quest for survival and a better life for themselves and their families.

Why does migration require social dialogue? To answer this question we have to examine two other sub questions: “Is migration a labour issue?” and “How can trade unions play a role?”

Let us examine the first question.

If one looks at the information contained in the present manual and evidenced by studies prepared by the International Labour Organization and global unions, one would rapidly come to the conclusion that: migrant workers face undue hardships and abuse in the form of low wages, poor working conditions, virtual absence of social protection, denial of freedom of association and workers’ rights, discrimination and xenophobia, as well as social exclusion. Too often migrant workers are used (and abused) as a source of cheap labour.

Besides the problems faced by migrant workers, their sacrifices and contributions to the economies of their host and origin countries too often remain unaccounted for. Yet migrant workers generate tremendous benefits to both.

Clearly, migration is first and foremost a labour issue: it is about the movement of workers, crossing borders to find employment; it is about equal treatment for these workers, about their conditions, and their rights.

To make sure migration gives its full positive impact, the ILO offers three answers:

Migration flows should be managed through tripartite consensus, not only at the national level but also at the regional and global levels. The ILO and its constituents are best placed to adopt policies reconciling labour migration flows and labour market needs.

To avoid undue pressure on existing wages and conditions, migrant workers’ rights to equal treatment should be respected. The ILO has two international Conventions making provisions for this. These Conventions, together with the UN Convention on migrant workers should be promoted. Trade unions have a responsibility to promote the ratification of these Conventions and ensure they are implemented.

To avoid the persistence of irregular migration and trafficking, legal avenues should gradually be offered to potential migrant workers.

This rights-based approach has been developed in the ILO’s Multilateral Framework on Labour Migration which was adopted for publication by the ILO Governing Body at its 295th Session in March 2006. We invite readers of this manual to consult the ILO Multilateral Framework¹ as a tool for social dialogue on migration issues. This framework is a non-binding tripartite instrument aimed at governments, employers, and trade unions.

This manual is about strengthening the trade union movement’s capacity to participate in the shaping of migration policies, promoting sound labour migration practices, reaching out to migrant workers; about making sure that the benefits of migration, when it occurs, are maximized for all: for the countries of origin of migrant workers, for the countries of destination, and for both migrant and non-migrant workers.

Indeed, the first concern would be to reduce the Decent Work deficit in the countries of origin. We need to make sure that people can find Decent Work in their home countries. Promoting good governance, combating poverty, and increasing development assistance therefore form part of the migration equation.

As the UN Secretary-General said in a report on migration and development published in 2006, “Subject to treaty obligations and those deriving from customary international law, a State has the sovereign right to decide who enters and who stays in its territory and under what conditions.” But he rightly added “Furthermore, under customary and conventional international law, states have the obligation to uphold the fundamental rights of all human beings”. In other words, states have the right to decide who enters their country, but once somebody is in a territory, it is up to that country to ensure that the person’s basic human rights are respected, regardless of his or her status.

In addition, international law also recognizes that once an employment relationship has been established, the worker concerned – regardless of his/her status – “becomes a rights’ holder entitled to the full panoply of labour and employment rights available to authorized workers.”

Why should trade unions take the lead in promoting a rights-based approach to labour migration?

Firstly, trade unions believe in human rights. The UN has recently invited its member States to “acknowledge trade unionists as human rights defenders”. The central notion of human rights is the implicit assertion that certain principles are true and valid for all peoples, in all societies, under all conditions of economic, political and ethnic or cultural rights.

Human rights are universal. They apply to all, including migrant workers, regardless of their status. For trade unions, the priority is to protect human rights and in particular human rights at work. This is another good reason to develop a rights-based approach to labour migration.

A third reason is that protecting the rights of migrant workers is the best way to protect the rights of national workers and avoid attempts to place migrant and national workers in competition with each other, as this would only serve the interests of unscrupulous employers looking for cheap labour.

There is a fourth, more down to earth reason for promoting a rights-based approach to labour migration: migrant workers are also potential members of trade union organizations. Reaching out and organizing migrant workers into trade unions will only be possible if unions are seen as actively promoting the rights of migrant workers.

---


Preface

This manual has been developed over a four-year period since the general discussion on migrant workers that took place at the International Labour Conference (ILC) in 2004. It has benefited from inputs from trade union and ILO experts on migration. The first drafts were tested by trade unionists in different regions during specific validation exercises. Their contribution has enriched the document.

Our special thanks go to Stirling Smith, ACTRAV’s consultant, who drafted the manual and adapted it, integrating the comments and suggestions at the different stages of development of the manual and to Lesley Walker from ACTRAV who patiently helped with keeping the document in shape as the adaptations were integrated. Without the contribution and encouragement of the team in the ILO’s International Migration Branch (MIGRANT), colleagues in the ILO’s International Labour Standards Department (NORMES) and in the ILO’s Bureau for Workers’ Activities (ACTRAV) at headquarters in Geneva, in Turin and in the field, and senior trade union experts from Global Union Federations (GUFs), the International Trade Union Confederation (ITUC) and national trade union centres, this manual would not have seen the light of day.

It clearly has been the work of a team. And we thank all those who made it happen.

Trade unions CAN make a difference when it comes to promoting and defending workers’ rights, social justice, and equality. They CAN make a difference in promoting the ratification of ILO Conventions and their subsequent implementation.

This manual cannot, and should not, replace the enthusiasm and commitment of union activists - both migrant and non-migrant. What the manual aims to do is provide solid analysis of the issues at stake, practical suggestions for actions and ways of making full use of the ILO instruments, its standards and supervisory mechanisms. Decent Work for migrant workers means Decent Work for all workers.

It is our hope that this manual will help those who have taken up the challenge to continue to promote the rights of migrant workers, and will also encourage others to join this fight.

Dan Cunniah
Director
ILO Bureau for Workers’ Activities (ACTRAV)
cunniah@ilo.org

Luc Demaret
Focal Point on Migrants
ILO Bureau for Workers’ Activities (ACTRAV)
demaret@ilo.org
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People have always moved from one country to another, or within countries, in search of a better life, and sometimes simply to survive, when they have to flee poverty, natural disasters, civil war or persecution. In fact, the ILO's Constitution, through its annexed Philadelphia Declaration affirms that “All human beings irrespective of race, creed or sex, have the right to pursue both their material well-being and their spiritual development in conditions of both freedom and dignity, of economic security and equal opportunity.”

In 2008 it is estimated that more than 191 million migrants, including migrant workers, refugees, asylum-seekers, permanent migrants and others, live and work in a country other than that of their birth or citizenship. The ILO calculates that about 95 million of them have moved to find work. With their families, migrant workers account for 90 per cent of total international migrants.

Migration takes place from developing countries to more developed countries, but also within and between developing countries. Fully 40 per cent of international migrants move between poor countries.

Migrating for work includes men and women. Globally women make up close to 50 per cent of migrant workers. There are slightly more female than male migrants in all regions of the world except Africa and Asia.

In search of Decent Work

“Globalization has accentuated the unevenness of development between countries and thereby generated significant pressure for the movement of labour ... with rising globalization, migratory pressures will most likely increase”.4 The gap between rich countries with labour shortages and poor countries without enough jobs for all those who want them has grown. Combined with ageing populations in many developed countries, this means migration is likely to continue. However, as the global population is also increasing, the percentage of migrants within the world’s population is expected to decline. The ILO can play a central role in promoting policies to maximize the benefits and minimize the risks of work-based migration.

Resolution concerning a fair deal for migrant workers in a global economy

---

population will, according to United Nations (UN) estimates by the United Nations (UN), remain the same – around 3 per cent.

There is a growing global jobs crisis. Unemployment in terms of people with no work at all is at a record level of 192 million – 6 per cent of the global workforce. And this figure does not take into account the huge numbers in the informal economy, where working conditions, as well as the financial rewards, are usually poor.

Discrimination, exploitation, and trafficking all pose challenges to trade unions as workers’ human rights are threatened. Abuses of migrant workers’ rights also affect the rights and conditions of national workers.

For many, migrating for work may be a rewarding and positive experience, but for an unacceptably large proportion of migrants, working conditions are abusive and exploitative, and may be characterized by forced labour, low wages, poor working environment, a virtual absence of social protection, the denial of freedom of association and union rights, discrimination and xenophobia, as well as social exclusion, all of which rob workers of the potential benefits of working in another country. The development of labour institutions for the protection of migrant workers has lagged behind the growth of migration.

The ILO’s mandate and migrant workers

Since 1919, the ILO has maintained and developed a system of international labour standards aimed at promoting opportunities for women and men to obtain decent work in conditions of freedom, equity, security, and dignity. In today’s globalized economy, international labour standards, and the setting of new standards, are an essential component in establishing an international framework for ensuring that the growth of the global economy provides benefits to all.

The ILO has been concerned since its foundation with the condition of migrant workers since its foundation. The preamble of the ILO Constitution refers to the need for the “protection of the interests of workers when employed in countries other than their own”. The ILO’s second Recommendation, adopted in 1919, was about migrant workers. The Declaration of Philadelphia (1944), part of the ILO Constitution, demonstrates the continuing concern with migrant workers.

---

1 “Dealing with the Global Jobs Crisis”, Opinion piece by Juan Somavia (Director-General of the International Labour Office) at the World Economic Forum, Davos (23 January 2006).


Two key ILO Conventions: – Convention No. 97 Migration for Employment (Revised), (1949) (No. 97) and Convention No. 143 Migrant Workers (Supplementary Provisions), (1975) (No. 143) – deal specifically with the protection of migrant workers. While all ILO Conventions, unless otherwise stated, apply to migrant workers, some are particularly relevant, such as those ILO standards in the areas of fundamental rights, social security, employment, conditions of work, and occupational safety and health.

The ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work of 1998 also reaffirmed its concern for migrant workers:

… the ILO should give special attention to the problems of persons with special social needs, particularly the unemployed and migrant workers, and mobilize and encourage international, regional and national efforts aimed at resolving their problems, and promote effective policies aimed at job creation.

The ILO approach

In 2004, a general tripartite discussion was held at the International Labour Conference (ILC) on migrant workers. At the Conference the ILO proposed a rights-based approach to labour migration founded on a fundamental respect for human rights, norms and principles and the application of labour standards and based on equality of treatment and non-discrimination.

One of the conclusions adopted by the ILC was the preparation of a plan of action which provides for a wider application of the ILO’s migrant workers’ Conventions and the adoption of an ILO Multilateral Framework on Labour Migration – non-binding principles and guidelines for a rights-based approach to labour migration. Drawn up by a Group of Experts, the Framework consists of 15 principles and was approved at the March 2006 session of the ILO Governing Body.8

In substance, the ILO Multilateral Framework, which was widely supported by the trade union movement, proposes a rights-based policy on migration based on the following:

• Migration policies should be formulated through tripartite consensus, not only at national level but also at regional and global level, promoting cooperation between the same partners in countries of origin and countries of destination.

• To avoid undue pressure on existing wages and conditions, migrant workers’ rights to equal treatment should be respected. The ILO has two international Conventions making provisions for this. These should be promoted together with the UN Convention on migrant workers (see Section 5 in this Manual).

• Legal avenues should gradually be offered to potential migrant workers, acknowledging existing labour market needs. This would contribute to reducing irregular migration and trafficking.


ACTRAV\(^a\), the ILO’s Bureau for Workers’ Activities, organized an extensive consultation regarding migrant workers with workers’ organizations before and after the 2004 ILC. It was apparent that while many trade unions were actively defending migrant workers’ rights, it was felt that there was a need for a solid analysis of the subject that was up to date, covered all the issues, and was yet accessible enough to use by union activists in their campaigns for migrant workers’ rights.

This manual is an attempt to fill that need.

**Why this Manual?**

ACTRAV\(^a\), the ILO’s Bureau for Workers’ Activities, organized an extensive consultation regarding migrant workers with workers’ organizations before and after the 2004 ILC. It was apparent that while many trade unions were actively defending migrant workers’ rights, it was felt that there was a need for a solid analysis of the subject that was up to date, covered all the issues, and was yet accessible enough to use by union activists in their campaigns for migrant workers’ rights.

This manual is an attempt to fill that need.

**About this manual**

The aims of this manual are to assist trade unionists to:

- Understand that migration and the rights of migrant workers are an important issue for trade unions;
- Develop a gender-sensitive trade union perspective and approach to the issue of migrant workers, understanding the difficulties and differences women and men face in the labour market;
- Organize migrant workers – regardless of their legal status or work in the formal or informal part of the economy;
- Defend migrant workers’ rights, including using international and national law to defend migrant workers.

**Defending the rights of migrant workers = protecting the rights of all workers!**

**Who is this manual for?**

- Union office bearers and national committee members.
- Trade union activists.
- Educators/education committees.
- Union legal staff/lawyers.
- Other users interested in defending migrant workers’ rights.

**How can this manual be used?**

There are different ways of using the manual:

- Working through it, in a union meeting, together as a team of union office bearers or active members. Following-up the suggestions, making plans, organizing events, and actions.
- In a workshop/seminar/course – but the manual is not solely meant for use in an education programme.
- As a source of reference – keep referring to it – it is not something you read through once and then put it away.

\(^a\) http://www.ilo.org/public/english/dialogue/actrav/
Above all, this manual is a tool to be used and it aims to integrate migrant workers as a cross-cutting issue for the trade union movement. This manual should be used as a working document. It may stimulate action points or questions. Record these points and then take the appropriate action.

The structure of the manual is:

Section 1: Why is labour migration a trade union issue?
This Section sets the scene, introduces the issues, and establishes some key trade union principles. It explains why labour migration is a priority for trade unionists.

Section 2: The working and living conditions of migrant workers
This Section discusses the ways that migrant workers are exploited and discriminated against. It shows how they are often placed in the most dangerous, difficult and degrading jobs.

Section 3: Facts and figures about migrant workers
This Section provides information about why men and women migrate for employment. It provides definitions of such terms such as “illegal/irregular/undocumented migration”, “refugees”, “trafficking, people-smuggling” and tries to dispel some of the myths that surround migration.

Section 4: Migrant workers and the global economy
Migrant workers make an important contribution to the economy of their host country and their country of origin. The remittances of migrant workers are an essential source of foreign exchange for many countries. This also comes at a cost, as many countries lose some of their highly skilled workers.

Section 5: The rights of migrant workers
This Section is about the international standards that should regulate migration and protect workers. Many laws follow a “controlled migration” approach to abuses of migrant workers, not a rights-based approach. This Section summarizes the rights of migrant workers.

Section 6: What next: the role of trade unions
This Section describes the many campaigns, policies and organizing carried out by unions in both countries of origin and destination countries, and the national and global networking between unions and with other organizations. At the same time, it shows that much can still be done. A trade union approach to protecting the rights of migrant workers is different to a legal or NGO approach. A trade union approach is about solidarity, not charity. This Section also contains a Migration Glossary and where to find more information on migrant workers - publications and web sites.

Additional resources
Learning exercises and activities in each Section illustrate how the Manual can be used in education programmes.
Why is labour migration a trade union issue?

Section 1 at a glance
This Section details why trade unions have to have a say in labour migration policies, and the unique role they can play in ensuring that migration benefits all: the country of origin, the country of destination, and both the migrant worker and the non-migrant worker. This Section also explains some of the reasons for labour migration and the problems migrant workers encounter.
Section 1 - Why is labour migration a trade union issue?

Migrant workers are workers
Migrant workers, regardless of their status, are first of all workers. As such, they have rights, including freedom of association – the right to form or join a trade union. It is also important to remember that, unless specified otherwise, all standards adopted by the ILO apply to migrant workers.

Too often, labour migration is linked to exploitation, the extreme cases of which can be trafficking and child labour.

Trade unions are in the business of protecting all workers, not just their members. This is why trade unions fight for equality, for decent work for all, for social protection and against child labour or forced labour, although not all these workers will be union members. This is because trade unions care for society as a whole, and of course the higher their membership the higher their capacity to influence.

An injury to one is an injury to all is one of the best-known trade union slogans and is the main reason for considering labour migration as a trade union issue. As migrant workers are among the most vulnerable groups of workers, they are in need of particular attention in organizing efforts, and at the negotiating table. For trade unions, migrant labour concerns rights, equality, equal treatment and equal opportunity; it concerns health and safety at work, jobs, vocational training, social security, and union organization.

All groups of migrant workers need assistance – and their best chance is with a trade union.

Decent Work deficit
The principal reason why workers decide to move from one country to another to work is the search for Decent Work, because of the lack of Decent Work opportunities at home. Too many workers have been left behind by globalization.

While globalization has produced many benefits, these have not been evenly or fairly distributed. Inequalities between countries and within countries are growing. Inequalities and injustice are union concerns. And the historic role of trade unions in fighting poverty everywhere is an important contribution to reducing migration pressures and ensuring that when migration occurs, it is out of choice and not for mere survival.

However, labour shortages in most of the industrialized countries, together with unemployment and population growth in developing countries, will continue to drive labour migration and it will remain a major source of growth in the global economy.

Promoting Decent Work, and avoiding a situation where governments and employers resort to migration as a source of cheap labour or as a means of regulating labour markets without granting migrant workers rights, or in an attempt to undermine existing rights for all workers, will continue to require special attention by the trade union movement.
Section 1 - Why is labour migration a trade union issue?

A source of strength and survival for the trade union movement

Beyond the moral obligation of rights and solidarity, one very good reason for recruiting and organizing migrant workers is to provide “new blood” for the trade union movement. Existing unions can revitalize their membership by organizing migrants. Labour market restructuring in the developed economies has meant that trade union membership and density have shrunk in many once highly unionized sectors. The average age of union members has risen.

Recruiting members and organizing in sectors traditionally outside the union movement – including those where many migrant workers can be found – has become critical to the survival and growth of the trade union movement. Indeed, many trade unions are now targeting migrant workers, which increasingly include undocumented migrant workers in their recruitment drives. This is why it is important for trade unions to be seen as leaders in promoting the rights-based approach to labour migration. Support for restrictive migration policies and repression of workers who are in an irregular situation will only make potential members of the trade union movement reluctant to join.

In fact, migrant workers are among those who want and need unions and are willing to join. Many of the complaints brought before the ILO concern attempts by migrant workers to organize, and their being denied freedom of association. Migrant workers make up an increasing percentage of the workforce in agriculture, construction, health care and domestic services, electronics, textiles, manufacturing, food processing and the hotel and restaurant trade. These are often industries built on high levels of exploitation, low wages, and poor working conditions.

A rights-based approach to migrant workers is the best way of protecting all workers.

Labour migration is now a major arena for the struggle between labour and capital over the division of wealth, the extent of regulation (or deregulation) of working conditions and worker protection, and the ability of workers to organize themselves into unions. What happens to migrant workers may well be the precedent for what happens more widely across working populations.

Globalization has had a dramatic impact on mature industrialized economies. Workers have seen the quality of their jobs decline, as hours and stress have increased and unionization levels fallen. Unscrupulous employers use migrant workers as a “reserve” of workers ostensibly willing to work for less pay, for longer hours, and in worse conditions than national workers, and without the protection of unions.

The present trends of temporary work, precarious contracts, subcontracting, flexible schedules, employee dependence and undeclared work were all tried out first on migrant workers before being extended to all workers.
What is the solution?
The answer is to ensure that labour laws and collective agreements are applied to migrant workers, that labour inspectors make sure they are not exploited, and that migrant workers are organized in the trade union movement. If they are paid the same wages and enjoy the same benefits as national workers, then they cannot be used as a testing ground for ways of undermining national workers.

Particular attention should be paid to undocumented workers. When work becomes irregular, employers have a strong weapon to use against any effort to organize unions or fight for better conditions. When migrant workers are vulnerable, their second-class status is not only used against them, but against other workers as well.

A rights-based approach to labour migration would not only prevent the exploitation of migrant workers, it would also help to fight those unscrupulous employers who want to place national and migrant workers in competition with each other.

Having a voice in policy-making
Governments are sovereign and may decide whom they admit to their territory, providing they meet their international obligations and respect human rights.

But since migration policies are a labour market issue, trade unions have every right to be involved, and their views should be listened to when labour migration policies are being considered. Unions (and employers) are uniquely placed to assess the real labour market needs.

Labour migration is not a law and order issue, nor one of border control. Migrant workers are workers. Trade unions are interested in the conditions of workers and the world of work.

Government policies on labour migration are often based on short-term considerations and electoral prospects. By promoting a rights-based approach (including the ratification of international instruments to protect migrant workers), trade unions are promoting a more stable and predictable migration process, which can maximize the benefits for all. If we want our say, we have to raise our voices now.
At the global level, the International Trade Union Confederation (ITUC) and regional trade union organizations, as well as Global Union Federations (GUFs) at the sectoral level, have increasingly put concern for migrant workers high on their list of priorities and are campaigning for a rights-based approach.

**Fighting racism and xenophobia**

At the start of the twenty-first century, many countries are becoming multi-cultural, multi-ethnic, multi-racial, multi-lingual, and multi-religious. At the same time, there are increasing manifestations of hostility and violence against non-nationals – migrants, refugees, immigrants, even students and tourists.

Extreme nationalist groups propagate myths about migrant workers. This is a long-standing problem. The ILO’s Migration for Employment Recommendation (Revised), (No. 86), adopted in 1949, asked countries to “take all practical steps ... against misleading propaganda relating to emigration and immigration” (Annex, Article 2).

It should also be noted that those extreme chauvinist groups which raise the issue of migrant workers are never the friends of trade unionism. They seek to divide workers on the basis of race, religion or nationality. Trade unionists know that division is fatal to our cause. These same parties are always in favour of reducing trade union power.

The trade union movement plays a leading role in fighting racism and xenophobia. In December 2007, the International Trade Union Confederation drew up a trade union strategy. Mamounata Cissé, ITUC Deputy General Secretary said: “The fight to combat racism and xenophobia and to promote human rights, equality and diversity forms an integral part of every trade union organization’s mission. Migrants in particular are often exposed to racism.”

**The role of the media**

In Europe, the news about ethnic, cultural and religious minorities and migrants tends to over-emphasize ethnic and immigrant crime .... Once a negative discourse misrepresenting migrants or ethnic minorities is established, it tends to prevail.

Gender discrimination
A significant change in patterns of migration is the increasing percentage of women migrants. In earlier years, women migrated (sometimes with their children) on the basis of "family reunion". They were seen as housewives.

Increasingly, women are migrating for work on their own. They are frequently found in low-paid, "reproductive work" such as domestic work, cleaning services, hospitality, care-giving, and in the health care and hospitality sectors. This work is largely undervalued.

In this increasing “feminization of migration”, families are separated (when a family reunification option is not allowed) and children suffer the most. These are high social costs that are not considered in measuring the benefits of migration.

The trade union movement is committed to the fight against gender discrimination at all levels and this must include the double discrimination that women migrant workers suffer.

Conclusion
Migration for work, or labour migration, is an issue for trade unions because it is part of the world of work. Labour migration concerns rights, equality, equal treatment, and equal opportunity; it concerns health and safety at work, jobs, social security, and union organization. It is linked to trafficking, child labour and exploitation. Labour migration concerns discrimination and vocational training.
All of these are trade union issues. Labour migration is a part of the world of work, a “bread and butter” issue that cannot be ignored. Governments are already putting forward policies and introducing legislation on migrant workers. If we want our say, we have to raise our voices now.

**The task for trade unions**

There are four areas where trade unions can work:

1. Trade unions must become involved in the policy debate about managing migration – so that the benefits are maximized for workers, and the costs minimized. The trade union position is that migration and migrant workers are not “problems” to be dealt with. Migrant workers are people trying to improve their lives and must be treated accordingly.

2. Information and awareness-raising campaigns for workers and the public at the country level. Racism and ethnicity are often used to divide workers. Unions must reach out and inform members and workers about the facts, and fight the myths and lies spread by racist organizations.

3. Cooperation with trade unions in other countries – this must involve unions in both countries of origin and countries of destination of migrant workers. International solidarity is not an option, or even a duty for trade unions. In a globalized world, it is a necessity.

4. Organizing migrant workers. Trade unions know that the best way to protect workers is for them to be organized. Organizing is the key to improvement. There are also many examples, as we shall see later, of trade unions working to support and organize migrant workers.

These four areas and tasks will be discussed more fully in the following Sections.

**Discussion points for Section 1**

- Is migration in your country seen principally as a law and order issue, or as a labour market question?
- Are there any national bodies, whether tripartite or not, that deal with migration?
- Have extremist groups tried to use migration as a way to build support, and have they tried to organize amongst workers? Has the trade union movement responded to any such attempts?
Learning exercises for section 1

Learning exercise:  Why do people move?

Aim: This exercise will help you to think about why people move.

Task: 1. Speak to two or three people you have contact with (friends, family, colleagues, neighbours) who have at some time (or know of others who have) moved from the region or country in which they were born or grew up in.

2. Complete the chart below and be prepared to discuss your findings with the rest of the group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Who (e.g. yourself colleague at work)</th>
<th>Where did they live before; and where they live now?</th>
<th>Why did they move?</th>
<th>What problems has moving solved</th>
<th>What problems has moving created</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


**Section 1 - Why is labour migration a trade union issue?**

**Learning exercise: Trade unions and migrant workers**

**Aim:** To think about trade union policy.

**Task:**

1. Review the reasons in this Section of the manual that make labour migration a trade union issue.

2. Which ones do you think are most important in your context?

3. Can you suggest any other reasons?

**Activity:** Thinking about migrant workers.

**Aim:** To review some of the arguments about migrant workers.

**Task:**

1. Consider the following statements and decide whether you agree or disagree. One person should be selected to report back for the group. Be prepared to give reasons for your answers.

2. “Migrant workers are only working elsewhere for a short time. It is not worth the trouble to organize them.”

3. “Migrant workers will be so desperate to work for any wages, they will be too frightened to organize, in case they lose their jobs or get picked up by the police and deported.”

4. “Those migrant workers who have got into bad situations and those who are trafficked have only themselves to blame for their plight. They should have moved abroad with the proper paperwork.”

5. “Many of our members are actually frightened to lose their jobs to foreign workers. There is a lot of feeling against migrant workers. We cannot adopt a strong position on this question because it will annoy so many of our members.”

6. “Workers from our country who go abroad can look after themselves. There is nothing we can do for them.”
Section 1 - Why is labour migration a trade union issue?
**Section 1 - Why is labour migration a trade union issue?**

**Learning exercise: Migrant labour - Who benefits?**

**Aim:** To think about the advantages and disadvantages of migrating for work from different point of views.

**Task:** 1. In your group, fill in this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From the point of view of...</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An unskilled migrant worker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A skilled migrant worker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers in destination countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governments of countries of origin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governments of destination countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade unions in countries of origin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade unions in destination countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The working and living conditions of migrant workers

Section 2 at a glance
This Section describes the working and living conditions of migrant workers: their migration journeys, the types of jobs they do, the discrimination they encounter - all of which add often up to a bad experience for most migrant workers.
Most industrialized economies would be significantly affected without a large migrant workforce. But do these migrant workers and their families receive a fair deal in return?

The three Ds
Migrant workers often carry out the “3-D” jobs: difficult, dangerous and degrading. Migrant labour has long been utilized in developed and underdeveloped economies as a low-cost means to sustain economic enterprises, and sometimes entire sectors, that are only marginally viable or competitive.

Safety and health at work

Occupational safety and health is an important issue for migrant workers for several reasons:

• Migrant workers tend to be employed in sectors such as agriculture, construction, mining or, for instance, meat processing, which are all known for their high levels of fatal accidents and injuries at work.

• Language and cultural barriers mean that the workers may not easily understand specific occupational safety and health communications, instructions and training approaches.

• Many migrant workers work excessive hours, which can contribute to occupational injuries and work-related diseases. In addition, many migrant workers are obliged to live in makeshift accommodation, shantytowns and substandard accommodation. This can lead to poor general health, which makes migrant workers more vulnerable to occupational diseases.

• As migrant workers are considered to be temporary, employers may not invest in training for them.
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In Europe occupational accident rates are about twice as high for migrant as for non-immigrant workers.10

**Drowned while working in the informal economy**

In February 2004, 23 workers were drowned in Morecambe Bay, North West England, while searching for shellfish in dangerous tidal waters. They were all originally from China and were working without any legal registration and protection. The labour contractor (known as a “gangmaster” in the United Kingdom), provided no advice on avoiding the incoming tides. The UK Government, following pressure from trade unions and public outrage over the deaths, finally passed a law controlling “gangmasters”.

The Gangmasters (Licensing) Act 2004 created two new criminal offences: supplying labour without a licence, and using an unlicensed labour provider. Offenders can face up to ten years in prison.

**Freedom of association**

Many migrant workers are denied the right to join or form trade unions and in some situations this restriction is officially enshrined in legislation. In Kuwait, foreign workers, who make up about 80 per cent of the workforce, must have resided in the country for at least five years and must obtain a certificate of moral standing and good conduct before they are allowed to join trade unions as non-voting members. They are not permitted to run for any trade union posts. The restrictions on the role of foreign workers in trade unions have been removed in the new draft labour law. In Kyrgyzstan, migrant workers are implicitly excluded from labour law. In some countries, there are restrictions on migrant workers holding office in unions – for example – Mauritania, Nicaragua, Rwanda and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela. A small number of trade unions still limit access to migrant workers despite ILO Conventions and should, of course, lift such restrictions.

Legislation should be made flexible so as to permit the organizations to elect their leaders freely and without hindrance, and to permit foreign workers access to trade union posts, at least after a reasonable period of residency in the host country.

*ILO Committee on Freedom of Association, 290th Report, Case No. 1612.*

This is even more of a problem for undocumented migrant workers. A Spanish law that came into effect in 2001 denied trade union rights to undocumented migrant workers. The ILO’s Committee on Freedom of Association ruled that this contravened ILO Convention No. 87 (Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise 1948). Following the ruling of the ILO’s

---

10Hazards at work: Organising for safe and healthy workplaces, TUC, 2005.
ISBN: 978 1 85006 806 8
Committee on Freedom of Association, Spain's Constitutional Court declared such provisions to be unconstitutional, reaffirming the right to freedom of association for all migrant workers. This case is discussed later in Section 5 of this manual.

But it is not simply a matter of the law. A number of unscrupulous employers are attracted to employing migrant workers precisely because they can exploit them. If workers are organized, they are harder to exploit. In many instances, employers can move swiftly against migrant workers. If they are irregular migrant workers, the employer can threaten to report them to the authorities and have them deported. In many countries, an entry visa or work permit will depend on having a named employer. By sacking the worker, the employer can bring about the cancellation of the right to remain.

Linking work permits and entry visas to employment with a named employer is a charter for exploitation. Migrant workers must be allowed to change employers without adverse consequences. ILO Convention No. 143 (Migrant Workers (Supplementary Provisions) (1975)) provides for this right after a reasonable period of time, not exceeding two years.

Wages
The wages of migrants as a whole are lower than those for national workers, which may be partly explained by different levels of skills among migrants, but discriminatory practices do play a role. There are also wage disparities between groups of migrants and, in general, women migrants are worse off.

Discrimination
Discrimination in employment is still widespread in many countries. This takes multiple forms. Discrimination against migrant workers most often occurs on the basis of sex, race, ethnic origin, language, nationality, religion, or migration status.

In 1999, the ILO’s independent Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations (CEACR) noted that:

↩ ... women migrant workers suffer from double discrimination in employment: first because they are foreigners and hence subject to the same discrimination as male migrant workers; and second because they are women and as such often victims of entrenched traditional attitudes in their country of origin or of employment concerning the place of women in society in general and in working life in particular. For example, such social attitudes may affect the right of these women to leave their country of origin without permission from their husband, to engage in certain occupations, to receive an equal wage for work of equal value, to have access to education or training programmes, etc. There is no overlooking the fact that the large majority of women migrant workers are concentrated in “typically female” occupations or sectors, which not only tend to be less well paid than the jobs held by men but are also among those least protected by labour legislation.11
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Unemployment

Unemployment rates for migrant workers are generally higher than for national workers. Migrant men and women are twice as likely to be unemployed as non-migrants according to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)\(^\text{12}\) – the group of rich industrialized countries.

While some would use this fact to support their claim that migrants are dependent on social security, the reason for their unemployment is clearly discrimination. Studies by the ILO show that migrant workers with equal training and equal experience to national workers will not even be granted an interview because their names sound foreign.

Social security

There are often disparities in social security access and coverage for migrant workers. This is particularly true for temporary migrants, seasonal workers, workers in subcontracting, and undocumented migrants. Temporary labour contracts are often used by unscrupulous employers as a

\(^\text{12}\)http://www.oecd.org/
means of avoiding social security liabilities. Many migrant workers actually pay social security contributions but will never receive any benefits.

**Racism and xenophobia**

Migrant workers can face a particular problem of physical attacks and verbal abuse from racists. The examples below could be multiplied many times over.

- In October 2000, the International Confederation of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU) condemned attacks on migrant workers in **Libya**. At least 500 Nigerians were killed and many more injured. They were the victims of attacks by young Libyans targeting black migrants, allegedly after the government ordered a crackdown on foreign employment. The attacks were provoked by news portraying African migrants as being involved in drug-trafficking or dealing in alcohol.13

- In June 2007, a news agency in **Tajikistan** reported that over half a million Tajiks go abroad in search of work and many end up as undocumented migrants in Russia where they are vulnerable to accidents, racist attacks and other crimes. The agency reported that in the first six months of 2007, the bodies of over 100 migrants were brought back to Tajikistan from the Russian Federation.14

- In October 2007, a bus carrying South Asian farm workers was attacked by stones thrown by white males in the province of British Columbia, **Canada**. There have been several attacks in the same area in recent years.15

**HIV/AIDS, reproductive and maternal health**

Migration heightens both risks and vulnerabilities of exposure to HIV/AIDS. One example is migrant mine workers in **South Africa**, who have had high levels of infection as a result of the poor living and working conditions provided by mining companies.

In general, vulnerability to HIV infection is greatest when people live and work in conditions of poverty, social exclusion, loneliness, and anonymity. An ILO paper, examining the relationship between migration for labour and vulnerability to HIV infection, points out:

- For migrants relocating to a new community, social and sexual norms may be different than in their community of origin. Housing may be crowded and limited leisure opportunities encourage the use of alcohol, drugs and commercial and/or casual sex. This may be especially true for workers who are not allowed to migrate with partners or families. In a study of seasonal migrants from Mali and Niger working in Côte d’Ivoire, 90 per cent were married but less than 10 per cent were accompanied by a partner. Without the basic support systems provided by family and community, increased risk-taking behaviour is likely and those risks may then be passed on to the family and community of origin.16
Yet access to treatment and prevention are not always guaranteed for migrant workers. In addition, some countries still require a negative HIV test result as a condition for entry or to secure extensions of a visa. This is contrary to human rights and good practice identified by the ILO’s Code of Practice on HIV/AIDS and the World of Work, and does not help to prevent the spread of the disease.

Gender plays an important role in vulnerability to HIV infection, and female migrant workers are among the most vulnerable to sexual harassment and forced sexual intercourse. An especially vulnerable group are the large numbers of women migrant domestic workers – for example, more than 60 per cent of migrants from Sri Lanka are women, employed primarily in domestic services. They are frequently undocumented, or their papers are taken by the employer or agent; they have few rights and are not usually protected under local laws. They also have little power to refuse sex with their employers.

---

**The ILO Code of Practice on HIV/AIDS and the World of Work**

**Screening does not stop HIV/AIDS**

Screening should not be required of job applicants or persons in employment (Paragraph 4.6).

Testing for HIV should not be carried out at the workplace. It is unnecessary and imperils the human rights and dignity of workers: test results may be revealed and misused, and the informed consent of workers may not always be fully free or based on an appreciation of all the facts and implications of testing. Even outside the workplace, confidential testing for HIV should be the consequence of voluntary informed consent and performed by suitably qualified medical personnel only, in conditions of the strictest confidentiality (Paragraph 8).

**Prohibition in recruitment and employment**

HIV testing should not be required at the time of recruitment or as a condition of continued employment. Any routine medical testing, such as testing for fitness carried out prior to the commencement of employment or on a regular basis for workers, should not include mandatory HIV testing (Paragraph 8.1).

---

Dangerous journeys

Because of government policies that make it difficult for undocumented migrants to move easily, there is a large industry that offers to transport them to “attractive” destinations - at a cost. Workers may have to pay considerable amounts to agents who in some countries are known as people-smugglers or just “hyenas”. Migrants are often moved dangerously. Some have been placed inside containers and have suffocated to death, others put onto ships or boats that have sunk at sea, or simply been abandoned.

- It is estimated that 800 Moroccans drown every year trying to make the crossing into Spain.
- In October 2003, 70 people trying to reach Europe from Libya perished at sea in horrific conditions. They died of hunger and thirst after the boat broke down and drifted for at least 10 days before being spotted by an Italian ship. Only 15 were alive when rescuers reached the corpse-strewn boat.
- A recorded 282 bodies were found on the Mexico–United States border in 2005.

According to a union report:

Mauritania has, for instance, recently become a popular route for undocumented migrants, particularly as a result of the increasing surveillance by Morocco of its coastline and of the Spanish enclaves of Ceuta and Melilla. Mauritania has hit the headlines almost every day, as a result of the macabre listing of undocumented immigrants who have perished at sea or been sent back after attempting to reach Spain. Over 3,000 undocumented immigrants have been intercepted off the Canary Islands since the start of 2006. Between 200 and 1,000 are believed to have drowned, based on differing estimates.18

---

Exploitation on return
Many governments are only concerned with profiting from the hard work of their migrant workers abroad and make no arrangements to support or protect them. On their return they are harassed by immigration and customs authorities.

Indonesia
Legislators reportedly protect the 400 recruitment agencies that generate an estimated US$2 billion a year in revenue by charging migrants US$1,500 each to go abroad, prompting one critic to say that: “Parliament is unlikely to enact laws that go against agencies’ interests.” Some agents reportedly give legislators US$100 for each migrant recruited in their district, and returning migrants complain that when they pass through a special terminal for them in Jakarta, instead of protection they are exposed to government and private agents charging them unauthorized fees and giving them poor exchange rates.


Trafficking in persons
Trafficking in persons (often termed “human trafficking”) is an extreme form of irregular migration. All types of workers are trafficked but women and children are particularly vulnerable. Trafficking in human beings increased dramatically during the 1990s. The ILO estimates that around 2.4 million people have been trafficked into forced labour.19

Much attention has been focused on trafficking into commercial sexual exploitation. But it must be emphasised that people are also trafficked into other forms of labour. Here they may be exposed to dangerous work, excessive working hours, violence, and poverty pay – if any pay at all.

There is a clear human rights’ approach to the issue of trafficking which puts victims first. This is recognized in the UN Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, especially Women and Children. (Note that this is a protocol to a UN Convention against Organized Crime, sometimes called the Palermo Protocol.)20

---
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Under this UN protocol, trafficking is defined as:

- the recruitment, transportation, transfer, harbouring or receipt of persons, by means of the threat or use of force or other forms of coercion, of abduction, of fraud, of deception, of the abuse of power or of a position of vulnerability or of the giving or receiving of payments or benefits to achieve the consent of a person having control over another person, for the purpose of exploitation. Exploitation shall include, at a minimum, the exploitation of the prostitution of others or other forms of sexual exploitation, forced labour or services, slavery or practices similar to slavery, servitude or the removal of organs (Art. 3(a)).

There are a number of ILO Conventions dealing specifically or implicitly with trafficking. The Migrant Workers (Supplementary Provisions) Convention, 1975 (No. 143) requires measures to be taken against labour trafficking (Article 5), and The Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention, 1999 (No. 182) defines the trafficking of children as one of the worst forms of child labour. Therefore member States which ratify Convention No. 182 should take steps to secure the elimination of child trafficking – within a time-bound programme. The Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations has raised serious concerns regarding human trafficking in the context of the Forced Labour Convention, 1930 (No. 29) in a number of countries, and issued a general observation on this phenomenon in 2000.

Where are the Filipino 11?

In November 2007, the Canadian Labour Congress (CLC) was obliged to call for an immediate moratorium of the Canadian Government’s Temporary Foreign Worker Program because of repeated abuse and exploitation; under the programme, workers were being trafficked. Even the Government acknowledged that it could not “monitor the working conditions offered by the employer following entry into Canada”.

The CLC acted following a report that the Temporary Foreign Worker Program enabled a labour broker to lure 11 workers to Canada for non-existent jobs. Those workers – known as the “Filipino 11” – became indentured labour after having to pay over $10,000 to labour brokers; they were promised jobs at up to Canadian $23 an hour. But once in Canada, they were “sold” to unscrupulous employers, kept in an isolated rural house, and forced to do menial jobs earning – if paid at all – a fraction of what they were promised.\(^\text{21}\)

Migration, people-smuggling or trafficking?

As there is some confusion about these terms, it is important for trade unionists to be clear about the differences between them and the definitions in international law.

Migration may take place through regular or irregular channels and may be freely chosen or forced upon the migrant as a means of survival (e.g. during a conflict, an economic crisis or an environmental disaster). If the method of migration is irregular then the migrant may be assisted by a smuggler who will facilitate illegal entry into a country for a fee. Smugglers may demand an exorbitant fee and may expose migrants to serious dangers in the course of their journey, but on arrival at their destination, the migrants are free to make their own way and normally do not see the smuggler again.

People-smuggling


Smuggling of migrants shall mean “the procurement, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit, of the illegal entry of a person into a State Party of which the person is not a national or a permanent resident” (Article 3(a)).

A most important clause of the protocol establishes that:

Migrants shall not become liable to criminal prosecution under this Protocol for the fact of having been the object of (smuggling).
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Box 1.5.
Interrelations between regular and irregular migration, trafficking and smuggling

REGULAR MIGRATION

Consensual or Non-consensual
Legal channels
Evasion of exit or entry controls
Lawful exit or entry but illegal employment

IRREGULAR MIGRATION

Consensual
Illegal facilitation of movement for profit

SMUGGLING

TRAFFICKING

Non-consensual
Forced
Deception
Coercion
Sale
Abduction

PURPOSES/FORMS
Domestic work
Sex/Entertainment Industry
Service/Care Giving
Factory Work
Informal economy
Agricultural Work
Marriage

STATUS
Regular/Documented

CONDITIONS
Economic empowerment
Independence
Emancipation
New skills and knowledge

CONDITIONS
Discrimination
Exploitation
Lack of protection
Vulnerability to further abuse

CONDITIONS
Sexual exploitation
Forced Labour
Slavery
Debt Bondage
Servitude
Sale of organs

MIGRATION IN ABUSIVE CONDITIONS


Trafficking for Forced Labour: How to Monitor the Recruitment of Migrant Workers
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Trafficking is also contrary to international law and should be contrary to national law. What can happen is that work-seekers may leave their homes in an act of migration, cross a border and subsequently be trafficked. They may have signed a contract with an agent but this is worthless when violence and coercion are used, in a country where they do not speak the language, and/or lack any sort of support.

The vast majority of people who are trafficked may believe that they are crossing borders for legitimate employment. For most trafficked people it is only once they arrive in the country of destination that their real problems begin. The work they were promised does not exist and they are forced instead to work in jobs or conditions which they did not agree to.

The root causes of trafficking

Poverty and inequity are root causes of trafficking. Gender discrimination within the family and the community, as well as a tolerance of violence against women and children, also come into play. Lack of appropriate legislation and political will to address the problem, restrictive immigration policies, globalization of the sex industry, and the involvement of transnational organized criminal networks are other causal factors.

Trafficking in human beings: New approaches to combating the problem, ILO Geneva, 2003.22

Discussion points for Section 2

- Are there recent examples of exploitation of migrant workers that have been discovered in your country?
- Are there statistics for the accident rates amongst migrant workers?
- Are you clear on the distinction between people smuggling, and trafficking in persons?
- Is there any legislation to protect people who have been trafficked, or are they treated as irregular migrants and deported?

Learning exercises for section 2

Learning exercise: Why do people move?

Activity: Women migrant workers.

Aims: To develop a picture of women migrant workers.

Task: 1. Imagine you are a woman migrant worker.

2. Write a letter to her family back in her home country, describing her experiences - the journey, any troubles she might have had in actually entering her country of destination and finally her new job.

3. And remember that the woman worker will not want to give her family and friends at home any cause for worry.
Section 3

Facts and figures about migrant workers

“... immigration is the necessary and unavoidable result of economic success, which generates a demand for labour faster than can be met by the birth-rate of a modern developed country.”

British Foreign Secretary, Robin Cook, 2001.

Section 3 at a glance
In this Section we ask:

• Who are migrant workers?
• Where do migrant workers come from, and go to?
• In which sectors do migrant workers work, and what jobs do they do when they arrive in destination countries?
• What gender are migrant workers?
• What are the trends in labour migration?
• Finally, this Section deals with some of the myths about migrant workers.
Who are migrant workers?

Facts and figures about migrant workers
People have always moved from one country to another in search of Decent Work and opportunities for a better life. Yet, with the exception of major crises provoked by war, natural disasters or political persecution, the flow of migrant workers relative to world population has remained stable, representing slightly less than three per cent. And the United Nations predicts that this will remain so during the next 40 years, even if in absolute terms the number of migrant workers continues to grow.

How many migrant workers?
There is a good deal of confusion about the numbers of migrant workers and often a lack of precise and homogenous statistical data disaggregated by sex and origin or nationality. This is because different countries may have different definitions of what constitutes a migrant worker.  

Table 1 shows the international trends for migration, estimated and compiled by the International Organisation for Migration (IOM). It is important to note that the number of migrants is broadly stable over time, as a percentage of world population. The large jump between 1985 and 2000 is mainly due to the creation of many new countries, with the break up of the former USSR. Many people, especially ethnic Russians, found themselves practically from one day to the next, in a “foreign country”, and so were counted as migrants.

Cross-border flows of people have been accelerating in recent decades and by 2008 there were over 190 million international migrants. Of these it is estimated that 95 million were migrant workers.

Table 1 International migration trends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total world population</th>
<th>Number of migrants (millions)</th>
<th>Migrant percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>3 333</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>4 066</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>4 825</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>6 057</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td>9 000</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

24http://www.iom.int/jahia/Jahia/cache/offonce/pid/1674?entryId=932
Where do migrant workers come from, and go to?

Migrant labour is sometimes discussed as if all workers were trying to reach the developed countries. In fact, almost half of all migration is within the developing world, the **Gulf States**, and to “newly industrialized countries” (NICs) such as **Singapore**. And in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, most migration flows are from the former Soviet Republics (**CIS countries**) to the **Russian Federation**.

There have been large movements of workers from **Haiti** to the **Dominican Republic**, from Burkina **Faso** to **Côte d’Ivoire**, from **Egypt** to **Jordan**, from **Indonesia** to **Malaysia**, or to **Argentina** from neighbouring countries. Some 2 million Asian workers leave their countries every year to work in other countries within and outside the region under short-term employment contracts.

It is true that since the 1970s there has been a growing trend of migration flows from developing to developed countries - in particular, Europe and the United States. Wages and working conditions are generally higher, and some workers who are in search of Decent Work opportunities have tried to move to those countries.

For example, of the 7.3 million foreign nationals in **Germany**, more than 25 per cent are from **Turkey** (2 million). In **Italy**, migrants from other **European Union** (EU) countries account for only 11 per cent of foreign nationals, compared with 30 per cent from **North African** countries and **Albania**, and over 27 per cent from **Asia**.

In fact, countries that have the highest percentages of migrant workers are among the richest nations: **Luxembourg** (30 per cent), **Switzerland** (20 per cent) and the **United States** (20 per cent).

Table 2 shows that migrant labour as a percentage of the total population is higher in more developed regions, which confirms the view that labour migration is above all the search for Decent Work.

It should also be noted that, according to a OECD report published in 2008, two out of five migrant workers to industrialized countries will return to their country of origin within a period of 5 years.
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Table 2: Estimated number of international migrants and their percentage distribution by major area, including percentage of female migrants: 1990-2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major area</th>
<th>Number of international migrants (Millions)</th>
<th>Increment (Millions)</th>
<th>Percentage distribution of international migrants</th>
<th>Percentage of female migrants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>154.8</td>
<td>190.6</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More developed regions</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>115.4</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less developed regions</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; the Caribbean</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern America</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-income countries</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>112.3</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-income developed countries</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-income developing countries</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper-middle income countries</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower-middle income countries</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low income countries</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

Age range
Migration is generally most common among the young adult age groups. In the United Kingdom in 2003 the 15–24 and the 25–44 age groups together accounted for a large majority of both in-migrants (84 per cent) and out-migrants (75 per cent). This age range is common in all countries. A recent study (which focused on migration from the southern and eastern Mediterranean region (Egypt, Morocco and Turkey) and from sub-Saharan Africa (Ghana and Senegal) to the European Union, found similar age ranges among migrants. Although more women are migrating than ever before (see below) most migrants continue to be single men in their twenties or thirties – usually migrating from their parents’ homes.

In which sectors do migrant workers work and what jobs do they do when they arrive in destination countries?

Qualifications and skills
Today’s migrant workforce spans unskilled, professional, and managerial workers. One highly significant aspect of migration is the “cherry-picking” of skilled workers by many developed countries. For example, in a number of OECD countries between 1995–2000, the arrival of highly skilled immigrants – such as those working in IT; doctors and medical staff; – exceeded that of unskilled workers.

Most countries continue to welcome the arrival of professional, skilled migrants rather than unskilled workers. Australia and Canada, for example, operate points systems which make it easier for professionals from developing countries to enter as immigrants. The United States also makes it relatively easy for professionals to enter with temporary visas if a United States employer requests them. During the 1990s, many developed countries recruited foreign health professionals. Nearly 33 per cent of doctors and 13 per cent of nurses in the United Kingdom are foreign-born and 50 per cent of the extra staff employed by the UK’s National Health Service over the past decade qualified abroad.

Many of the countries mentioned above have a different view of so-called “unskilled workers” and make it hard for them to enter or stay.

In fact the need for unskilled workers is considerable. This is because developed economies are characterized by ageing workforces and declining birth rates and their need for unskilled workers is great – indeed the prosperity of many countries depends on increases in migration. It may well be that host countries “prefer” skilled migrants and even attempt to discourage unskilled migrants – but they cannot do without them. It is the restrictive policies on admission of unskilled migrants that often contribute to increased irregular migration.
What gender are migrant workers?

**Women and men in the global migrant labour force**

Female participation in the workforce has expanded generally - in both developed and developing countries - and women now account for the majority of workers in many labour-intensive industries and services. Table 2 (page 36) shows a consistent trend across many regions. Often men’s participation has declined in parallel - another characteristic of the “feminization” of the workforce. Women are seen as a source of cheaper labour and are often considered to be more “flexible” in the labour process - especially within the shift from manufacturing to service economies. The global female labour force (the sum of employed and unemployed women) was 1.2 billion in 2003 - up from 1 billion in 1993.

Women account for an increasing proportion of international migrants and constituted close to half of all migrants in 2005 (again see Table 2). Unfortunately there is still a lack of adequate data on migration flows, disaggregated by sex, and sectors of employment, clearly indicating how many women are migrating for employment and how many and in which sectors they are employed. There is a great demand for the skilled and unskilled labour of women.
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Women are a growing percentage of migrant workers

Close to half of the world’s migrant population is female, and the share of women in the migrant stock has increased by almost three percentage points from 35 million in 1960 to 94 million in 2005.

Africa, Europe and Central Asia, and Latin America and the Caribbean were the regions with large increases in the proportion of women in the migrant stock between 1960 and 2005. The gender composition of migration flows to the main destination countries in the North differ by region. Flows from Africa, South Asia, and the Middle East tend to be male-dominated, while flows from East Asia and the Pacific, Europe and Central Asia, and Latin America and the Caribbean tend to be female-dominated.


For example, in developed countries, where populations are ageing, there is a demand for female health workers. Rising prosperity in some developing countries, such as Malaysia, has also created jobs for women – often as domestic workers. This migration pattern is termed the “feminization” of migration (see Table 2).

While women have become increasingly mobile, they are often doubly discriminated against – as migrant workers and because they are women. Migration provides productive labour and an economic lifeline for millions of women, but the plight of unprotected female migrant workers can be serious. They therefore require special protection.

However the experience of women migrant workers is not all negative. Migration can empower women and recently we have seen the emergence of skilled, independent women able to gain experience by working in other countries. Many skilled women are eager to migrate – though this can cause problems for the countries of origin, in particular in the health and education sectors. Their high levels of skill mean that they often find it relatively easy to get work permits and many women have found new professional opportunities available to them as a result of migration. This has been helped by changing labour market structures in developing economies.

http://go.worldbank.org/L9OF7C98Y0
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Women migrant workers and domestic work
Migrant women domestic workers are among the world’s most vulnerable workers. Most are women moving from poorer to richer countries for economic reasons, and may have to leave their children behind, often in the care of relatives or a hired local house-helper, creating global care chains.

- In Hong Kong, migrant domestic workers numbered more than 223,394 in 2005.
- Between 1999 and 2001, 691,285 Indonesian women left their country (representing 72 per cent of total Indonesian migrants) to work mainly as domestic workers abroad.
- In Italy, 50 per cent of the estimated 1 million domestic workers are non-European Union citizens.
- In France over 50 per cent of migrant women are believed to be engaged in domestic work.
- In Costa Rica, domestic workers are largely drawn from neighbouring Nicaragua.
- In Asia, the most important source countries of female migrant workers are Indonesia, the Philippines, and Sri Lanka.

Working conditions of domestic workers vary enormously. Some are treated as members of their employer’s family, while others are exploited and subjected to conditions which in some cases amount to virtual slavery and forced labour. Domestic workers often have to work long or excessive hours (on average, 15–16 hours per day), with no rest days or compensation for overtime; they generally receive low wages, and have inadequate health insurance coverage.

Domestic workers are also exposed to physical and sexual harassment and violence and abuse, and in some cases are trapped in situations in which they are physically or legally restrained from leaving the employer’s home by means of threats or actual violence, or by withholding of pay or identity documents. One ILO study in Bahrain found that “wages are determined according to the nationality of the female domestic workers instead of their experience”. In some countries, domestic workers are required to undergo compulsory periodic pregnancy tests. If they test positive, they are immediately deported. Such tests are prohibited by the ILO Maternity Protection Convention, 2000 (No. 183).

In some cases, especially when they are unskilled, women are exposed to a much higher risk of exploitation, and are vulnerable to trafficking. Women often can suffer severe sexual violence, degradation, exploitation and abuse.
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International Convention to protect domestic workers

The ILO Governing Body decided at its March 2008 Session to place the question of Decent Work for domestic workers on the agenda of the 2010 International Labour Conference. It aims to set standards through a new Convention and an accompanying Recommendation.

“Many of the problems faced by domestic workers can be attributed to the specificity and nature of their occupation and to inadequate attention on the key aspects of their situation in international law and national legislation.”

That is the motive for the decision taken by the Governing Body, with the unanimous support of the Workers’ group and backing from a large number of governments, to place the issue of Decent Work for domestic workers on the agenda of the 2010 International Labour Conference. Sixty years ago, back in 1948, a resolution from International Labour Conference delegates was already calling for examination of whether it would be opportune to put this issue on the agenda of a Conference session. Now it has been.

According to the ILO, “reports and research have evidenced growing Decent Work deficits for domestic workers worldwide”. It is likely that their numbers “will continue to increase”. Conservative estimates put the current figure at more than a hundred million. “Most domestic workers are women,” the Governing Body document emphasises, “and their work is enabling others to improve their living standards.” Excessive working hours, low pay, poor social security coverage, sexual harassment, physical violence, abusive treatment by recruitment agencies, forced labour and frequent use of child labour – all of this is revealed by the ILO paper27. It points to the extreme vulnerability of this group of workers, who are still all too often denied the fundamental right to form or join a trade union.

“It’s not often that the Governing Body has a chance to make history, but the decision that we will take today must be a historic one,” declared Roy Trotman, spokesperson for the workers’ group at the ILO, during a detailed plea in favour of an international Convention for domestic workers. “The vulnerability of this group of workers, the rights denials that they suffer and their working conditions demand standards suited to their situation, because the present standards do not cover them,” he added.

Unanimous in its support, the Workers’ group was backed by about 20 government representatives from all parts of the world in emphasizing the importance of standard-setting action. The employers clearly also felt some concern, as they indicated their readiness for a general discussion on this issue, and they did not oppose the Governing Body’s final decision.

The document before the Governing Body proposed that the question of the promotion of Decent Work for domestic workers should be placed on the agenda of the 2010 International Labour Conference in order to develop ILO instruments, possibly in the form of a Convention accompanied by a Recommendation, that will give this group of workers the protection they so urgently need.

The International Trade Union Confederation (ITUC) and Global Unions welcomed the Governing Body’s decision. They had mobilized their affiliates to seek support from the governments of the countries represented on the Governing Body. That support was forthcoming.
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Types of migrant worker

An attempt can be made to differentiate types of migrant workers, but it is important to remember that workers can move from one category into another.28

1. Settlers – people who move to another country to live there permanently. This was a very common form of migration in the past when settlers moved to Australia, some South American countries or the United States. Around 40 per cent of the population of Argentina, for example, is of Italian origin. This “settler” migration still continues today on a smaller scale.

2. Contract workers – workers admitted on the expectation that they will work for a limited period and return to their country of origin. The temporary migrant programmes of the 1950s to 1960s were of this type. In the past, these workers could extend their contracts, stay longer and became settled. An example is Turkish workers in Germany. At the present time, considerable numbers of contract migrant workers can be found in the Middle East especially in construction and domestic work. Contract workers can also include seasonal workers in agriculture. A particular category of migrant worker is the posted worker. He or she is sent by their employer to undertake a task, normally for a limited period in another country. This would normally be a skilled worker. Within the European Union, there are rules governing such posted workers. Under the World Trade Organization’s (WTO) General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS), this category of worker is also recognized (see box below).

3. Professionals – highly qualified workers with in-demand skills that are marketable in many countries. The restrictions on this category are usually low.

4. Workers in irregular status. The ILO defines irregular migration as the movement of a person to a new place of residence or transit using irregular or illegal means, without valid documents or overstaying a valid visa. It should be noted that the refusal of administrative authorities to renew work or residence permits, and appeal procedures related to such decisions, often lead to migrant workers being deprived of regular status, even if they initially entered the country with the required documentation.

5. Asylum-seekers or refugees – people who have left their home to escape danger, often political, religious or ethnic persecution. Once a claim for refugee status is accepted, they can usually take up permanent residence and work legally. But while waiting for a decision on their claim, some take up work in order to survive, though this may not be allowed by law.

A Technical Note on GATS

The World Trade Organization's (WTO) General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) categorizes trade in service into four “modes”. These are:

**Mode 1** – Cross-border provision with no one actually relocating (e.g. postal services or telecommunications).

**Mode 2** – Consumption of services abroad through temporary relocation of the consumer (e.g. visiting patient or student).

**Mode 3** – Commercial presence or subsidiary branches (e.g. banks, hospitals, or construction firms that are owned by a foreign company).

**Mode 4** – Temporary movement of natural persons (workers) across borders to provide services (e.g. executives or doctors).

Mode 4 covers four categories of service personnel, including:

- services’ salespersons (e.g. insurance salespersons);
- intra-corporate transferees (e.g. executives, managers, and specialists);
- business visitors (e.g. personnel engaged in establishing a foreign office or subsidiary);
- independent contract suppliers (e.g. doctor or architect).

GATS Mode 4 only covers people moving temporarily, although there is no definition of temporary. In effect the length of stay allowed by GATS Mode 4 is identified by the offers and agreements made in countries’ negotiating positions and varies from a few months to a few years depending on the type of work (and usually level of skill). Business visitors can usually stay for up to three months, while intra-corporate transfers are usually for two to five years. It can cover the self-employed moving to offer a service or those employed by others on whose behalf they travel to offer a service. There is some dispute over whether foreigners employed by local firms also fall under Mode 4.

Mode 4 does not cover people seeking access to a labour market in general (they must have a specific sectoral role) or those looking for citizenship, asylum or permanent residence.

How Mode 4 will impact on the regulation of migrant workers is not yet clear. Trade unions need to keep careful watch on this. Including workers in a international agreement about services is contrary to the ILO principle that “labour is not a commodity”. There is no reason for the WTO to be dealing with movement of persons.
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Illegal or irregular?

Debate around migrant workers often involves the idea of “illegal migrants”. To say that a person is illegal is nonsense. All persons are entitled to legal status.

However, some workers may find themselves in an irregular situation.

Most migrant workers are moving from their home country in search of Decent Work. They are seeking to escape poverty and discrimination, improve their lives, and support their families. Some are able to obtain entry and work officially, through permit and quota schemes. But many cannot, because governments will not accept the reality that low skilled migrant workers are needed as much as skilled workers.

Workers, not criminals

“Somos trabajadores no somos criminales” – “We are workers, not criminals”
Slogan of undocumented migrant workers in the United States protesting against proposed legislation in 2006 that would criminalize them.

Workers hear about well-paid jobs abroad through family or friends or through “recruitment agencies” and other individuals who offer to find them employment and make the travel arrangements. Many migrants have little choice but to rely on people-smugglers or traffickers in order to access these jobs.

In a number of countries not having a passport or valid visa, or overstaying in a country are considered criminal offences. However the only crime that these migrant workers are guilty of is living and working in a country other than their own, contributing to the economy of that country as consumers and often as tax payers. The fact that they have had to use these irregular channels does not make a migrant worker “illegal”. How can a worker therefore be illegal? Any human being, from the minute of his birth, is a holder of and has basic rights – a legal personality. The unscrupulous employer using their services, paying them less than the minimum wage, not providing health and safety protection or social security, often exploiting them – is the one who is breaking the law. These crimes are the ones to focus on.
New trends in labour migration

While the pace of labour migration has remained stable, a number of new trends are apparent and have an impact on how trade unions address the issue. These can be summarized as follows:

- More and more migrant workers are women. They make up close to 50 per cent of the workers who emigrate, and they face a dual risk of exploitation – as women and as migrants.

- The role private agencies play in recruitment. An increasing number of migrant workers are recruited through private employment agencies. Numerous abuses have been reported: confiscation of passports and fraudulent misrepresentation of the type of work for which people are being hired. Pregnancy and HIV/AIDS tests are used routinely by some of these agencies, disregarding recognized international standards.

- The number of irregular migrants is increasing. Currently, it is estimated that about 15 per cent of migration takes place under irregular conditions. Restrictive migration policies in traditional host countries, combined with an unacknowledged demand for labour is a main factor of that increase, depriving migrant workers of the possibility of using legal avenues to access existing jobs.

- Trafficking is also on the rise. Taking advantage of restrictive migration policies, organized crime networks are abusing potential migrant workers. The profits from trafficking have been put at US$10,000 million per year.

- Developed countries also promote migration by highly-qualified people and this implies, mainly for Africa, the risk of a “brain drain”.

- Governments are giving higher priority to security concerns, particularly anti-terrorism measures. This can lead to greater restrictions on cross-border movement, and more difficulties in getting visas and work permits. These issues fall under the jurisdiction of interior ministries and not the ministries responsible for labour. This reduces the involvement of the social partners and social dialogue in formulating migration policies.
Myths about migrant workers

Myth 1 – “Migrant workers are a burden”

“Migrant workers are an asset to every country where they bring in labour” says Juan Somavia, the ILO Director-General. In fact, most industrial economies would be worse off without the help of migrant workers, and without this injection of new blood the receiving countries will see their population age and decline even more rapidly.29

It is estimated that in 1999 and 2000, migrants established in the United Kingdom added US$4 billion (UK£2.2 billion) net to the budget – that is, they paid more in tax and social security contributions than they received in benefits. In Germany, the average migrant makes a positive net contribution of over US$60,000 during his or her lifetime.

Myth 2 – “Migrant workers steal our jobs”

The idea that migrant workers are taking the jobs of national workers is based on the concept that there is a fixed number of jobs in any given country and that therefore if more people come there will be fewer job opportunities. In fact, each person creates jobs for others. Empirical evidence suggests that migrant workers often act as a complement to national workers and do not compete with them for work. For example, high-skilled migrants fill vacancies that go unmet by national workers and thus increase productivity, while low-skilled migrants take jobs avoided by national workers, and jobs in sectors that are traditionally affected by strong seasonal fluctuation such as agriculture, construction, and tourism.

In Germany, businesses set up by Turkish migrants have led to the creation of 330,000 jobs, including jobs for Germans.

The example of Spain shows that even a sharp increase in the number of migrant workers does not have a negative impact on employment. In 2000, migrants represented 4 per cent of the population and in 2005 their number represented 11 per cent of the population. During this period, the unemployment rate decreased, growth was among the highest in Europe and “neither high nor low-skilled migrants were observed to have a negative effect on the employment of Spanish workers”, according to the OECD. “There was a clear and significant positive effect on the employment rate and the female labour force participation. Employment is estimated to have increased by 27 per cent between 1999 and 2002 due to immigration, and female participation by 10 per cent in ten years to reach 52 per cent.”

Migrant workers can add to the employment and income of nationals by releasing them to do higher-paid jobs. The clearest example of this is using migrant workers to look after children so that parents, typically mothers, can go out to work. The presence of migrant workers has also helped to
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Migrant workers and unemployment
While, in general, migration for labour has no negative effect on employment, it should be noted that migrant workers are often working in “precarious” employment – those sectors of the economy where there is less stability. A consequence is that migrant workers have higher unemployment rates than national workers (confirming, in fact, that they are not taking the jobs of nationals).

Migrant workers face discrimination in labour markets regardless of their skills. Studies by the ILO have confirmed that migrant workers (or even national citizens of foreign origin) will have less opportunity to obtain a job interview than national workers simply because their names may sound foreign.

Myth 3 - “Migrant workers drive down wages”
The theory is that migrant workers will accept lower wages and this drags down the wages of all workers.

Empirical studies have concluded that the overall immigration impact on the wages of national workers is very small. It is generally estimated that a one per cent increase in the proportion of migrant workers in the labour force lowers wages by only 0.1 per cent.30

One way of judging the impact of labour migration is to see what happened in one location before and after a sudden influx. An opportunity occurred in April 1980 when Fidel Castro declared that many Cubans who wished to do so could leave from the port of Mariel. Over the next six months around 125,000 people, mostly low-skilled workers and their families, set off for Florida in the United States. This “Mariel Flow” increased the labour force in Miami by 7 per cent yet seemed to have little or no effect on wages for the local population.

**Conclusion**

The search for Decent Work and the restrictions encountered, and obstacles faced by workers to migrate through legal channels, drives many migrant workers to use irregular methods of moving from one country to another.

Globalization produces rapid changes and the global workforce responds. So there are some dramatic changes in flows of migrant workers.

However, the number of migrant workers as a percentage of the world’s labour force, has remained stable.

Prejudices and ill-conceived ideas often blur the reality of the scope and impact of labour migration and ignore the contribution migrants make to the global economy.

---

**Discussion points for Section 3**

- Is your country a country of origin for migrant workers, or a country of destination, or both?
- What are the sources of statistics about migrant workers? (Disaggregated by sex etc.).
- Does the government encourage migration for labour as a source of foreign exchange and to reduce unemployment?
- Are the social and economic policies in place drawn up with trade union involvement? Do they challenge extreme poverty and inequality - the root causes of migration?
- Is migration for labour a controversial topic? Do extremist groups use the issue, and does the trade union movement take a clear position against xenophobia, racism, and discrimination of migrant workers?
- Why do myths about migrant workers persist?
Learning exercises for section 3

Learning exercise: Countering the racists and xenophobes.

Aim:
To examine the growth of extremist anti-migrant groups.
To plan how to deal with extremist groups.

Task: 1.
In your group, consider the following:

• Have extremist political parties exploited the issue of migrant workers?
• What steps do trade unions have to take to deal with the organizations that are using migrant workers as a political platform?

Give examples of any successes in dealing with these groups.
Learning exercise: **Countering the myths.**

**Aim:** To practise arguing against myths.

**Task:** 1. This is a role play. Some of you will be repeating some of the myths discussed in this section. You can add to these if you wish. Some of you will prepare your arguments to counter the myths.
Section 4

Migrant workers and the global economy

Section 4 at a glance
This Section looks at the contribution migrant workers make to the global economy.
Without migrant workers, many services and industries could not be provided. In developed countries, with declining populations, migrant workers are filling a demographic gap.
Migrant workers send substantial sums back to their countries of origin and these remittances, that are greater than official development aid, also provide vital foreign exchange.
Migration for labour may also have a negative side. In particular, when the migration of skilled workers results in labour shortages in key public sectors (e.g. health and education) in their home countries.
The benefits of migrant labour

Migrant workers make a substantial economic and social contribution to the economies of countries of destination and their countries of origin. Migrant workers spend most of their income in host countries; they are consumers; and they pay taxes and social security contributions if they are allowed to work legally.

Migrants send home on average 13 per cent of their income in remittances, but spend 87 per cent in destination countries. Migration does create jobs. Migrant workers are consumers too. Many countries would be much poorer without migrants.

Many jobs would not be done without migrant workers. They keep public transport running, provide badly-needed health care, and without their work many farms, plantations, mines and factories could not produce the goods they sell. In 1991, the United States Government estimated that 73 per cent of all workers involved in crop production in the United States were born outside the country.31 In the State of New York, migrant workers account for US$229 billion: 22.4 per cent of its economic output.32

Migrant workers also contribute to making life better for the populations of receiving countries, for instance foreign domestic workers, by taking care of homes and children, contribute to improving the employment prospects and living standards of other categories of workers.

Populations in decline: Filling the gap

Many of the most developed countries are now facing declining populations.

The European Union faces a population decrease of 20 million between now and 2050, which the ILO estimates could lead to a 20 per cent drop in the average standard of living in Europe. On present trends, the population of Italy, for example, would drop by 28 per cent and that of Spain by 24 per cent between 2000 and 2050. Estonia and Latvia, two new Members of the EU, will see their populations drop by 34 and 31 per cent respectively. Japan’s population will decrease by 27 million (from 127 million to 100 million) by 2050 and could be as low as 64 million by 2100.

---

31 Peter Stalker, The work of strangers: a survey of international labour migration, p. 31.
These declining populations have severe implications. How will basic services be sustained? Many commentators have suggested that migrant workers are certainly part of the answer to sustaining the economies and standards of living of these countries.

To keep their population constant, immigration levels in the big four EU countries – France, Germany, Italy and the United Kingdom – would have to triple, from 237,000 to 700,000 a year.

**The Russian Federation**

The Russian Federation is, after the United States and Germany, the world’s third leading destination for migrants. Currently, there are an estimated 3-4 million foreign workers – mostly from the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) – working in the Russian Federation.

They are needed, as Russian Federation’s plummeting birth rates and rising mortality rate make it the fastest shrinking population in the world, declining by 0.5 per cent a year.

In 2004, 750,000 work permits were issued to foreigners to work in the Russian Federation. The federal migration service estimates that there are 10-14 million people living in the Russian Federation without registration.

It is thought that more than half the migrant workers in the Russian Federation – primarily from Central Asia, including the Caucasus, Kyrgyzstan, Moldova, Tajikistan and Ukraine – are unregistered. They live and work in often abysmal conditions, enjoy no protections from law enforcement authorities – although, conversely, they do pay taxes. Complicated procedures for work and resident permits leave migrants at the mercy of corrupt officials. Some employers also take advantage by recruiting workers while at the same time avoiding both paying social security contributions, and any liability in terms of secure employment.

The Government was considering major changes, including a possible amnesty to regularize the status of migrant workers.

*(The Economist, 18 Feb. 2005).*

**The pensions’ crisis in rich countries**

In many rich countries the number of pensioners will double in the next few decades.

According to UN projections, the proportion of the total population in 15 countries of the European Union (EU) of those older than 65 in 2050 will be 30.3 per cent, compared to 16.4 per cent in 2000. In Japan the proportion will rise from 17 to 32 per cent.

At present each older person in Europe is being supported by four to five active workers, but by 2050 this number will have reduced to two.

Policy-makers are grappling with this issue. Who will pay the pensions and who will support the retired workers? Who will fill the gap? Many suggest that migrant workers will have to fill much of the gap.
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European Union expansion and economic migration policy

Ten “new” countries joined the EU in May 2004. The EU is based on the principle of free movement within all Member States. But many policy-makers reacted to fears that a wave of workers from new Members would flood the labour markets of the “old” Member States. Many of the EU’s original 15 Member States including France, Germany and Italy demanded tough limits on economic migration from the ten “new” Member countries.

Free movement of persons is one of the fundamental freedoms guaranteed by European community law (Article 39 of the EC Treaty) and is also an essential element of European citizenship. Community rules on free movement of workers also apply to member States of the European Economic Area (i.e. to Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway). The relevant rights are complemented by a system for the coordination of social security schemes and by a system to ensure the mutual recognition of diplomas.

The Accession Treaty allows for the introduction of ‘transitional measures’. Commonly referred to in EU circles as the ‘2+3+2-year arrangement’, this scheme obliged the member states to declare in May 2006, and again in May 2009, whether they will open up their labour markets for workers from the EU-8 (the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia) or keep restrictions in place. The restrictions will definitely end on 30 April 2011. A similar ‘2+3+2’ scheme is in place with respect to workers from Bulgaria and Romania, which joined the EU on 1 January 2007.

The policies relating to the free movement of workers from the EU-8 within the EU-15 states could be classified into four categories:

- **Keeping** the restrictions in place until at least 2009: Austria and Germany.
- **Lifting** the restrictions **gradually**, until 2009: Belgium, Denmark, France, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands.
- Keeping labour markets open/removing restrictions: Finland, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom.

With respect to the 1 January 2007 enlargement, which brought Bulgaria and Romania into the EU, many former EU member states are more reluctant to open their labour markets. All EU-15 countries, with the exception of Finland and Sweden, decided to restrict Bulgarians’ and Romanians’ access to their labour market. Italy considers allowing Bulgarians and Romanians in once a European agreement on combating organised crime is found, and France announced that it will include workers from the two countries into its scheme of sectoral barrier-lifting. All EU-10 decided to open their labour markets – with the exceptions of Malta, which constricts access and Hungary, which imposes some conditions.

In the United Kingdom, according to government estimates, the new workers pumped about US$350 million into the British economy. Fears that migrant workers would act as a drain on the economy appear unfounded. More than 95 per cent of migrant workers from the new Member States are working full time, while the numbers drawing state benefits, according to the Government, are “very low”.

“The general opinion is that this migration has been beneficial,” says Dr Martin Ruhs, from the Centre on Migration, Policy and Society at the University of Oxford, who has studied the impact of the inflow of workers into Ireland.

“It continues to meet a need in terms of filling labour shortages and there have been no adverse effects such as a rise in unemployment levels.”
Remittances

Remittances, the money that migrant workers send home, play a very important role in the economy of many “origin” countries. In October 2007, a United Nations study estimated that migrants working in industrialized countries sent home more than US$300 billion to their families in 2006 - more than the US$104 billion provided by donor nations in foreign aid to developing countries. This is a conservative estimate; if informal channels are considered, the amount could be higher.33

---
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Table 3 Developing countries with highest remittance flows, 2003 and 2007 (US$ billions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US$ (Billions)</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: World Bank.34

34Migration and Remittances Factbook, World Bank http://go.worldbank.org/QGUCPJTOR0
• Morocco received US$5.7 billion – 10 per cent of its GDP – from money sent home by migrant workers in 2007.

• In 2007 remittances to the Philippines accounted for 13 per cent of its GDP.

• In 2007 Bangladesh received US$6.4 billion in remittances from migrant workers, around 9 per cent of its GDP.

• In Nepal, remittances in 2007 contributed more to the country’s foreign exchange than tourism, foreign aid, manufacturing exports and all other sources combined, around 18 per cent of GDP.

• Remittances sent home by migrant workers from Tajikistan in 2007, mainly working in the Russian Federation equal 36 per cent of the country’s GDP.

• There is discussion among some governments and development organizations about how remittances could be used as an additional form of development finance. These funds are, of course, the private and hard-earned money of migrant workers and it is for them to decide how they should be used.

The cost to workers of sending money to their countries of origin can be very high, especially for smaller amounts. Governments should make it easier and cheaper for workers to send money to families and friends abroad.

Union Network International (UNI), one of the Global Union Federations, has raised the issue with postal trade unions, as post offices should be a cheap and easy way for migrant workers to transfer remittances.

Transfer of investment and skills

If migrant workers return to their home country, they may invest their savings in useful services or businesses. They can apply the skills and knowledge they have learned. Statistics on the numbers are very hard to find, but in a number of countries such as China, Republic of Korea and Taiwan (China) a thriving economy and liberalized policies have “turned a brain drain into a brain gain”.35

A boost to the economy of rich countries?

Do migrant workers contribute to the economy of the countries of destination? The contribution of migrants – including migrants in irregular situations – to the economy of the host country is often overlooked or even denied.

Still, since it is known that migrant workers send on average 13 per cent of their income back to their country of origin, that means they spend 87 per cent in the host country. On the basis of the total amount of transfers, the contribution of migrant workers to host countries worldwide has a value of more than US$1.6 trillion (1,600 billion dollars). The Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE), the International Organization for Migration (IOM) and the ILO stated in a recent publication: “Numerous studies show that migrants fill vital jobs unwanted by natives, and that their presence, activity and initiative create additional employment”.36

The “brain drain”

While host countries benefit from migrant workers, their absence can cause strain on the countries of origin. Migration has a downside as it may leave countries short of skilled workers. The country of origin will lose out, while the workers moving will benefit from better pay and working conditions.

About 100,000 workers left Pakistan annually in the 1970s and 1980s; 45 per cent of them were classified as skilled – leading to serious skill shortages.

Since Poland joined the European Union (2004), 800,000 workers have left the country to work in other Member States. This has left Poland with such a serious shortage of skilled workers that it may be unable to utilize European Union funds to modernize its infrastructure. In turn, Poland now receives migrants from Belarus and the Ukraine, who fill the gaps.37

The “medical carousel”

Highly qualified nurses and doctors from English-speaking countries (usually former colonies) are recruited to work in the United States and the United Kingdom. There is a “medical carousel” of doctors moving from one country to another, to replace the doctors who have moved to better-paid positions elsewhere. For example, 60 per cent of doctors trained in Ghana have left that country. Each health professional who migrates represents a loss of US$184,000. Ghana has to use doctors from Cuba, who often require interpreters. Out of 47 sub-Saharan countries, 38 fall short of the minimum WHO standard of 20 doctors per 10,000 people.

In many countries, structural adjustment programmes imposed by the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund have starved health services of cash. Skilled health workers in these countries therefore have every incentive to travel abroad where they will not only get better financial rewards, but will be able to use and improve their skills in well-equipped hospitals.

According to Public Services International, a Global Union Federation,

The scarcity of qualified health personnel highlights one of the biggest obstacles to achieving the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) in the health and well-being of the global population (Buchan, et al, 2004). The migration of health workers out of Africa is seriously compromising the implementation of malaria, tuberculosis, and HIV/AIDS programmes in the region. Around 620,000 more nurses are needed to tackle the HIV/AIDS epidemic in sub-Saharan Africa. But at the same time, around 23,000 African health professionals migrate to developed countries every year.

Furthermore, the movement of skilled health personnel from poorer to richer nations creates a “paradox of reversed development”. Based on South African migration statistics, the cost of the migration of nurses and doctors out of the country is equivalent to lost investments of about US$1 billion, amounting to 17 per cent of the country's public health expenditures in 2000. The lack of health workers seriously hampers the capacity of countries to respond to the AIDS crisis that is widespread throughout sub-Saharan Africa.

“Migration is seriously affecting the sustainability of health systems in many developing countries”

According to The Lancet, the UK’s leading medical journal, the health systems of developing countries have been badly damaged by the emigration of their doctors and nurses to developed countries. The UK has played a particularly prominent part in the process, with 31 per cent of its practising doctors having trained outside the UK. The UK’s chronic need to recruit doctors, nurses, and other health professionals from overseas is also increasing in comparison with other European countries of similar size. In France and Germany the proportion of practising doctors who are trained overseas is only around 5 per cent.

The Lancet comments: “Every rich country can afford and should aim to train as many health-care workers as it needs. To poach and rely on highly skilled foreign workers from poor countries in the public sector is akin to the crime of theft.”

---

A balance sheet
The balance sheet of migration for labour is mainly positive, especially for destination or host countries. Indeed, the migration debate is often expressed only in terms of the benefits for business and national economies. However, a trade union view does NOT see migrant labour as a market, like capital, goods, and services, subject to the dynamics of supply and demand. Labour migration must be regulated to benefit both migrant and national workers and attention should be paid to phenomenon such as the possible brain drain.

Discussion points for Section 4
• Which sectors of the economy in your country depend on migrant workers?
• How much is sent/received as remittances from migrant workers in your country?
• Has the Government tried to make it easier and cheaper for migrant workers to send remittances?
Learning exercises for section 4

Learning exercise: **Migrant labour - Who benefits?**

**Aim:** To think about the advantages and disadvantages of migrating for work from different points of view.

**Task:** 1. In your group, fill in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From the point of view of...</th>
<th>What are the advantages of migration for work?</th>
<th>What are the disadvantages of migration for work?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An unskilled migrant worker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled migrant worker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers in destination countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries of origin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade unions in countries of origin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade unions in destination countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The rights of migrant workers

Remember!
Countries ratifying an ILO Convention commit themselves to applying the Convention in national law and practice and to reporting on its application at regular intervals. So it is important for trade unions to campaign for the ratification of ILO Conventions. All international labour standards, unless specified otherwise, apply to all workers, including migrant workers, regardless of their status.

Section 5 at a glance
This Section describes the two specific ILO Conventions on labour migration that together provide a basic framework for national legislation and practice on managing labour migration. It also explains the ILO’s supervisory system, and how trade unions can use the system.
This Section will also introduce the UN International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families (1990). This is based on concepts and language drawn from the two ILO Conventions.
These three above-mentioned Conventions together provide a comprehensive “values-based” definition and legal basis for national policy and practice regarding migrant workers and their family members.
This Section covers:
• What is the rights-based framework for migrant workers?
• International labour standards for migrant workers.
• The ILO’s core international labour standards and other international labour standards.
• The UN International Convention on the Protection of Rights of All Migrant Workers and Their Families.
• Labour laws.
• Making use of ILO standards: the role of trade unions.
• A checklist of migrant workers’ rights under ILO standards.
What is the rights-based framework for migrant workers?

The human rights of migrant workers

The central notion of human rights is that certain principles are true and valid for all peoples, in all societies, under all conditions of economic, political, ethnic, and cultural life. Human rights are universal; all humans possess these rights, regardless of race, colour, creed, sex, age, class, language, national origin, political belief. All human beings should enjoy all human rights.

So, migrant workers have human rights!

The key question for trade unionists and migrant workers is: “How well do laws protect the rights of migrant workers while at the same time protecting national workers?” In fact the best way to protect national workers is to ensure protection of migrant workers as well, so as not to permit employers to place workers in competition with each other.

Using international law to protect migrant workers

International law may seem remote and irrelevant. It can in fact be a powerful tool to defend the rights of all workers, including migrant workers. Where a State has agreed to be bound by an international treaty or Convention, it can be subject to international supervision, and the pressure may make it treat workers better.

Ratified treaties have legal status and are also subject to enforcement and supervision at national level. Even non-ratified instruments can be used in national jurisdiction.

ILO Conventions are international treaties. They are adopted through a tripartite process involving government, employer, and worker representatives from the ILO’s 182 member States. When ratified, ILO Conventions have legal force in the country concerned. The use of international labour standards makes a major difference for workers, including migrant workers.

How domestic jurisdictions use universal sources of international law

Indeed, day in and day out, though the fact is sometimes overlooked, international labour standards are used by judges, lawyers, labour inspectors, and trade unionists to ensure that workers’ rights are respected. In the judges’ case, courts not infrequently apply the provisions of a ratified Convention directly in resolving a dispute, or else they draw on ILO standards, whether binding or not, as a source of interpretation and inspiration when applying domestic law.

---
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Where do human rights originate?
The most important step forward was the Universal Declaration of Human Rights adopted on 10 December 1948 by the UN General Assembly. It is still an inspiring document, and it proclaimed rights which workers, as human beings, are entitled to enjoy.

From the Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Article 13
Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each State.

Article 14
Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country.

Article 23
1. Everyone has the right to work, to free choice of employment, to just and favourable conditions of work and to protection against unemployment.
2. Everyone, without any discrimination, has the right to equal pay for equal work.
3. Everyone who works has the right to just and favourable remuneration ensuring for himself and his family an existence worthy of human dignity, and supplemented, if necessary, by other means of social protection.
4. Everyone has the right to form and to join trade unions for the protection of his interests.

What are the main human rights treaties?
In addition to the UN Declaration on human rights, there are nine fundamental human rights’ treaties that define basic, universal human rights and ensure their explicit extension to vulnerable groups worldwide. They are:

1. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 16 December 1966.
4. The Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, 10 December 1984.
Section 5 - The rights of migrant workers

International labour standards for migrant workers

There are two ILO Conventions and linked Recommendations specifically concerning migrant workers.

- The Migration for Employment Convention (Revised), 1949 (No. 97)
- The Migration for Employment Recommendation (Revised), 1949 (No. 86)
- The Migrant Workers (Supplementary Provisions) Convention, 1975 (No. 143)
- The Migrant Workers Recommendation, 1975 (No. 151)

International Conventions and International Labour Standards Explained

We refer to a number of international conventions in this Manual. Where do they come from? International labour standards are legal instruments drawn up by the ILO’s constituents (governments, employers and workers) setting out basic principles and rights at work. They are either Conventions, which are legally binding international treaties that may be ratified by member States, or Recommendations, which serve as non-binding guidelines. In many cases a Convention lays down the basic principles to be implemented by ratifying countries, while a related Recommendation supplements the Convention by providing more detailed guidelines on how it could be applied. Recommendations can also be autonomous, i.e. not linked to any Convention.

Conventions and Recommendations are drawn up by representatives of governments, employers and workers and are adopted at the ILO’s annual International Labour Conference (ILC). Once a standard is adopted, member States are required under the ILO Constitution to submit them to their competent authority (normally the parliament) for consideration. In the case of Conventions, this means consideration for ratification. If it is ratified, a Convention generally comes into force for that country one year after the date of ratification. Ratifying countries commit themselves to applying the Convention in national law and practice and to reporting on its application at regular intervals. Technical assistance is provided by the ILO if necessary. In addition, representation and complaint procedures can be initiated by trade unions against countries for violations of a Convention they have ratified.
The Migration for Employment Convention (Revised) (No. 97)

Convention No. 97 (ratified by 47 member States as at 22 September 2008) provides for equality of treatment and non-discrimination in respect of nationality, race, religion or sex between migrant workers who have been regularly admitted and nationals, arising out of laws or regulations or the practices of the administrative authorities in four areas: living and working conditions, social security, employment taxes and access to justice. The provisions include, among others, equal remuneration, membership of trade unions, and enjoyment of the benefits of collective bargaining.

The Convention, and its accompanying Recommendation (No. 86), also set out details for contract conditions, the participation of migrants in job training or promotion and deal with provisions for family reunification and appeals against unjustified termination of employment or expulsion, and other measures to regulate the entire migration process.

In Article 11, Paragraph 1, the Convention gives a definition of migrant workers:

For the purpose of this Convention the term migrant for employment means a person who migrates from one country to another with a view to being employed otherwise than on his own account and includes any person regularly admitted as a migrant for employment.

Convention No. 97 excludes “(a) frontier workers; (b) artists and members of the liberal professions who have entered the country on a short-term basis; c) seamen”.

Apart from these exclusions the principle of equal treatment is applicable to all migrant workers who have been regularly admitted in countries having ratified the Convention.

Equal treatment (treatment not less favourable to that which applies to nationals) is the central element of Convention No. 97. It is contained in Article 6 which prohibits discrimination between regularly admitted migrant workers and national workers with regard to:

- remuneration, including family allowances where these form part of remuneration, hours of work, overtime arrangements, holidays with pay, restrictions on home work, minimum age for employment, apprenticeship and training, women’s work and the work of young persons; membership of trade unions and enjoyment of the benefits of collective bargaining; accommodation; and social security (that is to say, legal provision in respect of employment injury, maternity, sickness, invalidity, old age, death, unemployment and family responsibilities, and any other contingency which, according to national laws or regulations, is covered by a social security scheme). This also applies to employment taxes, dues or contributions payable in respect of the person employed; and legal proceedings relating to the matters referred to in this Convention.

Article 3, Paragraph 2 of Convention No. 97 invites ratifying States to engage in cooperation to combat misleading propaganda. It has been clarified by the ILO Committee of Experts that misleading propaganda not only covers misleading information that migrants may receive before their departure, in order to lure them into going to a specific country, it also concerns racist propaganda in the countries of destination.
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Article 4 requires States to provide migrant workers with adequate and free services in connection with recruitment and job placement.

Article 7 provides that a member State should “ensure that the services rendered by its public employment service to migrants for employment are rendered free”. This also applies to private employment agencies through ILO Convention No. 181.

Convention No. 97 contains important protection provisions for migrant workers. For instance, they should have access to appropriate medical services (Article 5) and should be allowed to transfer their earnings and savings (Article 9).

Article 8 of Convention No. 97 prohibits the expulsion of migrants admitted permanently, in the event of incapacity for work. This is an important provision. In many countries, there remain questionable linkages between health status and job security or security of residence.

Some bilateral agreements signed between countries of origin and countries of destination contain language linking the termination of employment (and therefore expulsion) to a worker’s contraction of “HIV/AIDS or any other contagious disease”. Indeed a number of countries require migrant workers applying for jobs to undergo mandatory testing for HIV/AIDS or condition the renewal of the work permit on such testing. This is clearly a violation of human rights and goes also against the ILO code of practice on HIV/AIDS and the world of work. Such a requirement would also be regarded as contrary to Convention No. 97.

HIV and international labour migration

More than 100 countries restrict people living with HIV from entering or remaining in a country for any purpose; international labour migrants may be refused entry or face deportation if they are found to be HIV-positive.

Where HIV testing occurs in the context of migration, internationally agreed standards for informed consent, confidentiality and counselling are not routinely applied.

Migrant workers receiving anti-retroviral treatment in the destination country may also have their treatment disrupted by deportation if, in the country to which they return, they cannot access HIV services.

The main government rationales for HIV-related travel restrictions are to protect public health and to avoid excessive health care and other economic costs perceived to be generated by HIV-positive non-nationals. There is no public health justification for such restrictions. HIV is not transmitted casually and everyone, whether HIV-positive or –negative, national or non-natural, can prevent further transmission by practicing safer behaviours. Thus travel, and migration, by HIV-positive people in itself does not entail a risk to public health.

From HIV and International Labour Migration Policy Brief – produced jointly by UNAIDS, ILO, IOM (July 2008).

Ratifying States may, under Article 14, exclude from the ratification any or all of the three annexes to the Convention. The two first annexes deal with organized migration for employment. They contain important provisions, however. For instance, Article 5 of Annex I and Article 6 of Annex II make provision for migrant workers to obtain a written contract indicating conditions and job descriptions before leaving the country of origin. And Article 3 of Annex I speaks of the required supervision by States of agencies dealing with recruitment, to ensure compliance with the law. The third Annex is more general and deals with migration, whether organized or spontaneous.

**The Migration for Employment Recommendation (Revised), 1949 (No. 86)**

Convention No. 97 is accompanied by Recommendation No. 86. Recommendations are not subject to ratification and as such are not binding instruments. Yet when they accompany a Convention, they may provide useful guidelines on how to implement the Convention or on how to read a particular provision of a Convention.

Recommendation No. 86, for instance, in addition to Article 8 of the Convention, contains provisions aimed at protecting migrant workers, who have been admitted on a permanent basis, from expulsion on account of their lack of means or employment. Paragraph 18 of the Recommendation says: “When a migrant for employment has been regularly admitted to the territory of a Member, the said Member should, as far as possible, refrain from removing such person or the members of his family from its territory on account of his lack of means or the state of the employment market, unless an agreement to this effect has been concluded between the competent authorities of the emigration and immigration territories concerned.”

**The Migrant Workers (Supplementary Provisions) Convention, 1975 (No. 143)**

The full title of Convention No. 143 is “Convention concerning Migrations in Abusive Conditions and the Promotion of Equality of Opportunity and Treatment of Migrant Workers”. The Convention consists of three parts and governments may decide to exclude either Part I or Part II from their ratification of this instrument.

**Basic human rights**

Part I runs from Article 1 to 9 and constitutes the first attempt by the international community to deal with irregular migration and to do it from a rights-based perspective.

Article 1 thus lays down the general obligation for member States to respect the basic human rights of all migrant workers. In other words, the rights of all migrant workers, regardless of their status, are to be respected. The intention is to affirm, without challenging the right of States to regulate migratory flows, the right of migrant workers to be protected, whether or not they entered or remained the country on a regular basis, with or without official documents. This Article refers, according to the ILO Committee of Experts, to the fundamental human rights contained in the international instruments adopted by the UN in this domain (see page 65), and includes the
fundamental rights of workers covered by the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work, adopted by the International Labour Conference on 18 June 1998. The ILO Declaration lists these rights in Paragraph 2 as follows: “(a) freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining; (b) the elimination of all forms of forced or compulsory labour; (c) the effective abolition of child labour; and (d) the elimination of discrimination in respect of employment and occupation” (see page 75).

Articles 2 and 3 of Convention No. 143 invite member States to act and possibly cooperate to suppress the clandestine movement of migrants. Here, attention is paid to the fact that it is the recruiters or the employers of irregular workers who may be prosecuted and sentenced, but not the migrant workers themselves. The ILO Committee of Experts has indicated however that, contrary to the spirit of the Convention, “sanctions against migrants in an irregular situation are very widespread, both in sending and in receiving countries”. It must be added that actual sanctions against employers are in fact very rare, compared with deportation or other measures applied against migrant workers. So Articles 2 and 3 deserve to be borne in mind.

Cooperation between member States as provided by the Convention should also, according to Article 4, make it possible to prosecute the authors of trafficking whatever the country from which they exercise their activities. This is reminiscent of the adoption more recently by a number of countries, of legislation that includes “extraterritorial” provisions, namely in addressing the issues of trafficking and pedophilia and enabling countries to prosecute offenders even if their crimes have been perpetrated outside of their territory.

Consultation of social partners is foreseen in Article 7 of the Convention which provides employers and trade union organizations with the right of initiative in the field of combating abusive conditions in labour migrations.

Part I of the Convention also lays down certain protective measures for migrants who have lost their employment. In that respect, Article 8 specifies that “One: On condition that he has resided legally in the territory for the purpose of employment, the migrant worker shall not be regarded as in an illegal or irregular situation by the mere fact of the loss of his employment, which shall not in itself imply the withdrawal of his authorization of residence or, as the case may be, work permit”. And “Two: Accordingly, he shall enjoy equality of treatment with nationals in respect in particular of guarantees of security of employment, the provision of alternative employment, relief work and retraining”.

Rights of undocumented migrant workers

With regard to migrant workers in an irregular situation, Article 9 reads as follows: “Without prejudice to measures designed to control movements of migrants for employment by ensuring that migrant workers enter national territory and are admitted to employment in conformity with the relevant laws and regulations, the migrant worker shall, in cases in which these laws and regulations have not been respected and in which his position cannot be regularized, enjoy equality of
treatment for himself and his family in respect of rights arising out of past employment as regards remuneration, social security and other benefits”. In other words, a migrant worker who has performed work, even if in an irregular situation, has the right to be paid his salary and is entitled for the work performed to social security and other benefits, as would apply to a migrant in a regular situation.

**Part II of Convention No. 143: equal opportunities**

**Part II of Convention No. 143 only applies to regularly admitted migrants.**

While Convention No. 97 intends to prohibit inequalities of treatment between migrant workers in a regular situation and national workers, Part II of Convention No. 143 aims to also provide for “equality of opportunity”, that is to say that it also aims at eliminating discrimination in practice by proposing specific measures to ensure equality, including for instance in recruitment, job mobility or education and vocational training.

According to Article 10 of Convention No. 143, this also applies to social security, trade union and cultural rights.

Article 14 suggests that migrant workers should be allowed to change employers, at least after a period of maximum two years. This is an important means of avoiding abusive situations and the obligation to stay with an employer for longer periods may give the employer an unwarranted way of putting pressure on his/her employee by threatening to stop or not renew the contract in which case the worker will have also lost residential authorization and will be forced to leave the country or face deportation.

**Family reunification**

Article 13 requires States Parties to the Conventions to facilitate the reunification of the family of the migrant workers legally residing on their territory. It should also be said that Article 9(4) of Convention No. 143 provides that “nothing in this Convention shall prevent Members from giving persons who are illegally residing or working within the country the right to stay and to take up legal employment”. Countries are usually extremely cautious regarding policies leading up to legalizing the situation of migrant workers in an irregular situation. During the discussion on the ILO tripartite multilateral framework on labour migration, it was clear that governments considered regularization as an issue of sovereignty that should not be addressed in the ILO’s proposed policies. In any event, the provision of Convention No. 143 is a declaratory one which does not require specific measures to be taken by ratifying States. But its accompanying Recommendation No. 151 suggests that the decision on whether or not the migrant worker’s situation is to be regularized should be taken quickly and that once the worker’s position has been regularized, he or she should benefit from all rights provided for migrant workers lawfully admitted within the territory of the member State.

From the examination by the ILO Committee of Experts of governments’ reports on Recommendation No. 151, there do not appear to be any difficulties in the application of the
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Recommendation on these points. The Committee noted that migrant workers are sometimes allowed to be employed illegally for a number of years in a country, with no decision being taken relating to their status. This leaves them in a situation of permanent uncertainty in which they are far more vulnerable to abusive conditions. In order to avoid such situations, the Committee emphasized the importance of rapid detection of migrant workers in an irregular situation and a decision as to whether to regularize them. Illegal employment of migrant workers partly results from a certain tolerance by States. The consequences of the slowness of existing proceedings, and the incapacity of States to effectively detect whether migrants are illegally employed in their territory, ought not to fall exclusively upon migrant workers in an irregular situation, the ILO Committee of experts said. Although this is not explicitly covered in these instruments, the Committee considered that as a matter of equity in such cases, the State concerned should examine, on a case-by-case basis, the situation of each migrant worker in an irregular situation who has been living for a certain length of time in the country, and consider the possibility of delivering a residence permit.

The Migrant Workers Recommendation, 1975 (No. 151)
Recommendation No. 151 consists of three parts. Part I deals with practical measures to ensure respect for the principles of equality of opportunity and treatment. It reaffirms the rights of migrant workers, including those in an irregular situation, to join trade unions and exert their trade union rights. It calls on the authorities to make sure that migrants who are in an irregular situation are rapidly informed as to whether their status can be regularized. In case of deportation, the migrant should not be asked to bear the cost of the operation.

The case for regularization - An example from the United Kingdom
Official figures suggest the UK has an irregular migrant population of up to 570,000. It is varied in character consisting of – among others – clandestine or trafficked migrants, failed asylum-seekers and overstayers. What they all have in common is that they have entered or remain in the UK in an unregulated immigration capacity.

Living in fear of deportation, they are consequently without means to enforce their rights, and are one of the most disadvantaged and exploited segments of the population.

“Regularization” is the name of the process which would enable this group to earn authorization in a regulated immigration capacity and, free of the threat of deportation, be better able to realize their rights and less prone to exploitation. “Regularization” is different from amnesty because it is a managed process. The term also acknowledges that irregularity in immigration status is primarily a by-product of regulations that need changing and is not an inherently criminal activity.

Earlier this year it was estimated that regularization, by capturing hitherto lost taxation, national insurance contributions and other revenues, could net the Treasury up to US$2 billion a year. This can be set against the potential US$9.4 billion cost of deporting the entire irregular migrant population.

From a briefing produced by the Joint Council for the Welfare of Migrants (JCWI) www.jcwi.org.uk.
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Safety and health
Part II of Recommendation No. 151 refers to social policy, in particular safety and health issues. This is particularly important, as among the 6,000 workers who die every day from work-related accidents or illnesses, many are probably migrant workers, including migrants in an irregular situation. Indeed the most dangerous sectors in terms of working conditions (agriculture – 335,000 fatal accidents every year; construction – 55,000 fatal accidents a year; or mining) are also those where the presence of migrant workers is highest.

Paragraphs 21 and 22 of the Recommendation deal extensively with the preventive aspects of safety and health. Paragraph 21 says for instance: “(1) Every effort should be made to ensure that migrant workers receive training and instruction in occupational safety and occupational hygiene in connection with their practical training or other work preparation, and, as far as possible, as part thereof”.

Minimum protection
Part III of the Recommendation calls for the adoption of a number of measures to ensure minimum protection in the event of loss of employment, expulsion or departure from the country of employment. Paragraphs 33 and 34 are self-explanatory in that respect and provide interesting guidelines for policies. They read as follows: “A migrant worker who is the object of an expulsion order should have a right of appeal before an administrative or judicial instance, according to conditions laid down in national laws or regulations. This appeal should stay the execution of the expulsion order, subject to the duly substantiated requirements of national security or public order. The migrant worker should have the same right to legal assistance as national workers and have the possibility of being assisted by an interpreter.”

Paragraph 34 states:
(1) A migrant worker who leaves the country of employment should be entitled, irrespective of the legality of his stay therein— (a) to any outstanding remuneration for work performed, including severance payments normally due; (b) to benefits which may be due in respect of any employment injury suffered; (c) in accordance with national practice— (i) to compensation in lieu of any holiday entitlement acquired but not used; (ii) to reimbursement of any social security contributions which have not given and will not give rise to rights under national laws or regulations or international arrangements: Provided that where social security contributions do not permit entitlement to benefits, every effort should be made with a view to the conclusion of bilateral or multilateral agreements to protect the rights of migrants, and

(2) Where any claim covered in subparagraph (1) of this Paragraph is in dispute, the worker should be able to have his interests represented before the competent body and enjoy equal treatment with national workers as regards legal assistance.”

In fact, as can be seen, the two ILO Conventions and the accompanying Recommendations do cover many issues involved in labour migration and provide equitable responses to many of the concerns expressed by trade union organizations. While they certainly require initiatives from governments,
the poor ratification of these instruments has more to do with weak promotional efforts than with
government reluctance to engage in developing sound migration policies. However, certain provi-
sions seem to present some difficulties for governments. In the case of Convention 97, the provi-
sions mostly cited in this respect are Article 6 on equal treatment (particularly as it refers to social
security) and Article 8 on the maintenance of residence rights, in the event of an incapacity to work.
In the case of Convention No. 143, Articles 8 (protection in the event of loss of employment), 10
(equality of opportunity and treatment) and 14(a) (right of migrant workers to geographical and
occupational mobility) seem to create the most difficulties for governments.

A charter for migrant workers’ rights
Together with Conventions 97 and 143, the International Convention on the Rights of All
Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families (see page 78), adopted by the UN General
Assembly in 1990, constitutes the third pillar of a rights-based approach to labour migra-
tion.

The ILO’s core labour standards and other international labour
standards

ILO Core Conventions
There are some ILO Conventions that are regarded as basic human rights. The ILO Declaration on
Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work was adopted at the International Labour Conference in
1998. This said that all States, by the very fact of their membership of the ILO, should abide by
the rights and principles contained in the eight core Conventions.

The importance of these core Conventions for trade unions and migrant workers is that violations
of workers’ fundamental rights are more likely to occur to migrant workers.

Migrant workers frequently find themselves in forced labour conditions, with travel documents such
as passports taken from them and an obligation to pay the “debts” incurred in moving them to the
destination country.

While it is often only adult workers who migrate, if they do take their families their children are
often forced to work. Trafficking in persons often involves children and young workers.

The principles of freedom of association and the right to organize are recognized to apply to all
workers, including migrants, regardless of their being regular or irregular. Unscrupulous employers
will frequently try to stop migrant workers joining trade unions. It is the absence of unions that
makes migrant workers easy to exploit.
Key points of the ILO’s core Conventions are:

Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise Convention, 1948 (No. 87)
Guarantees the removal of acts of discrimination against trade unions; the protection of employers’ and workers’ organizations against mutual interference; and calls for measures to promote collective bargaining. Article 2 is vital: Workers and employers, without distinction whatsoever, shall have the right to establish and, subject only to the rules of the organization concerned, to join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization. 149 ratifications as at 14 July 2008.

Right to Organise and Collective Bargaining Convention, 1949 (No. 98)
Protects workers who are exercising the right to organize; upholds the principle of non-interference between workers and employers organizations; and promotes voluntary collective bargaining. 159 ratifications as at 14 July 2008.

Forced Labour Convention, 1930 (No. 29)
Aims at the immediate suppression of all forms of forced or compulsory labour, with exceptions such a military service, convict labour, and during emergencies such as war, fires and earthquakes. Ratified by 173 countries as at 14 July 2008 – the most widely ratified Convention.

Abolition of Forced Labour Convention, 1957 (No. 105)
Provides for the abolition of all forms of forced or compulsory labour as a means of political coercion or education; as sanctions against the free expressions of political and ideological opinions; as workforce mobilization; as labour discipline; as a punishment for taking part in strikes; and as measure of discrimination. 169 ratifications as at 14 July 2008.

Equal Remuneration Convention, 1951 (No. 100)
Underscores the principle of equal remuneration between men and women, for work of equal value. 166 ratifications as at 14 July 2008.

Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention, 1958 (No. 111)
Provides for a national policy designed to eliminate, in respect of employment and occupation, all direct and indirect discrimination based on race, colour, sex, religion, political opinion, national extraction or social origin. 168 ratifications as at 14 July 2008.

Minimum Age Convention, 1973 (No. 138)
Applies to all sectors of economic activity; States must declare a national minimum age for admission to employment; all children are covered whether or not they are employed for wages; States must pursue a national policy to ensure the effective abolition of child labour; the minimum age for entry into work shall not be less than that for the completion of compulsory schooling (although a lower age than 14 years may be adopted for light work, for countries which are less developed); an age level of 18 is set for hazardous work. 150 ratifications as at 14 July 2008.
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Prohibition and Immediate Elimination of the Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention, 1999 (No. 182)
Member States to draw up a time-bound programme for the elimination of the worst forms of child labour. While the Convention itself gives a list, member States, in consultation with social partners, shall also draw up their own list of the worst forms. 168 ratifications as at 14 July 2008.

Remember!
Unless stated otherwise, all ILO core Conventions apply equally to all migrant workers, regardless of their status.

Other international labour standards

While many international labour standards are relevant to migrant workers, two may be specially noted here:

Private Employment Agencies Convention, 1997 (No. 181)

ILO member States that ratify the Convention should ensure that private employment agencies do not charge workers for their services; and that workers are allowed to join trade unions.

In particular, Article 11 states:

A Member shall, in accordance with national law and practice, take the necessary measures to ensure adequate protection for the workers employed by private employment agencies as described in Article 1, Paragraph 1(b) above, in relation to:

(a) freedom of association;
(b) collective bargaining;
(c) minimum wages;
(d) working time and other working conditions;
(e) statutory social security benefits;
(f) access to training;
(g) occupational safety and health;
(h) compensation in case of occupational accidents or diseases;
(i) compensation in case of insolvency and protection of workers’ claims;
(j) maternity protection and benefits, and parental protection and benefits.
Regulating employment agencies properly would play a very important role in ensuring migrant workers’ welfare. Only 20 countries have ratified this Convention, and very few of these are large “origin countries”.

**Article 8 of the Convention is particularly concerned with preventing abuses of migrant workers.**

1. A Member shall, after consulting the most representative organizations of employers and workers, adopt all necessary and appropriate measures, both within its jurisdiction and, where appropriate, in collaboration with other Members, to provide adequate protection for and prevent abuses of migrant workers recruited or placed in its territory by private employment agencies. These shall include laws or regulations which provide for penalties, including prohibition of those private employment agencies which engage in fraudulent practices and abuses.

2. Where workers are recruited in one country for work in another, the Members concerned shall consider concluding bilateral agreements to prevent abuses and fraudulent practices in recruitment, placement and employment.

**Employment Relationship Recommendation, 2006 (No. 198)**

A new Recommendation, adopted by the International Labour Conference in 2006, deals with the employment relationship. It is concerned with establishing when a genuine worker–employer relationship exists. The use by unscrupulous employers of disguised employment relationships to avoid legal obligations to workers, and to discourage union organization, is on the increase.

**Migrant workers are more likely to be involved in these “informal” employment relationships. The Recommendation states:**

7. In the context of the transnational movement of workers:

   (a) in framing national policy, a Member should, after consulting the most representative organizations of employers and workers, consider adopting appropriate measures within its jurisdiction, and where appropriate in collaboration with other Members, so as to provide effective protection to and prevent abuses of migrant workers in its territory who may be affected by uncertainty as to the existence of an employment relationship;

   (b) where workers are recruited in one country for work in another, the Members concerned may consider concluding bilateral agreements to prevent abuses and fraudulent practices which have as their purpose the evasion of the existing arrangements for the protection of workers in the context of an employment relationship.
The UN International Convention on the Protection of Rights of All Migrant Workers and Their Families (“The UN Convention”)

This Convention, which the ILO actively participated in the drafting of, recognizes and builds upon the provisions contained in the ILO Conventions, and in some ways goes beyond them. It extends to migrant workers who enter or reside in the host country illegally (and members of their families) rights which were previously limited to individuals involved in regular migration for employment, going beyond those elaborated in Part I of ILO Convention No. 143. While the long-term objective of the United Nations Convention is to discourage and finally eliminate irregular migration, at the same time it aims to protect the fundamental rights of migrants caught up in such migratory flows taking account of their vulnerable position. Other significant aspects of the Convention include the fact that ratifying States are not permitted to exclude any category of migrant worker from its application, the “indivisibility” of the instrument, and the fact that it includes every type of migrant worker, including those who are excluded from existing ILO instruments.

The Convention requires States parties to promote “sound, equitable, humane and lawful conditions” for the international migration of workers and members of their families. These requirements include:

- The establishment of policies on migration;
- The exchange of information with other States’ parties;
- The provision of information to employers, workers and their organizations on policies, laws and regulations; and
- Assistance to migrant workers and their families.

The Convention establishes rules for the recruitment of migrant workers, and for their return to their States of origin. It also details the steps to be taken to combat illegal or clandestine migration.

The list of countries that have ratified the Convention is revealing: it does not include a single country in the European Union, or what could be called a wealthy destination country.

Supervisory body for the UN Convention

The UN Convention on Migrant Workers entered into force in 2003, following ratification by 20 States. A number of ratifying States have entered “reservations” about clauses in the Convention.

The supervisory body for the Convention is the Committee on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families. The Committee consists of ten experts serving in their personal capacity, elected by the ratifying States.

States that have ratified the Convention must report on the steps they have taken to implement its provisions. The reports are expected to indicate problems encountered in implementation, and to provide information on migration flows. After examining the reports, the Committee will transmit such comments as it may consider appropriate to the State party concerned.
Section 5 - The rights of migrant workers

Differences between the UN and ILO Conventions
There are, however, some important differences between the UN International Convention and the ILO Conventions. Firstly, the International Convention does not provide for social dialogue on migration policies, nor does it provide for a role by trade unions and employers’ organizations in follow-up mechanisms. While trade union organizations can bring matters to the ILO’s attention, through reports, representations and even complaints, the grievance procedure under the International Convention has two restrictions (which do not appear in ILO procedures): firstly in order to lodge a complaint to the Committee on the Protection of the Rights of Migrant Workers, the complainant must have exhausted all possibilities of legal recourses at home and, secondly in order for a complaint to be declared receivable, the member State concerned must have officially recognized the competence of the Committee. A final difference is the possibility for governments adhering to or ratifying the International Convention to express “reservations” with regard to certain of its provisions. In this regard, the government of Turkey in its ratification instrument on the International Convention has expressed a reservation on Article 40 on freedom of association, indicating that: “The Turkish Law on Trade Unions allows only the Turkish citizens to form trade unions in Turkey”. While such a reservation will probably not stand scrutiny under Article 91, Paragraph 2, of the International Convention (“A reservation incompatible with the object and purpose of the present Convention shall not be permitted”), it illustrates some of the limits of the 1990 instrument.

Labour laws
Just as all ILO Conventions apply to all workers, including migrant workers, all national labour laws should apply to all workers, including migrant workers. This is often not the case.

In many states, coverage by labour laws and therefore coverage by labour inspectors is incomplete. Factory Acts or Minimum Wages laws may only apply to a minority of workplaces. Agricultural work and domestic work are often not covered by any law, and many migrant workers work in these sectors. They will never see a Labour Inspector.

Social security legislation often makes distinctions between migrant workers and nationals, with no justifiable basis.

Maternity protection for women migrant workers is often non-existent and they are frequently dismissed and repatriated when pregnant.

Anti-discrimination laws may only apply to citizens of a country, and in any case access to the legal system may be barred in practice to migrant workers.

A frequent element of migration legislation in many countries is to make it mandatory for a migrant worker to stay with the same employer for a given period of time, failing which she or he may be deported. ILO Convention No. 143 says that two years is a reasonable period and should be the maximum. The worker should then be allowed to change employer without fear of deportation.
Migrant Workers in the Republic of Korea

Korean Migrants Trade Union (MTU)

Migrant workers in the Republic of Korea have become increasingly active in organizing to oppose exploitation on the job and harsh immigration policies. These became even tougher with the introduction in August 2004 of new legislation restricting migrant workers to three years’ employment with a single employer. Migrant workers, with growing support from the trade unions, organized to oppose this legislation, gaining in both visibility and confidence. The Korean Migrants Trade Union (MTU) was founded on 24 April 2005.

The Government responded to the union’s application to register with the Labour Ministry by announcing that migrants had no right to union recognition, organization or collective bargaining, and that it would therefore reject the registration application.

On 14 May 2005, MTU President Anwar Hossain, a Bangladeshi by birth, was forcibly arrested by over 30 policemen while on his way home. The Government says he was being held solely for having overstayed his visa. Shortly after his arrest, police attempted to break into the union’s office and arrest the Acting President.

In February 2007 the Seoul High Court ruled that the Government had acted illegally in refusing the registration of the union.

International Law and Undocumented Migrant Workers’ Right to Freedom of Association

Under the Republic of Korea’s (ROK) Constitution, international conventions ratified by the ROK have the same force as domestic law. The ROK has signed the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD), the International Convention on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), and the International Convention on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). All of these conventions protect the right to freedom of association of all workers, regardless of social status. In particular, ICERD General Recommendation No. 30 (2004) states that “guarantees against racial discrimination apply to non-citizens, regardless of their immigration status” (paragraph 7) and that “while States’ parties may refuse to offer jobs to non-citizens without a work permit, all individuals are entitled to the enjoyment of labor and employment rights, including the freedom of assembly and association, once an employment relationship has been initiated and until it is terminated” (paragraph 32).

What is more, ILO Convention No. 87 (Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organize) protects the right to freedom of association for all workers, “without distinction whatsoever” and has been shown to apply to undocumented migrant workers through Committee on Freedom of Association recommendations (UGT, 2001 and AFL-CIO/CTM, 2002).

MTU’s case is not just about the rights of migrant workers in South Korea. By winning legal recognition, MTU will be setting a real precedent for the right to freedom of association of undocumented migrant workers around the world, bringing to life the principles set out in international labour and human rights’ law.

Extract from a newsletter of the Seoul-Gyeonggi-incheon Migrants Trade Union (MTU) Newsletter
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List of International Labour Conventions and Recommendations referred to in the ILO's Multilateral Framework on Labour Migration[^41]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fundamental Conventions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise Convention, 1948 (No. 87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right to Organise and Collective Bargaining Convention, 1949 (No. 98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced Labour Convention, 1930 (No. 29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abolition of Forced Labour Convention, 1957 (No. 105)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal Remuneration Convention, 1951 (No. 100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention, 1958 (No. 111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Age Convention, 1973 (No. 138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention, 1999 (No. 182)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Migrant-specific Instruments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration for Employment Convention (Revised), 1949 (No. 97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration for Employment Recommendation (Revised), 1949 (No. 86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant Workers (Supplementary Provisions) Convention, 1975 (No. 143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant Workers Recommendation, 1975 (No. 151)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other ILO Conventions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equality of Treatment ( Accident Compensation) Convention, 1925 (No. 19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour Inspection Convention, 1947 (No. 81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour Clauses (Public Contracts) Convention, 1949 (No. 94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection of Wages Convention, 1949 (No. 95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security (Minimum Standards) Convention, 1952 (No. 102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantations Convention, 1958 (No. 110)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equality of Treatment ( Social Security) Convention, 1962 (No. 118)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Policy Convention, 1964 (No. 122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour Inspection (Agriculture) Convention, 1969 (No. 129)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Wage Fixing Convention, 1970 (No. 131)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripartite Consultation (International Labour Standards) Convention, 1976 (No. 144)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Personnel Convention, 1977 (No. 149)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Safety and Health Convention, 1981 (No. 155)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of Social Security Rights Convention, 1982 (No. 157)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Health Services Convention, 1985 (No. 161)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety and Health in Construction Convention, 1988 (No. 167)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Conditions (Hotels and Restaurants) Convention, 1991 (No. 172)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety and Health in Mines Convention, 1995 (No. 176)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Employment Agencies Convention, 1997 (No. 181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternity Protection Convention, 2000 (No. 183)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety and Health in Agriculture Convention, 2001 (No. 184)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Making use of ILO standards: the role of trade unions

A range of possibilities is provided to trade unions to ensure that the provisions of the Conventions are reflected not only in their national laws, but also in practice.

Reports on ratified Conventions

First of all, in virtue of the Constitution of the ILO (Article 22), governments are required to submit regular reports on the Conventions that they have ratified. For most Conventions reports are due every five years (this is the case for Convention Nos. 97 and 143) but for the so-called “fundamental Conventions” (see page 75), they are due every two years. The same applies to those described as “priority Conventions”**, dealing with labour inspection, employment policy, and tripartite consultation. These reports are submitted on the basis of a questionnaire prepared by the Governing Body which must reach the Office each year between 1 June and 1 September. In the countries that have ratified the Tripartite Consultation (International Labour Standards) Convention, 1976 (No. 144), the governments are obliged to consult employers’ and workers’ organizations in preparing their reports. But even in those countries that have not ratified Convention No. 144, the governments are required under Article 23(2) of the Constitution to submit a copy of their reports to representative trade union organizations, thus enabling them to make their own comments.

From the perspective of workers, all trade union bodies should make it a point of duty to submit comments to the International Labour Office so that it can gauge the situation exactly. In the absence of these comments, only those submitted by the governments will serve to determine the situation in a given country. These comments are studied by a Committee of independent experts from a legal standpoint. The analysis of that Committee is published in the report of the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations (CEACR) which is circulated to all member States, and to governments and employers’ and workers’ organizations. Each year, the tripartite Committee on the Application of Standards, which meets during the International Labour Conference and reports to the latter, studies the cases mentioned by the experts and, at the proposal of the Workers’ and Employers’ groups, may call on governments to come and give account of the situation in their country. Not a single year goes by without the Experts deploring the paucity of comments received from trade union organizations in its general remarks. Yet it is a crucial stage in the hierarchy of means made available to trade union organizations as it very often serves to prevent more extensive violations.

Reports on non-ratified Conventions

As pertains to non-ratified Conventions, the Governing Body decides each year on a subject (Convention and Recommendation, as appropriate) for detailed reporting by member States, even if they are not party to the Convention in question. Here again, trade union organizations can play

---

**There are four Conventions described as priority Conventions: the Labour Inspection Convention, 1947 (No. 81), the Employment Policy Convention, 1964 (No. 122), the Labour Inspection (Agriculture) Convention, 1969 (No. 129), and the Tripartite Consultation (International Labour Standards) Convention, 1976 (No. 144). [http://www.ilo.org/ilolex/index.htm](http://www.ilo.org/ilolex/index.htm)
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A critical role by sending their own comments and thereby enabling the Committee of Experts to prepare the most objective possible general survey which will also be discussed during the annual meeting of the Committee on the Application of Standards. The outcome of these discussions will make it possible to assess the effectiveness and current value of the instruments, provide governments with an opportunity to review their policy if necessary, or even to ratify new Conventions and to consider the formulation of new standards if need be. By way of example, a general survey on migrant workers was conducted in 1999 and is still serving to this day as the baseline for all matters related to the ILO instruments on migrant workers (for more go to http://www.ilo.org/ilolex/english/surveyq.htm).

**Representations**

In the event of more serious infringements, trade union organizations may resort to the representations envisaged in Article 24 of the Constitution. Representation is a special procedure and is subject to strict criteria of receivability. When the receivability criteria are met, the representation is transmitted to a tripartite committee appointed by the Governing Body for examination. The committee reports back to the Governing Body and formulates conclusions and recommendations. The government in question is invited to send a representative to take part in the deliberations on its case. The Governing Body decides on the appropriateness of publishing the representation and any answer from the government, and communicates its decision to the organizations and to the government concerned.

**Complaints**

In case of very serious breaches of ratified Conventions, a complaint may be filed pursuant to Article 26 of the Constitution. This procedure may be initiated by a government against another government under certain conditions, but such cases are extremely rare. It may also be started by the Governing Body, whether ex officio or, as in most instances, in response to a complaint filed by a party delegated to the Conference. In such a case, the Governing Body appoints a Commission of Inquiry to examine the matter raised and make a report accordingly. That report is communicated to the government concerned, which must state whether it accepts the conclusions therein. Should it not accept them, it must signal whether it wishes to submit them to the International Court of Justice, as the only competent body to rule on legal disputes. The decision of the International Court is final. This complaints procedure is applicable only in cases of grave violations of ratified Conventions. It has been used in recent years against Belarus with respect to freedom of association, and Myanmar (Burma) in connection with forced labour.

---

To be receivable, a representation must: be communicated to the International Labour Office in writing; emanate from an industrial association of employers or of workers; refer expressly to Article 24 of the Constitution; pertain to an ILO Member; be related to a Convention ratified by the Member in question and indicate the respect in which the Member has failed to secure the effective observance of the said Convention within its jurisdiction.
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Complaints to the Committee on Freedom of Association

All these special procedures may be used only when the Conventions have been ratified. Nevertheless, there is an exception to this principle. It is the case of complaints to the Committee on Freedom of Association. This Committee examines complaints of violations of freedom of association regardless of whether the government in question is bound by the Conventions on freedom of association. In fact, the principles set out in Conventions Nos. 87 and 98 are also enshrined in the Constitution of the ILO and by the mere fact of membership, all member States of the Organization must observe them. The complaints are examined by a tripartite committee that sits three times a year and reports to the Governing Body. These reports are made public.

Follow-up to the Declaration

With the exception of complaints filed with the Committee on Freedom of Association, the procedures made available to trade union bodies are applicable only in connection with ratified Conventions. In the case of non-ratified Conventions, the annual follow-up of the Declaration constitutes another possibility for pressure and intervention by trade union bodies. Indeed, governments are required to indicate each year, on the forms prepared by the Governing Body, the de jure and de facto situation with regard to principles contained in the fundamental Conventions that they have not ratified. It goes without saying that in the absence of comments from trade union organizations, the Office will have only a partial view of the facts.

In fact, although the effectiveness of the ILO is sometimes questioned in some circles, it is also a matter for the trade union organizations. They have contributed towards equipping the ILO with a package of unique instruments at the multilateral level. It is therefore also incumbent on them to ensure the optimal use of all this potential. Trade union bodies must always be mindful of the reason for their existence: the defence of workers’ rights. This defence also necessarily entails a greater degree of involvement on their part and a stronger commitment to secure observance of the Conventions of the ILO and to denounce breaches of them.
The impact of the ILO supervisory system

The impact of the different supervisory mechanisms has been considerable. The participation of trade unions in the system is unique among international organizations.

The Committee of Experts and the Committee on Freedom of Association have reaffirmed, on several occasions, the rights of migrant workers to form or join trade unions, to hold office in them and to be protected against any act of anti-union discrimination.

Observations of the committees have resulted in countries changing their laws. For example, following observations by the ILO Committee of Experts that their former laws were not in conformity with the Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise Convention, 1948 (No. 87), Cameroon, Chad and Niger amended their laws to permit migrant workers to hold trade union office after a certain period of residence in the country to conform with Article 3 of the Convention.

Finland and Luxembourg amended their laws to remove restrictions on the maximum number of migrant workers who could be members of trade unions in order to bring their legislation into conformity with Article 2 of the Convention.

With regard to the rights of migrant workers in an irregular situation, the Committee on Freedom of Association considers them within the coverage of Article 2 of the Convention, which recognizes the right of workers “without distinction whatsoever” to establish and join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

**Checklist for using ILO procedures**

Note: We use the term “submission” in the checklist to refer both to a representation and a complaint.

- Has your country ratified the Convention concerned? You cannot make a representation if the government has not ratified the Convention. NB: This does not apply in cases concerning freedom of association.
- Is your submission about something which comes clearly within the scope of the Convention?
- Can you get help to draft your submission in legal terms?
- Do you have exact and precise details of the violations? You need names, dates, places.
- What steps have you taken in trying to resolve the issues at national level? (It is not necessary to have used national procedures before making a submission first).
- Do you know what submissions have been made by other organizations in your country, or Global Union Federations (GUFs) about the same issue and what happened?
- Can you make a joint submission with another organization in your country or with an international organization such as a GUF or the ITUC?
- Are you willing to cooperate with migrant worker associations or NGOs?
Using ILO procedures to protect migrant workers’ rights

Spain

The Spanish General Workers’ Union (UGT) submitted a complaint against the Spanish Government to the ILO’s Committee on Freedom of Association (CFA). They considered that a new law prevented the exercise of freedom of association, the right to belong to a trade union and the right to strike by “irregular” foreign workers.

To give a flavour of how the CFA works, we reproduce its conclusions. Behind the legal language, the CFA accepted the position of the Spanish trade unions, and asked the Government to amend its law.

The Committee’s conclusions:

559. The Committee notes that in this case the General Union of Workers of Spain (UGT) alleges that the new law on foreigners (Act No. 8/2000 on the Rights of Foreigners in Spain and their Social Integration) restricts foreigners’ trade union rights by making their exercise dependent on authorization of their presence or residence in Spain ...

560. The Committee also takes note of the Government’s statements, in response to the allegations of discrimination, to the effect that the law was amended not so much in order to distinguish the situation of foreigners from that of nationals as to establish a clear distinction between the so-called “legal” foreigners, who enjoy trade union rights on an equal footing with nationals, and “irregular” foreigners. The objective is to control migratory flows and combat the mafias who traffic in human beings and their subsequent exploitation at work by creating a clear distinction, in contrast to the earlier Act, between Spanish nationals and legal foreigners, on the one hand, and irregular foreigners, on the other.

561. In the light of the above information, the Committee observes that the issue in this case consists of determining whether it is appropriate, as the complainant requests, to interpret broadly the concept of “workers” used in the ILO Conventions on freedom of association. In this context, the Committee recalls that Article 2 of Convention No. 87 recognizes the right of workers, without distinction whatsoever, to establish and join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization ... Consequently, as concerns the legislation in question, the Committee requests the Government to take the terms of Article 2 of Convention No. 87 into account. It also emphasizes that unions must have the right to represent and assist workers covered by the Convention with the aim of furthering and defending their interests (CFA, Case No. 2121).
Hong Kong (China)
The Trade Union Congress of the Philippines (TUCP), has made a representation to the ILO related to Convention No. 97 on migrant workers ratified by Hong Kong (China). The TUCP representation has received public backing from the Philippines Government.

The representation alleged non-observance by China of Convention No. 97 with respect to the Special Administrative Region (SAR) of Hong Kong. The allegations related to certain measures approved by the Government of Hong Kong affecting the wages and the social security rights of foreign domestic workers and which were harmful for Filipino workers and in violation of Article 6 of the ILO Convention which provides for equal treatment.

The specific measures included:
(a) the reduction of the Minimum Allowance Wage (MAW) of foreign domestic workers by HK$400, effective April 2003;
(b) the introduction of an employees’ retraining levy of HK$400 imposed on employers of these workers, effective 1 October 2003; and
(c) the possible exclusion of foreign domestic workers, who have not resided in Hong Kong (China) for at least seven years, from subsidized public health care services.

The CEACR has commented regularly on the issue and as result, the government has started a publicity campaign about workers’ benefits.
United States: The Hoffman case

In 2002, the US Supreme Court issued a decision on a case known as Hoffman Plastic Compounds v. NLRB.

The Supreme Court’s ruling held that an undocumented worker, because of his immigration status, was NOT entitled to back-pay for lost wages, even though he was illegally dismissed from his job for union organizing. The majority of the justices said that immigration policy and labor law were in conflict, and that enforcing immigration law took precedence over enforcing labor law. The four dissenting justices said there was not such a conflict and that the “back-pay order will not interfere with the implementation of immigration policy. Rather, it reasonably helps to deter unlawful activity that both labor laws and immigration laws seek to prevent.”

According to Human Rights Watch, the “Hoffman decision has exacerbated fears in immigrant workers’ communities that they lack workplace rights and protections. Employers have made threats against workers, telling them of the decision and emphasizing that they can be dismissed for trade union organizing with no right to reinstatement or back-pay. Workers have abandoned trade union organizing campaigns because of the fear instilled by the Hoffman decision. Employers have also sought to expand the scope of Hoffman, threatening workers with dismissal if they complain about minimum wage or overtime violations, health and safety violations, or any other claim before a government labor law enforcement agency.”

The American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO) and the Confederation of Mexican Workers (CTM) made a representation to the ILO about the case.

In 2003, the Committee on Freedom of Association issued a decision that the Supreme Court’s Hoffman ruling violated the principles of freedom of association. The Committee concluded that “By eliminating the back-pay remedy for undocumented workers, the Hoffman decision annuls protection of their right to organize. The decision grants license to employers to violate workers’ freedom of association with impunity. Workers have no recourse and no remedy when their rights are violated” (CFA Case No. 2227).
Campaigning for ratification

An important area for trade union action in defence of migrant workers’ rights is to campaign for ratification of the Conventions that provide protection to them: the two ILO Convention (Nos. 97 and 143) and the UN Convention.

Checklist on ratification

- Contact the relevant ministry (it is usually the Ministry of Labour) and ask for a discussion on the Conventions and reasons for non-ratification.
- Contact “friendly” Members of Parliament and discuss the matter with them.
- Talk to other national centres (if any) and see if there is any scope for cooperation on this issue.
- Talk to the employers and see if their organization has a view on ratification of the Conventions. Even if the answer is negative, then at least you are prepared.
- Have the Conventions and Recommendations been laid before the “competent authority”? (This is almost always Parliament.) If not, campaign for them to be tabled.
- Obtain a copy of any reports sent to the ILO on the Conventions.
- Has the Ministry of Labour called any tripartite meetings to discuss ratification? If not, raise that demand.
- If the texts have been laid before Parliament, and no decision to ratify was taken, then seek to get the issue reopened. Call for an ILO tripartite workshop as a first action.
- Launch a public campaign, using the news media.
- Your area ILO office and ACTRAV Specialist in Workers’ Activities can help you.

Checklist of Migrant Workers’ Rights under ILO standards

Pre-departure and during the journey
Access to information

Migrants have the right to information concerning the general conditions of work and life in the intended country of work and on any other issues of potential interest to them in their capacity as migrants.

The information provided should be in their language or dialect or at least in a language they can understand. The information provided should be free.
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Recruitment
Recruitment should only be carried out by:
• Public authorities;
• Prospective employers;
• Authorized private agencies.

Employers and private agencies involved in recruitment should be subject to prior authorization by the relevant government body and their activities carried out under official supervision, so as to protect against:
• Excessive fees;
• The use of misleading propaganda;
• Attempts to evade immigration controls.

Where governments maintain a system of supervising contracts of employment, migrants have the right to receive, before departure, a written contract of employment covering conditions of work and terms of employment, particularly the rate of remuneration.

Facilitated departure
Migrants have the right to assistance in dealing with documentation and other administrative formalities relating to the immigration process. That assistance should be provided free of charge. Workers and members of their families authorized to accompany them have the right to a medical examination before departure and to adequate medical attention during the journey. Note that pregnancy testing or testing for HIV status are considered contrary to human rights.

On arrival and after entry

Customs exemption
Migrant workers may take into their country of work, free of customs duty, their personal effects - including those of members of their families authorized to accompany or join them - as well as the tools of their trade.

Settling in
Migrants are entitled to the services of the appropriate public authority in finding suitable employment, without payment of fees or administrative costs.

Migrants are entitled to other assistance necessary to settling into their new environment, including interpretation services and assistance with housing-related administrative formalities.

Discrimination in access to accommodation should be prohibited.
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Equality in terms and conditions of employment, vocational training and related matters. Migrant workers are to be accorded equality of opportunity and treatment in respect of:

• Access to vocational guidance and placement services;
• Access to vocational training and employment of their own choice, on the basis of individual suitability for such training and employment;
• Merit-based advancement;
• Job security;
• The provision of alternate employment, relief work and retraining;
• Rates of pay and all other forms of remuneration;
• Conditions of work, including hours of work, rest periods, annual holidays;
• With pay, occupational safety and health measures;
• Social security, particularly regarding employment injury, maternity, sickness, invalidity, old age, death, family responsibilities and unemployment; and
• Freedom of association and collective bargaining rights.

Access to Courts
Migrants should be given the same right of legal recourse as nationals, including the right to legal assistance, in respect of:

• Employment-related disputes;
• The exercise of trade union rights.

Social security matters
Social policies should enable migrant workers and their families to share in advantages enjoyed by the country's nationals. Elements of such a policy may include:

• Measures to facilitate the reunification of families;
• Social services, including translation and interpretation services.

Migrant workers have the right to transfer part of their earnings and savings, taking into account the limits allowed by national laws and regulations concerning export and import of currency.

Residence and repatriation

Employment and status in country
A migrant worker who resides legally in a country and who has lost his or her employment must not then be regarded as in an irregular situation and should be allowed an extension of the authorization of residence to enable him or her to seek alternative employment.
In case of disputes concerning a migrant worker’s status, he or she has the right to present his or her case before the competent body, either personally or through a representative.

In cases where decisions are successfully challenged, migrants should be entitled to reinstatement, time to find alternate employment and compensation for loss of wages. Migrant workers who are in an irregular situation and are in a dispute about wages owed, should be allowed to remain until the case is resolved.

A migrant worker in an irregular situation and whose position cannot be regularized must enjoy equality of treatment with respect to rights arising out of past employment, as regards remuneration, social security and other benefits.

**Departure from the destination country**
In cases where migrant workers cannot be regularized or are subject to an expulsion order, the costs of returning to their country should not be borne by them.

**Return to home country**
The personal possessions and tools of the trade of the migrant worker and his or her family should be exempt from customs duty upon returning to the home country.

Assuming they have retained the nationality of their State of origin, workers returning to their countries should be eligible for unemployment benefits without any condition as to previous residence or employment.

**Discussion points for Section 5**
- Which international labour standards have been ratified by your country?
- Has your country ratified any of the standards dealing with migrant workers?
- Has the United Nations International Convention on the Protection of Rights of All Migrant Workers and Their Families been ratified by your country?
- Do you receive copies of the reports submitted by your Government to ILO supervisory bodies?
- Have you made any representations on Conventions?
- Is migrant labour seen as an immigration issue, or a labour issue?
- Does existing labour law recognize the rights of migrant workers?
- Are any of the rights in the Checklist of Rights of Migrant Workers under ILO standards recognized in law or policy?
## Learning exercises for section 5

### Activity: Migrant workers’ rights.

### Aim:
To agree a list of migrant workers’ rights.

### Task: 1.
Choose from a range of human rights’ texts (for example, ILO Conventions, or the Universal Declaration of Human Rights). Read through and pick out those rights which you think are of particular interest to migrant workers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treaty/Convention and Article number</th>
<th>What is the right?</th>
<th>Why is it important to migrant workers?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Learning exercise:

Activity: Migrant workers’ rights in your national Constitution.

Aim: To examine migrant workers’ rights in your national Constitution.

Task: 1. Get a copy of your country’s Constitution/laws. Refer back to the previous activity when you drew up a list of migrant workers’ rights.

2. Then see if they are provided for in your Constitution or laws.

3. Draw up a table comparing migrant workers’ rights and the rights guaranteed in your Constitution/laws.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migrant workers’ rights</th>
<th>Comparable right in your national Constitution or law (include Article number)</th>
<th>Comments on enforcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Learning exercise: Labour laws and migrant workers.

Aim: To review what labour laws say about migrant workers.

Task: 1. You may need to divide this task up, to make it easier to complete.

2. Choose one area of your national labour law.

3. Check to see if migrant workers could be excluded from the legal provisions.
Section 6

What next: the role of trade unions

This manual began by stressing that migrant workers must be on trade unions’ agendas. If trade unions do not put migrant workers on their agendas, they will find that governments, employers, and extremist groups will put them on theirs.

Section 6 at a glance

This Section is about how trade unions can work for the rights of migrant workers. Trade unions are already doing a great deal, and based on this experience there is a clearly emerging union agenda.

It does not matter if your union is in an origin or destination country, there is plenty to do!

Four pillars of trade union strategy are discussed:

• Promoting a rights-based migration policy.
• Creating alliances with trade unions in other countries.
• Educating and informing union members, especially shop stewards and officers.
• Reaching out to migrant workers.

This is one of the longest sections in the Manual – and that is quite right, as this is a Manual for trade unions!
Like any other issue that trade unions face, there are some basic principles.

• An injury to one is an injury to all.

• United we stand, divided we fall.

• Educate! Agitate! Organize!

These slogans and other similar ones, appear on countless trade union banners, newspapers and posters all over the world.

All that is needed is to apply these basic trade union principles. Many unions have started to do so – and as a result, have gained new members.

The tools and policies are in place. The examples of good practice are documented.

The new ILO Multilateral Framework on Labour Migration is the latest tool that can be used.

ILO Conventions should be promoted, ratified, and implemented.

It is now up to trade unionists to put into practice the principles and lessons described in this Manual.
Introduction

Trade union action on migrant workers is not new. In the nineteenth century many European migrant workers in the United States brought trade union traditions with them, and bitter struggles were fought to build unions.

Between the 1950s to the 1980s, most trade unions in countries of destination in Europe had established special departments dealing with migrant workers issues, had publications in various languages spoken by the immigrant communities, and recruited them into their organizations.
Among the migrant workers in countries such as Belgium, France and Germany were trade unionists who had to leave their countries of origin because of their activities against dictatorships in countries such as Greece, Portugal or Spain. These activists organized their co-nationals into the trade union movement of the host countries. During the period of large-scale labour migration into Western European countries in the 1960s and early 1970s, the trade union movement played an important role in promoting the integration of migrant workers into host country societies.45

This was despite official government policy that the migrants were temporary “guest workers” not expected to remain permanently. In trade unions, migrant workers could participate in the discussion and elaboration of trade union policy, they could vote to elect their representatives, and in most countries, they were eligible to leadership positions.

Trade unions gave migrant workers a voice in decision-making. In 1974, the International Confederation of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU) held a world trade union conference on migrant workers in Geneva. The conference highlighted the need to adopt fresh international standards designed to ensure that migrant workers and national workers were treated equally in the host country and called for the regularization of unauthorized foreign workers.

The economic crisis of the 1970s
This tradition of solidarity was shattered in the aftermath of the 1973 oil crisis and the resulting unemployment that spread to most of the industrialized world. In order to protect the jobs and conditions for workers already present in their countries - nationals and migrant workers alike - trade unions became increasingly aligned towards restrictive immigration policies. When restrictive policies resulted in irregular migration, the trade union movement was confronted with a dilemma: organizing workers in an irregular situation would be tantamount to renouncing restrictions on the inflow of migrant labour at a time when unemployment was hitting national workers in all sectors.

The majority of national trade union organizations in industrialized countries opted to support proposals for sanctions against employers recruiting irregular migrant workers. Some unions nonetheless continued to defend migrant workers in irregular situations. The apparent support by many trade union organizations for restrictive labour migration policies led newly arrived irregular migrant workers to have little confidence in the trade union movement. Church-related migrant programmes and migrant associations filled the void in providing services and promoting the rights of migrant workers.

Solidarity
In recent years, trade union organizations in a growing number of countries have made major policy changes in their approach to migration issues. The majority of trade unions now have a position

---

of solidarity with migrant workers, regardless of their status, and support for regularization programmes.

This has been linked to organizing drives among migrant workers in all continents. National labour confederations in Argentina, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Republic of Korea, Mauritius, Mexico, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, South Africa, the United Kingdom and the United States, among others, have full-time national staff for migrant worker organizing and anti-discrimination issues; all are active in policy advocacy for improved protection of rights and decent working conditions for migrants.

In Ireland and Italy, the national trade union confederations are at the core of new national campaigns for the ratification of the ILO and UN Conventions on migrant workers.

In the United States in 2001, the AFL-CIO Executive Committee adopted a new policy stance on immigration, including calls for regularization of migrant workers, and for the repeal of “employer sanctions” against those who hired unauthorized foreign workers (because sanctions were found to have resulted in widespread discrimination in hiring).

Organizing
The AFL–CIO has urged its affiliated unions to undertake national organizing drives among migrant workers, including those in irregular situations. The issue of migrants has been one of the contentious points in farm-worker organizing, particularly in the United States, over the last 50 years. The question of whether or not to organize irregular Mexican farm workers was a major debate in the formation of the United Farm Workers (UFW) organization in the mid-1970s while the Arizona Farm Workers and the Midwest Farm Workers unions were established with explicit agendas of including undocumented workers in their ranks.

The trade unions of Belgium have also announced they would offer membership and protection to undocumented workers. These changes are seen worldwide. At recent tripartite consultations on labour migration in Asia and Africa, delegates of national trade union federations agreed on conclusions and recommendations that emphasize the need for the adoption of national legislation and policies that ensure the protection of migrant workers.

In Africa in 2004, the leadership of national trade union federations in some 40 countries adopted a plan of action under the auspices of the then African Regional Organization of the ICFTU that called for African trade unions to lobby governments to adopt laws protecting migrant workers, to negotiate with employers to improve working conditions, and to organize the unorganized, specifically including migrant workers in irregular situations.

Sectoral Global Union Federations (GUFs) are also giving specific attention to issues of migrant workers, in particular those in irregular situations who experience more abuse and have little

---

46The American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO) http://www.aflcio.org/index.cfm
Section 6 - What next: the role of trade unions

In the 1990s Public Services International (PSI) convened specialist consultations and prepared a handbook on dealing with migrant workers. In a novel approach, the Korean Congress of Trade Unions (KCTU) co-sponsors with church and other organizations, the Joint Committee for Migrants in Korea. To better assist, support and combat discrimination against migrant workers of diverse nationalities in the Republic of Korea, many of whom are in irregular situations, KCTU organized a division of work among its constituent trade unions where each one assists in translating materials and providing services for a specific linguistic/national group of migrants.

Getting involved: Trade unions and migrant workers

Although it is likely that each national union will develop its own plan – depending on sectoral and national issues – in this section, key trade union strategies and models of practice as ways for moving forward are suggested.

Action by Global Unions: ITUC

International trade unions take action: ITUC

As migration for work is an international issue, and solutions must be found at an international level, it is appropriate that international organizations have been active on the question.

There are two types of international trade union organizations: those that bring together national centres, and those that bring together industry-based unions.

The International Trade Union Confederation (ITUC), was established in 2006, by bringing together the former affiliates of the International Confederation of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU) and the World Confederation of Labour (WCL), along with trade union organizations which had no previous global affiliation. Its members are national centres. ITUC represents 168 million workers through its 306 affiliated organizations within 154 countries and territories – 40 per cent of its members are women.

ITUC’s plan of action on migrant workers is based on the following elements:

- Establishing an international policy framework capable of ensuring respect for migrant workers’ fundamental rights and offering them Decent Work opportunities.
- Promoting solidarity with migrant workers.
- Protecting the rights of migrant workers regardless of their legal status in the host country.
- Paying particular attention to the vulnerable situation of women migrants.
- Campaigning for the ratification and implementation of international labour standards, ILO Conventions, particularly those relevant to migrant workers, and the revision of national labour laws to guarantee conformity with these standards.

*Based on “Dispelling the migrant myth”, ILO’s World of Work, No. 57, Sept. 2006, by Patrick Taran and Luc Demaret, see http://www.ilo.org/wow/PrintEditions/lang--en/docName--DWCMS_080598/index.htm.*
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European trade unions show solidarity
The European Trade Union Confederation (ETUC) adopted a proactive position in March 2005 (see box).

Towards a proactive EU policy on migration and integration
1. Introduction
ETUC is highly committed to fighting for a Europe characterized by openness, solidarity and responsibility, as expressed on numerous occasions. This resolution builds on previous ETUC resolutions and positions on this issue, adopted since the Helsinki congress 1999.

2. Key elements of a proactive approach
In the interest of Europe’s current and future population, ETUC is convinced that it is time to adopt a more pro-active EU policy on migration and integration that is based on the recognition of fundamental social rights of current citizens as well as newcomers, and that is embedded in strong employment and development policies. Such policy should, in an integrated approach:

(a) be based on a clear framework of rights for all the workers concerned, as provided for in all the relevant international Conventions and instruments, recognizing that migrant workers and their families are human beings and not merchandise, and building on the ILO “Resolution concerning a fair deal for migrant workers in a global economy” adopted in June 2004, calling for a rights-based approach to labour migration;

(b) be established in close consultation with social partners;

(c) guarantee the free movement of all persons who are either citizens of an EU Member State or third country nationals who are legal residents, in a framework of non-discrimination and equal treatment;

(d) provide for a clear legal framework of equal treatment in working conditions for all lawfully employed third country nationals as compared to nationals, and respect for the host country’s rules and regulations and industrial relations systems;

(e) prioritize investing in the capacities and qualifications of unemployed or underemployed EU citizens including those from a migrant or ethnic minority background, as well as legally resident third country nationals including recognized refugees, as a first priority in tackling labour market shortages;

(f) increase efforts to combat racism and xenophobia, and promote the full integration of migrants and ethnic minorities into European labour markets and societies, whilst respecting cultural and religious diversity, and recognizing their positive contribution and potential;

(g) attribute social and political citizenship rights to migrant workers and their family members;

(h) open up possibilities for the admission of economic migrants, by providing a common EU framework for the conditions of entry and residence. It should be based on a clear consensus between public authorities and social partners about real labour market needs, and at the same time prevent a two-tier migration policy that favours and facilitates migration of the highly skilled while denying access and rights to semi- and low-skilled workers;

(i) be tough on employers using exploitative employment conditions and focus on prevention and on sanctioning those who profit from these abusive situations, including traffickers in human beings, rather than penalizing the workers who are their victims;

(j) create “bridges” out of “irregular situations” for undocumented migrant workers and their families, including asylum-seekers who have been denied a refugee status, while respecting their basic human rights;

(k) promote cooperation and partnership with third countries and in particular developing countries. Last but not least, such policy should acknowledge the major importance of strengthening the European social model in providing and maintaining basic protection for all Europe’s inhabitants, to counter increasing feelings of social insecurity by millions of workers that may feed into racism and xenophobia, and to help the trade union movement play its cohesive role.

March 2005
Asia-Pacific and the Arab region

In February 2007, the ICFTU Asia and Pacific Regional Organisation (now ITUC-Asia Pacific) organized an unprecedented three-day discussion in Amman (Jordan) involving trade union representatives from countries of destination of migrants in the Arab and Gulf regions and trade unionists from countries of origin in the Asian region. The meeting clearly showed that concerns for the protection of migrant workers was now firmly on the agenda of trade unions. Among the problems raised were: restriction to the right of migrants to organize and join unions (there was a clear determination from participants to reach out to migrants, including undocumented and to make organizing them a top priority); the need to improve social protection (including medical care and social security for migrants); the often withholding of passports and identification documents; the deficit of decent work. There was also a lot of concern expressed regarding the activities of private employment and recruitment agencies, and the need to control their activities was repeatedly stressed. The situation of women migrant workers was paid particular attention to, with specific focus on the millions of domestic workers, most of them being out of reach of even national labour legislations. It was agreed that labour migration was not one country problem but should be addressed in a concerted effort globally. The role of bilateral agreements, regional mechanisms and global institutions was stressed. There was a lot of emphasis on the need for the ILO to promote coherence in the international community and to campaign more vigorously for a rights-based approach to labour migration. Trade unions commit themselves to campaign for the ratification of the ILO and UN Conventions on migrant workers and call on the ILO and its constituents at national level to integrate migrant workers’ issues in the Decent Work Country Programmes and to promote social dialogue on labour migration issues.

International Confederation of Arab Trade Unions (ICATU)

The Damascus-based ICATU has also increased its activities in defence of workers’ rights in the Arab region with particular emphasis on freedom on association and the protection of migrant workers. A meeting was held in Damascus in December 2007 under the theme of “the role of trade union in protecting the rights of migrant workers”. It was attended by ICATU-affiliated organizations in 10 Arab countries. The organization committed itself to continue promoting ILO Conventions on migrant workers and to establish closer contacts with trade union organizations in countries of origin of migrant workers in order to foster cooperation. As a follow-up, the ILO Bureau for workers’ activities has planned to organize in December 2008 in Amman (Jordan) a meeting involving trade unions from Arab countries and their counterparts from Asia with a view to discussing joint activities in the field of migrant workers’ rights.

Action by Global Union Federations (GUFs)

There are ten Global Union Federations or GUFs, which link together national unions from a particular trade or industry at international level. Often, unions with members in many different industries will belong to more than one GUF.
The GUFs, as apex bodies, are able to work at decision-making levels within the global community but they also represent their affiliates. They can play a key role in brokering international relationships between trade unions. The solidarity demonstrated globally between trade unions and towards migrant workers is increasingly significant as globalization accelerates.

**Building and construction**

The Building and Wood Workers’ International (BWI) a Global Union Federation, has developed a proactive strategy to support migrant workers in its sector. BWI pointed out, in a statement issued to observe International Migrants’ Day on 18 December 2005:

> For BWI, the increasing exploitation of workers in connection with migration and cross-border work in its sectors represents a challenge that must be tackled. Mostly present in construction, migrant workers are frequently exploited and face very difficult and dangerous working conditions and their human and trade union rights are generally violated. Where they are not organized in trade unions, migrant workers are paid low wages and are not covered by social and labour legislation. Very often, they are recruited by smuggling networks. Organizing migrant workers remains the best weapon against unscrupulous employers only seeking cheap labour. (BWI’s emphasis.)

BWI affiliates are particularly encouraged to:

- Increase trade union awareness on the issue and continue to campaign against racism and xenophobia;
- Gather relevant information and data on migration in BWI’s sectors and exchange information between origin and destination countries;
- Urge their governments to adopt appropriate global legislation and protection;
- Establish agreements between unions in source and destination countries;
- Organize migrant and cross-border workers and ensure equal pay for equal work for employees regardless of their origin and nationality.

Article 7 of the BWI constitution promotes a “portable” entitlement to support and membership. It says:

> Where the members of one of the affiliated organizations are posted to another country, they shall be entitled, on the basis of their membership, to obtain advice and information free of charge from the affiliated organization(s) in the country of employment. Where a member of one of the affiliated organization is migrating to another country, the affiliated organization in this country shall take the necessary steps to ensure that an uninterrupted membership can be guaranteed.

BWI recommends bilateral cooperation, agreements and exchange of information between affiliates. BWI members in Austria, Belgium, the Czech Republic, Germany, the Netherlands, the Nordic countries, Poland, the Slovak Republic and Switzerland have all developed a variety of activities such as cooperation agreements on collective bargaining, mutual recognition of membership for cross-border workers or legal assistance for their members.
Four pillars of trade union strategy

Four pillars of action by trade unions are possible:
• Promoting a rights-based migration policy;
• Creating alliances with trade unions in other countries;
• Educating and informing union members, especially shop stewards and officers;
• Reaching out to migrant workers (in order to do this, some unions may need to revise their Constitutions).

These will now be considered in more detail.

Pillar 1: Promoting a rights-based migration policy

As we have seen, in many countries, the legal and policy framework for labour migration has simply not kept up with rapid developments. Labour migration is not properly regulated, either by origin or destination countries.

A “rights-based” approach

All the elements of a modern, fair policy framework exist. The ILO’s Multilateral Framework on Labour Migration is based on good practice and international standards.

While these are described as “Non-binding principles and guidelines for a rights-based approach to labour migration” they have been developed by a committee of experts, drawn from governments, employers and trade unions, and approved for publication by the ILO’s Governing Body. They therefore provide an authoritative architecture for a labour migration policy. In addition, where ILO Conventions have been ratified, they constitute obligations that States must respect and implement.

A fair deal for all migrant workers requires a rights-based approach, in accordance with existing international labour standards and ILO principles, which recognizes labour market needs and – at the same time – the sovereign right of all nations to determine their own migration policies, including defining entry into their territory and under which conditions migrants may remain.
As part of the broader commitment to promoting decent work, the ILO and its constituents agree on the desirability of maximizing the benefits to all that can flow from: (i) promoting policies that give priority to economic growth and employment; (ii) encouraging regulated labour migration; and (iii) promoting a wider application of international labour standards and in particular the ratification and implementation of Conventions Nos. 97 and 143.

This goal requires a commitment to adopt national policies aimed at the equal treatment of migrant workers with nationals in respect of national labour laws, and access to applicable social protections, combating the exploitation often associated with migrants in irregular situations, and the promotion of basic human rights for all migrants. It is clear that closer cooperation among member States and the tripartite constituents can contribute towards more effective labour migration processes and protection systems.

**An advocacy agenda for unions**

Trade unions can lobby for:

- A transparent labour migration admissions system which is designed to respond to legitimate needs and which takes into account domestic labour concerns.
- A standards-based approach to the regulation of migration, protecting the basic rights of all migrants, and combating exploitation and trafficking.
- Ratification of the two ILO Conventions that specifically address migrant workers (Nos. 97 and 143), and the UN Convention.
- Urging governments that have ratified the Conventions to implement them fully.

---

**ILO Standards make a difference**

It soon becomes clear that day in, day out, judges, lawyers, labour inspectors, and trade unionists base themselves on international labour standards in order to get workers’ rights respected. Judges quite often apply the provisions of a ratified Convention directly in order to resolve a case or else they use ILO standards, whether legally binding or not, as a source of interpretation and inspiration when applying their own domestic law. That is why it is so important – and this point can never be stressed too often – that the trade union movement should encourage member States to ratify the Conventions and systematically take part in the follow-up mechanisms which the ILO has put in place to monitor governments’ applications of international labour standards, and bring offenders to book. In many cases the ratification of an instrument, and the international obligations which are thereby freely entered into, form a rampart against certain governments’ penchants for revising labour law downwards under neo-liberal pressure.


---

As part of the broader commitment to promoting decent work, the ILO and its constituents agree on the desirability of maximizing the benefits to all that can flow from: (i) promoting policies that give priority to economic growth and employment; (ii) encouraging regulated labour migration; and (iii) promoting a wider application of international labour standards and in particular the ratification and implementation of Conventions Nos. 97 and 143.

This goal requires a commitment to adopt national policies aimed at the equal treatment of migrant workers with nationals in respect of national labour laws, and access to applicable social protections, combating the exploitation often associated with migrants in irregular situations, and the promotion of basic human rights for all migrants. It is clear that closer cooperation among member States and the tripartite constituents can contribute towards more effective labour migration processes and protection systems.

---

***An advocacy agenda for unions***

Trade unions can lobby for:

- A transparent labour migration admissions system which is designed to respond to legitimate needs and which takes into account domestic labour concerns.
- A standards-based approach to the regulation of migration, protecting the basic rights of all migrants, and combating exploitation and trafficking.
- Ratification of the two ILO Conventions that specifically address migrant workers (Nos. 97 and 143), and the UN Convention.
- Urging governments that have ratified the Conventions to implement them fully.

---

• Tripartite procedures to ensure that trade unions are consulted on labour migration issues and their views taken into account.

• Establishing and strengthening national procedures of social dialogue to ensure consultation on all aspects of labour migration.

• Ensuring that all policy is guided by international labour standards and other relevant international instruments, and multilateral agreements concerning migrant workers.

• Ensuring that labour migration policies are gender-sensitive, address the problems and particular abuses women often face in the migration process, and recognize gender equality as integral to the process of policy-making, planning and programme delivery at all levels.

• Ensuring that trade unions play a key consultative role in all issues relating to assisting and supporting migrant workers.

In brief, there is an emerging consensus that international and national policies and practices can ensure that migration serves as a force for human welfare and development, instead of leading to abuse and exploitation, unregulated transnational movement and xenophobic hostility.

The ILO Multilateral Framework on Labour Migration is a useful tool for trade unionists in arguing for such a comprehensive approach.

**Social dialogue**

As labour migration is a labour market issue, it is sensible that those organizations with experience of the labour market should discuss and try to agree on policy. This means social dialogue - and not leaving labour migration policy to be determined by a bidding war of which political party will do the most to “keep out migrants”. The apex bodies of trade unions at national and international levels should, in their role as social partners, continuously engage with, and seek to influence, national and global policy relating to migrant workers. Where possible, trade unions should be represented on specialized enforcement bodies such as equality commissions and human rights commissions which often have an advisory role as well as monitoring and investigating powers.

Trade unions have the social authority and political legitimacy to play a leading role in national and international efforts to address labour migration in a framework of Decent Work and human rights. Indeed it is critical that they do so.

Support from trade unions and consultation with employers and workers’ organizations in Spain led to the adoption of new rules (in April 2005). Without the support of social partners, no government could risk embarking on such a major operation. Indeed, in February 2005, the Government announced a regularization programme, which was designed and implemented through extensive consultation with workers’ and employers’ organizations. It permits migrant workers (who have registered with local governments for at least six months, do not have criminal records, and have the necessary skills for their jobs) to regularize their status. Domestic workers are eligible to apply. Those regularized are given work and residency permits for one year. Employers who intend to
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employ migrant workers for certain minimum time periods, depending on the sector, and who do not themselves owe taxes, may request regularization of their workers. A large majority of the applications for regularization have been accepted, with the highest percentages in domestic work, construction, agriculture and hotels, respectively. An estimated 700,000 migrants were able to benefit from the programme and 150 offices were opened to register the workers.

Tripartite supervision of bodies that regulate migration is a way of institutionalizing social dialogue. The Philippines Overseas Employment Administration (POEA) has a tripartite governing board consisting of the Secretary of Labor and Employment, representatives of other concerned government departments, a workers’ representative from the Trade Union Congress of the Philippines, and an employers’ representative from the association of private recruitment agencies.

In Africa, thanks to an ILO project, national social dialogue mechanisms specifically addressing labour migration have been established in 11 countries: Algeria, Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, Gambia, Kenya, Mali, Mauritania, Morocco, Senegal, United Republic of Tanzania, and Tunisia. National seminars have achieved agreement on priorities for national advocacy for adoption of international standards, for research and for improving labour migration data collection and dissemination.

Universal principles

Once again unless otherwise specified, all ILO Conventions and Recommendations apply to all workers, including all migrant workers; they apply whether they are temporary or permanent migrant workers and to those in a regular or irregular situation, even if certain provisions may not always be applicable to undocumented migrant workers.

The ILO’s fundamental principles and rights at work are universal and applicable to all workers in all States, regardless of whether they have been ratified or not. These universal principles have been agreed by governments and employers at the International Labour Conference, and trade unions need to remind them of what they have agreed and the obligations they have freely undertaken.

Public service workers

In May 2003, the Global Union Federation Public Services International (PSI) set up a long-term project to address the issue of migration of women health workers. The project has three main components:

• International capacity building;
• Information and exchange of best practices;
• International policy formulation and advocacy.

The first phase entailed developing research focusing on what national trade unions in developing countries were doing to address the issue of migrant health sector workers. Over 50 surveys were
undertaken in each of the countries selected using a partnership approach between countries of origin and countries of destination of migrant workers.

The second phase of the project concentrated on lobbying, capacity building, and knowledge sharing. Sixteen countries received support to undertake activities.

The third phase focuses on strengthening the capacities of health workers’ unions and on coordinating work with other NGOs and international organizations.

**A special role for transport workers**

Another Global Union Federation, the International Transport Workers’ Federation (ITF) has identified a special role for its affiliates and members. Migration for work naturally involves transport, and transport workers are the invisible link in the chain between destination and origin countries.

In Nepal, the ITF affiliate Nepal Yatayat Mazdoor Sangh (Nepal Transport Workers’ Union) has used its experience to provide advice and support to people travelling to India across the open border for work. It has concentrated especially on vulnerable young women being trafficked for commercial sex work. The union has trained members to look out for the tell-tale signs of trafficking and what to do if they suspect a case. It has established kiosks in bus parks on the Nepal-India border where advice is given to travellers.

**Pillar 2: Creating alliances with trade unions in other countries**

There is a fundamental difference between the approach of trade unions and other organizations such as charities and rights groups to migrant workers. Trade union approaches are based on solidarity and collective bargaining. While solving the individual problems of migrant workers is important, in the long term it must be linked to a collective approach. When we talk about migrant workers, our main concern is primarily with their rights as workers.

There are many examples of the ways in which trade unions from origin and destination countries work with each other to support and organize migrant workers. Trade unions are uniquely placed to do this and as a result, bilateral and multilateral agreements between trade unions from origin and destination countries are on the increase.

**Bilateral agreements**

In Italy, the Italian Confederation of Workers’ Unions (CISL) has signed collaboration agreements with unions in countries such as **Cape Verde, Morocco, Peru, Senegal and Tunisia**. The CISL has also put out television programmes for migrants in different languages and is coordinating a committee for women migrants, chaired by migrant workers.
In **Israel**, the National Union of Building and Wood Workers prepared information brochures on labour laws in Bulgarian, Chinese, English, Romanian and Turkish and distributed them among migrant workers in the construction sector.

The Confederation of Estonian Trade Unions (EAKL), the Central Organization of Finnish Trade Unions (SAK), and the Finnish Union of Salaried Employees (TU), operate information centres in **Finland** for migrant workers to prevent a two-track labour market developing that has lower standards for migrant workers than nationals. Information is provided on housing, work permits, taxes, how to apply for employment, and services offered by unions.

Other examples include:

- An agreement between **Moroccan** and **Spanish** trade unions helped to combat irregular migration and the exploitation that goes with it.
- Trade unions in **Spain** and in **Mauritania** developed an agreement to monitor the situation of Mauritanian migrant workers in Spain and to provide them with legal and other assistance.
- The General Confederation of Portuguese Workers (CGTP-IN) are testing a model agreement to promote membership of UK trade unions should **Portuguese** workers move to the **United Kingdom**. The CGTP-IN signed similar agreements for Portuguese workers with trade unions in other key destination countries such as **Spain** and **Switzerland**.
- An agreement on migrant workers was signed in April 2005 between the National Federation of Chinese Construction Workers (NFCCWU) from **Taiwan** and the National Union of Building and Construction Workers (NUBCW) from the **Philippines**. Workers who migrate to either country will be assured the same salary and conditions of service and trade union membership.

**Pillar 3: Educating and informing union members, especially shop stewards and officers**

**Trade unions and their members in destination countries**

Trade unions need to ensure that they have the support of their own members when it comes to migrant worker policy and activity. National populations can find migrant workers threatening and xenophobia is a serious problem in many developed countries. Trade unions are well placed to work with their memberships to ensure that such tensions and attitudes do not emerge or take root. The Decent Work Agenda is a key tool in fighting any tendencies towards racism and xenophobia. The key lies in education, training and development. Some successful examples of how trade unions can develop this work with their members are:

**Germany:** Trade unions in Volkswagen used the consultation procedures with management to establish a Declaration on Social Rights and Industrial Relationships at Volkswagen. The unions disseminated written information to its staff to discourage discrimination against migrant workers and developed programmes for various target groups, such as human resources personnel. Equal oppor-
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Opportunity modules were integrated into qualifications, seminars and vocational training. Specialized counsellors were hired, and five different working groups have worked toward preventing extremist views, with a special focus on adolescents and young adults during vocational training. A guidebook has been distributed addressing youth, trainers and educators.

Ireland: In consultation with the Irish Congress of Trade Unions (ICTU), migrant and minority groups, the Irish Business and Employers Confederation (IBEC) and other organizations, an annual Anti-Racist Workplace Week is held. This is a nationwide campaign to prevent racism in the workplace. The campaign encompasses worker discussions and training and discussions among workers and members of minority ethnic groups on diversity issues. Seminars, conferences and events celebrating different cultures are also held. Resource packs, posters and newsletters are circulated. The Irish Congress of Trade Unions now sponsor education and information material under the campaign heading “Promoting Equality in Diversity – Migration – Integration” in collaboration with other trade unions and the ILO.49

Trade unions and their members in origin countries

Trade unions in origin countries have a slightly different task. They typically have few resources, so need to use members as a way of getting their message across to non-unionized workers. In particular, unions should try to disseminate information to those workers who are considering migrating for work – whether they are union members or not. This is what the Independent Transport Workers of Nepal (ITWAN) is doing, in the example in Pillar 1. They warn of the dangers that young workers might experience in travelling to India.

Private agencies and individuals offering to arrange entry to another country and the promise of work, for a large fee, have a tendency to exaggerate the benefits and minimize the drawbacks. Even when workers have had a bad experience in migrating abroad for work, they may not be willing to speak too openly about this when they return. A family may have sold their land, or gone into debt, to send one family member on a journey lasting months to a country with a strange language, culture, climate, and food. This worker may experience racism, discrimination and may not get the kind of pay that was expected, or promised. But it is hard to return to your home country and admit any kind of “failure” or difficulty. Some migrant workers do, of course, have a very good experience and can send plenty of money home, and return with capital to set up a new business.

Providing accurate information is of course the job of the government agency. But many people will not trust a government agency. And they may not do the job well. So unions must become a source of information – and use their members to spread factual information.

49From www.ictu.ie, Address by David Begg to the Institute of European Affairs, 9 Nov. 2006.
**Leaving home - Organizing in countries of origin**

One way trade union organizations can ensure that migrant workers are “better prepared” for their lives away from their country of origin is to support and organize them prior to migration. There are now several examples demonstrating the advantages of unionizing migrant workers in “origin countries” before they migrate.

**Belgian** trade unions are promoting dialogue with unions from origin countries through workshops and drop-in centres and **French** unions have established offices in the origin countries where information on rights and union membership is available.

Trade unions in countries of origin are also keen to initiate cooperation (and networking) with unions in destination countries – as well as continuing to keep in touch with their own “expatriate members”: For example:

- National trade union centres are keen to maintain links with their expatriate membership. The **Senegalese** union federation, Union nationale des syndicats autonomes du Sénégal (UNSAS) is one case in point. Another is the **Dominican Republic’s** Confederación Nacional de Trabajadores Dominicanos (CNTD).
- The General Federation of Nepalese Trade Unions (GEFONT)\(^5\) has branches for Nepalese workers in **India**. It has published a book, in Nepali, based on real-life stories of migrant Nepali workers in different countries. The collection contains 43 individual stories, both sad and successful, of workers in **Hong Kong (China)**, **Japan**, **the Republic of Korea**, **the Middle East** and other countries.
- The Ceylon Workers’ Congress (CWC) in **Sri Lanka**, the Union marocaine du travail (UMT) in **Morocco**, and the Confederação General dos Trabalhadores Português-Intersindical Nacional (CGTP-IN); all of which organize in countries of origin, testify to the fundamental importance of coordinating with union organizations in countries of destination. Most of the trade union centres in countries of origin have adopted policies for assisting migrant workers on their return.

**Pillar 4: Reaching out to migrant workers**

Migrant workers are not passive. By definition, they are amongst the most enterprising, and determined people – being willing to travel and work in a different country requires some boldness and even courage.

Migrant workers must therefore be at the centre of trade union strategies about migrant labour.

Trade unions must work to protect migrant workers through initiatives in both origin and destination countries. The principles of Decent Work and a rights-based approach should be fully integrated in all organizing, advocacy and campaigning activities.

---

Trade unions in countries of origin
When the whole cycle of migrating for work is examined, it starts and finishes in the origin country. This is often where the least resources exist.

When governments have put in place a pre-departure system, as in the Philippines, then trade unions can become involved in the pre-migration stage. They can take part in briefings, and advise workers of the possibilities of joining trade unions in the country where they are going to work.

Where such systems do not exist, unions may have to consider setting up pre-departure methods of advising and briefing workers on their rights. This service may have to be put in place in collaboration with other organizations concerned with workers’ welfare.

When the origin and destination countries are close together, there are good possibilities of collaboration. The Finnish trade unions have established an information centre in Estonia for Estonians who have work permits and intend to migrate to Finland or who are considering migrating. Visitors are given information on labour and tax laws and social security and are encouraged to seek help from unions after migration.

Trade unions in destination countries
Organizing, collective bargaining and the protection and promotion of rights are the three key tasks for unions—exactly the same principles apply to migrant workers.

In Pillar 3 we saw examples of how unions have been trying to organize migrant workers—often in conjunction with trade union officers from origin countries. Establishing migrant workers rights’ committees, branches and conferences are good ways of involving the workers themselves.

It goes without saying that leaflets in workers’ own languages, and organizers who can communicate with workers directly, are essential in recruiting migrant workers. Once recruited, potential leaders can be identified and offered union training.

Once migrant workers see that the trade union movement is on their side, they will join, if they can do so without fear of losing their job or being deported.

In industrial relations’ practices, trade unions can include equality clauses in collective bargaining agreements (CBAs) for migrant workers. CBAs should also be gender-sensitive: taking into account gender differences between migrant workers.

It would be important to consult migrant workers on the issues to be included in collective bargaining agreements. Unions should also encourage women migrant workers to form their own organizations within the union.
Spanish trade unions campaign for undocumented workers

In Section 5, we described how trade unions may use ILO supervisory structures to raise the issue of working conditions of migrant workers.

In Spain, migrant workers from North Africa live mainly in the southern province of Andalucia. Their homes are salvaged plastic and wooden huts or “chabolas”, with no running water or toilet facilities. If they find work in the nearby strawberry fields, it is always unregistered, low paid and sometimes dangerous. “It is almost inconceivable that there should be people living in these conditions in Europe today”, said Manuel Delgado Salas, the Migration Secretary at the Spanish union confederation, Confederación Sindical de Comisiones Obreras (CC.OO).

( Remember that work in agriculture is one of the most dangerous occupations, with one of the highest rates of fatal accidents.)

Most of the immigrants who make the crossing from North Africa are Moroccan. Around 40,000 Moroccan and sub-Saharan farm workers, 25 per cent of whom are irregular migrant workers, work in massive plastic greenhouses to produce mass exports of Spanish fruit and vegetables to European and American supermarkets for a salary which can be as little as 20 euros a day.

CC.OO is supervising several projects involving migrant workers throughout Andalucia. It offers them Spanish classes, vocational training, administrative support and an open resource centre, which is a big hit with the undocumented workers. “We need to change the legislation on immigration so that people who want to work can do so legally,” said Loli Granados Martin, the trade union’s coordinator. “By limiting access for workers, all we have done is help networks smuggle in more irregular migrants”.

US unions say full and equal rights for all workers

Proposals for legislation on migrant workers produced uproar in the United States in 2006, with massive demonstrations by migrant workers – supported by their unionized colleagues. More than 1 million marched on Labour Day 2006 – probably the largest number for a century. This is an example of how trade unions have re-evaluated their position in the light of employer and government activity.

Rampant discrimination in the United States led the American trade union centre, AFL CIO, in 2000 to reverse its support for “employer sanctions” enacted in 1986. The application of legal sanctions against employers who hired unauthorized foreign workers was found to have resulted in widespread discrimination in hiring against blacks, Hispanics, Asians, and other non-white workers who were US citizens or authorized residents. Employers usually cited difficulties in verifying work-authorizing documentation presented by applicants as the reason for excluding some or all minority candidates from consideration. However sanctions provided a convenient cover for employers seeking to discriminate.
The change in policy was not only driven by the discriminatory impact of employer sanctions, but also by the fact that the sanctions system gave employers a union-busting tool. It was only when workers tried to organize a union, or report a workplace injury, that employers asked workers for “papers”. Essentially, the “sanctions” scheme deputized employers to be agents of the INS (immigration authorities), and employers exercised that authority only when it was convenient for them to do so.

AFL-CIO policy protects both migrant workers and existing US workers. It particularly addresses proposals for “guest worker” programmes when employers can bring in temporary workers to suit their needs – offering little protection, low pay and no chance to organize (see box).

The change in policy was not only driven by the discriminatory impact of employer sanctions, but also by the fact that the sanctions system gave employers a union-busting tool. It was only when workers tried to organize a union, or report a workplace injury, that employers asked workers for “papers”. Essentially, the “sanctions” scheme deputized employers to be agents of the INS (immigration authorities), and employers exercised that authority only when it was convenient for them to do so.

AFL-CIO policy protects both migrant workers and existing US workers. It particularly addresses proposals for “guest worker” programmes when employers can bring in temporary workers to suit their needs – offering little protection, low pay and no chance to organize (see box).

The American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO)

We believe that there is absolutely no good reason why any immigrant who comes to this country prepared to work, to pay taxes, and to abide by our laws and rules should be relegated to this repressive, second-class guest worker status.

To embrace the expansion of temporary guest worker programs is to embrace the creation of an undemocratic, two-tiered society.

To combat this model, the American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL CIO) has put forth a more humane and democratic alternative. We propose that if employers can demonstrate a real need for outside workers, these workers should be allowed into our country with the same rights and labor protections of any US citizen. When there is a real need for foreign workers, we should embrace these workers not as “guests” but as full members of society – as permanent residents with full rights and full mobility that greedy employers may not exploit.

What immigrant workers need is a real path to legalization and a method for addressing America’s future needs for outside labor in a way that guarantees immigrant workers – and thus all workers – full rights, and a real voice on the job. As a nation that prides itself on fair treatment and equality, we simply cannot settle for anything less.

Trade unions in the Russian Federation work for migrant workers

In the Russian Federation, increased support from trade unions to protect irregular migrant workers and the victims of trafficking has paid off. After the United States, the Russian Federation receives more migrant workers than any other country.

A bilateral cooperation agreement was signed between the construction industry workers unions of the Russian Federation and Tajikistan on ensuring protection of construction industry workers rights.

A total of 2,872 migrants had joined Russian trade unions after the first year of the programme; 1,862 migrants received legal employment with their assistance and over 5 million roubles of back wages were recovered after intervention by unions.
Russian trade unions have set up new services directed at migrant workers through special centres.

Over 3,000 migrant construction workers used the services of the centres and more than 1,000 migrants were given opportunities of legal employment. Through this activity, the capacity of unions to deal with issues of migration and to protect migrants has been strengthened.

**Malaysia’s unions stand up for migrant workers**

Malaysia, one of the so-called tiger economies of South-East Asia, is mainly a “destination” country. Migrant workers from Bangladesh, Indonesia and Nepal come into the country to undertake mainly unskilled work, and some skilled Malaysian workers move abroad.

The Malaysian Trades Union Congress (MTUC) policy, adopted in 2005, recognizes that irregular migrant workers can pose a threat to local workers:

A series of actions will have to be initiated by the MTUC to realize an effective mechanism that will give adequate protection to the 1.5 million documented migrant workers who are working legally in the country. The MTUC supports State action to manage migration flows. The presence of undocumented workers in large numbers has a profound effect on wage rates and terms of employment for documented migrants as well as for local workers. The undocumented migrant workers are often forced to work more for less and without the other basic facilities such as housing, medical care, overtime payment and so forth.

It points out, among others, some of the exploitative conditions that migrant workers can suffer:

- Contract substitution upon employment in Malaysia drastically changes the work conditions and lowers wages. It has often been observed that the contract presented to the worker upon arrival in Malaysia is considerably less favourable than the contract to which the worker agreed before departure.

- When a worker seeks redress for unpaid wages or raises other forms of labour dispute or abuse, the employer often retaliates by cancelling the work permit. As a result the migrant worker loses his or her status in the country and his or her right to stay. Without a visa, the worker is unable to continue his or her case through the courts.

- The Immigration Act (especially Section 6) provides for penalties including whipping and imprisonment. It criminalizes migrant workers for an administrative problem. Migrant workers have difficulty in accessing legal representation and due process.

Among the comprehensive steps the MTUC proposes are:

- Organizing migrant workers.

- Providing opportunities for skills enhancement so that they may not only be able to have a better working environment and benefits but also be better trained and skilled when they eventually return home.
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- Monitoring the real labour needs of every sector and working closely with the Government to ensure that there is no excess supply of labour in any sector.
- Working closely with embassies and national trade unions of origin countries.
- Setting up a special committee with the Bar Council and non-government organizations (NGOs) to review all the laws that discriminate against migrant workers, that deny them fundamental labour rights, and work towards areas for legal reform and the development of a comprehensive labour migration and management policy.
- Reviewing, together with other national trade union centres from the origin countries, all Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) and contracts.
- Working out a standard model MOU and contract for recruitment and employment of migrant workers.
- Advocating with the relevant government agencies, for the issuance of a temporary stay (T-S) visa for all workers who have cases of labour dispute or abuse to enable the workers to stay and work in the country until the case is settled.
- Placing special focus on domestic workers. Proposing changes to the Employment Act to recognize domestic work as work; to develop standardized contracts; and to organize domestic workers into unions.
- Strengthening labour inspection services to enforce legal conditions of work for migrant workers.
- Addressing the specific risks for all migrant workers - men and women - in certain occupations and sectors with particular emphasis on dirty, dangerous and degrading jobs, and on women in domestic service and the informal economy.

The MTUC has set an excellent example of a comprehensive approach to supporting migrant workers and protecting Malaysian workers at the same time. Its policy provides it with the basis for lobbying and policy dialogue with government and employers.

The “trade union passport”

IT workers in several Indian cities, including Bangalore and Hyderabad, set up IT professional forums and applied for affiliation to Union Network International (UNI), a Global Union Federation. In response, UNI has produced a “UNI passport” in order to help mobile workers maintain their union rights and to obtain support as they move from country to country.51

The UNI passport also provides service workers with:
- Access to a worldwide network of more than 900 affiliated unions.
- A welcome from a local affiliated union in the destination country.

51See http://www.uniglobalunion.org/
• Help to familiarize themselves with their new local community – with mailing lists for information, invitations to cultural and political events.
• The opportunity to get involved in local activities – working groups dealing with professional issues, for example, or training courses.
• Information on working conditions, banking, tax, housing, school, health care and pensions.
• Advice on employment issues, contracts or local labour laws and collective agreements.
• Legal support if things go wrong with employers.

Organize and fight!
The 2008 Global Report *Freedom of association in practice: Lessons learned*,\(^{52}\) drawn up as part of the follow-up to the ILO’s 1998 Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work,\(^{53}\) stressed that legislation in a number of countries still limits trade union rights for migrant workers. Membership in mainstream and strong trade unions and federations alongside with nationals gives migrants greater voice in society and the world of work, thereby ensuring their equal rights and providing a disincentive to forced labour and trafficking of migrants. The 2008 Global Report also noted that over the last decade, the attitude and engagement of the trade union movement as a whole has clearly given priority to organizing migrant workers and promoting their rights, regardless of their immigration status. Major policy shifts, followed by extensive organizing drives among migrant workers, have been conducted by mainstream trade unions and national confederations across *Europe* as well as in *Africa, the Americas* and *Asia*. The trade union movement has always played an important role in promoting the integration of migrant workers into host country societies. When organized in trade unions, migrant workers can participate in discussions affecting their role in society and their contribution to economic and social progress; they can take part in the elaboration of trade union policy, they can vote to elect their representatives and, in most countries, are eligible for leadership positions.

The unionization of migrant workers is an essential step towards realization of the effective recognition of their right to collective bargaining. Equality needs to be promoted within unions; and migrant trade union representatives should be able to sit side-by-side with others when collective agreements are negotiated. Such agreements can include clauses dealing with discrimination based on sex, race and religion – to which migrant workers are particularly vulnerable.

Irregular migrants are most at risk of being subjected to forced labour and exploitation but regular migrants can also be denied both their human and labour rights. The fact that so many are denied the right to join a trade union is another reason why the national and international trade union movements have mobilized around migrant worker issues. As the ITUC says:


\(^{53}\)Please refer to Section 33, on the facts and figures of migrant workers, for more information about the Declaration.
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The fundamental concern of the trade union movement has been the struggle to secure the right of workers to form and join independent trade unions and to bargain collectively with their employer. This is the very basis of trade union organization and is still its highest priority... The basic trade union rights are the right to form or join a trade union, the right to bargain collectively and the right to strike.

Protecting migrant workers

In the Netherlands, the Confederation of Trade Unions (FNV) opened membership to undocumented migrant workers in 2000. In the United States, UNITE HERE (the Union of Needletrades, Industrial and Textile Employees, and the Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees) is negotiating clauses obliging employers to inform the union of impending visits by immigration officials, in response to some employers threatening to denounce undocumented immigrant workers who tried to organize.

Migrant workers’ unions

The best place for migrant workers is in a well-established trade union in their country of destination, with special measures to help them organize - such as their own section and literature in their own languages. In a number of cases, where legislation discriminates against migrant workers, this may be difficult. In these situations, some structures such as migrant worker unions may be necessary.

Another way of organizing would be a structure that links migrant workers who are members of different sectoral unions into a group for mutual support and help, within a national centre. These structures should be run by the migrants themselves with the support of national centres.

Examples include the Indonesian Migrants Union (IMWU), the South Korean Migrants’ Trade Union (MTU), affiliated to the Korean Confederation of Trade Unions (KCTU).

The Indonesian Migrant Workers Union (IMWU) was established in Hong Kong (China), in 2000 and now claims 2,500 women migrant domestic workers organized in some 20 trade unions, which have the support of the Hong Kong Congress of Trade Unions (HKCTU).

Domestic workers

Even those migrant workers who are traditionally isolated, hidden and super-exploited, such as women domestic workers, can be organized.

Associations of domestic workers have established some form of collaboration with existing trade unions, and members of such associations have joined existing trade unions. In some cases trade unions have been a driving force for the organization of domestic workers.
Organizing domestic workers requires innovative strategies and approaches. The provision of a wide range of services, including addressing lack of self-esteem and awareness, is crucial to attracting domestic workers. The Costa Rican Domestic Workers’ Association (ASTRADOMES), which was set up in 1991, adopted a holistic approach, providing domestic workers with services such as a telephone helpline, advice, support, legal and social guidance, temporary shelter for dismissed workers and training on various subjects including both labour rights and duties. The union has many female migrant worker members, primarily from Nicaragua and also from El Salvador, Guatemala and Honduras. It advocates for increased coverage of domestic workers in labour laws.

*Exposing abuse*
Trade unions, with their presence throughout the world of work, are well placed to identify abuse of migrant workers. They may observe this in the suppliers or customers of their own employers or as they go about their communities. They may also use the ILO supervisory procedures (see page 82) to report violations of ILO standards.

Trade union members can be encouraged to report their suspicions. The issue of abuse of migrant workers can be included in trade union education programmes and in union magazines for members. This would include abusive employment agencies and identifying those involved in trafficking.
**Conclusion**
Trade unions have achieved a huge amount for migrant workers, through campaigning and organizing. This has been happening at the global, national and local levels – in both destination and origin countries.

But trade unionists are people who do not give up easily. Millions of workers are still being exploited by unscrupulous employers. Trade unions cannot be satisfied until every worker is protected from discrimination and exploitation – by becoming a trade union member.

Trade unions need to redouble efforts and add critical mass to a global union campaign for migrant workers’ rights – Decent Work for migrant workers.

**Discussion points for Section 6**
- What is your union’s formal policy on migrant workers – does it need revising and updating?
- If it does need revision, you could start working on a new draft and think about how it will be presented to your union conference.
- Does your union constitution discriminate against migrant workers in any way? Can they join, and stand for office like any other worker?
### Learning exercises for section 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning exercise:</th>
<th>Mapping migrant workers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aim:</strong></td>
<td>To develop a good picture of where migrant workers are in a particular area or workplace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task:</strong> 1.</td>
<td>Select a workplace or community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Using a large piece of paper, and coloured pens draw the workplace/locality. Show where different activities take place - for example selling shoes, selling food.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Identify where migrant workers are concentrated. Where do they gather informally (a good place to meet them) and at what times? Mark all this information on your map, using another coloured pen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Finally, decide which groups might be the best to approach first about organizing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Learning exercise: **Who needs organizing? Why?**

**Aim:** To help you think about the migrant workers you want to organize.

**Task:** (This activity is better if you work in a group or a pair).

1. Think about the workers you want to help to organize.

2. Draw a picture of one of them on a large flipchart of how you think the workers will “look”.

3. Map onto your drawing some comments/ideas (represented graphically!) on:
   - Who your worker might be (male/female etc.).
   - What problems they have.
   - What skills they have.
   - How they feel about those problems (for example, discrimination, poor working conditions, lack of social security).
   - Why they might have difficulties in getting organized (what may have happened in the past).
   - Whether their working patterns make it difficult to organize (for example, women workers who may feel threatened by male union organizers).
   - What level of knowledge they have, including literacy and numeracy.
   - Whether there are any potential leaders among them.
   - How they might be feeling about you coming from outside.
Learning exercise: **Obstacles to organizing.**

Aim: To think about barriers to organizing migrant workers.

Task:  

1. Here is a list of some obstacles to organizing (based on a British TUC document).

2. What other obstacles can you think of and what can unions do to overcome these barriers to migrant workers joining?

   1. Migrant workers are often working in the least organized industries, such as agriculture, hotels and tourism.

   2. The actual status of workers may be unofficial (for example undocumented migrants) or ambiguous (for example, employed students) and these workers may not wish to call attention to their presence in the labour force by joining a trade union.

   3. Differences in languages can be serious obstacles to communicating with migrant workers.

---

54Trades Union Congress (UK) http://www.tuc.org.uk/
Learning exercise: **Organizing migrant workers.**

**Aim:** To help you think about the problems encountered in organizing migrant workers.

**Task:** This is a role play exercise.

1. Some of you will be trade union organizers. You are going to approach some workers to persuade them to join the union.

2. Some of you will act the role of migrant workers. You have no idea who these people are, and what they are talking about. You have a lot to do just to earn the little amount of money you can take home each day. You are not earning anything like as much as you hoped you would when you paid a "mule" to get you into the country. The food is strange, and people are often abusive. Your employer has told you that some bad people will try to get you to join some organization. You are suspicious of these outsiders. If you listen to them, the police will come around and deport you.
# Section 6 - What next: the role of trade unions

**Learning exercise:** Are we migrant worker-friendly?

**Aim:** Organizing effectively.

**Task:**

1. Examine the activities/constitution/rules of your trade union or your apex level organization.

2. How easy is it for a migrant worker to join? What obstacles exist?

3. How do we make our trade unions attractive and welcoming to migrant workers?

4. Can we accept any obstacle, including law, that prevents any migrant worker joining our unions?

5. In particular, should we recruit migrant workers in irregular situations?

6. Do we need to change our union constitutions? Can we change these rules easily?
Learning exercise: The best place for migrant workers?

Aim: To think about different ways of organizing migrant workers.

Task: (You will be divided into two teams).

1. One team will develop the arguments for organizing migrant workers into separate organizations.

2. The other team will develop the arguments for recruiting migrant workers into established unions.

3. After you have had some time to prepare, there will be a debate on the two approaches.
Learning exercise: Developing a trade union strategy.

Now that you have worked through this Manual, you need to start thinking about what happens next. You need to review all your ideas.

- What is the overall situation for migrant workers in your country? Draw up a manifesto on the policies and laws that need changing. Remember that the majority of migrant workers may well be women.
- Giving migrant workers a voice in policies that affect them – how are you going to do it?
- What coordinating structures can be created between your union and unions in other countries?
- How are you going to organize migrant workers?
- Think about the group of workers you are going to help to organize.
- Consider how to work with that group – are there existing informal structures and leaders?
- What structure is the best for them? Consider what sort of organization they need and steps necessary to set one up.

---

**Draw up an action plan, using this format:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migrant workers’ action plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What are we going to do?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are the first steps?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the timetable?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who is going to do it?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What resources and help will they need?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As so much emotive language is used about migrant workers, this glossary provides the definitions used, under international law, for some of the terms used both in this manual, and in the debate about migrant labour.

Most of these definitions are taken from the ILO thesaurus, available online at: http://www.ilo.org/public/libdoc/ILO-Thesaurus/english/index.htm


Other definitions can also be found at UNESCO’s Glossary on Migration, available at: http://www.unesco.org/shs/migration/glossary

**Asylum-seeker**
Described by the UN as “someone who has made a claim that he or she is a refugee and is awaiting the determination of his or her status.” The term contains no presumption either way – it simply describes the fact that someone has lodged the claim. Some asylum-seekers will be judged refugees and others will not.

See also: Refugee

**Brain drain**
The loss suffered by a country as a result of the emigration of highly qualified personnel

**Forced migration**
Includes not only refugees and asylum-seekers, but also people forced to move due to external factors, such as environmental catastrophes, or development projects.

**Green Card**
A Green Card allows an immigrant to live permanently in the United States. There are some restrictions on the period of stay away from the United States to maintain its continued validity. There are several types of Green Card, mostly given to people sponsored by their companies, people who are related to someone in the United States, or those seeking asylum.

**H-1B visa**
The United States’ H-1B programme allows an employer to temporarily employ a foreign worker in the United States on a non-immigrant basis, in a specialty.

**Human trafficking**
See: Trafficking in persons

**Illegal migrant**
This is a meaningless term, and should always be avoided. A person cannot be illegal! (See page 44.)

**Irregular migration**
The movement of a person to a new place of residence or transit using irregular or illegal means, without valid documents, carrying false documents, or overstaying a valid visa.

**Migrant**
The UN Population Division defines a migrant as a person outside his/her country of birth or citizenship. Definitions vary between: “any person who lives temporarily or permanently in a country where he or she was not born” and “someone who enters a country other than that of which they are a citizen for at least 12 months.”
Migrant worker
According to ILO Convention No. 97, Article 11, “a person who migrates from one country to another with a view to being employed otherwise than on his own account and includes any person regularly admitted as a migrant for employment”.

Refugee
Any person who, owing to a well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion, is outside the country of his or her nationality and is unable or unwilling to avail him - or herself of the protection of that country.

For persons displaced within their own country, the term displaced person is used.

Remittance
Transfer of earnings by internal migrants, migrant workers or expatriate workers to their country or region of origin.

Replacement population
The population that is necessary to offset declines in the general population, and the population of working age, as well as to make up for the ageing of a population.

Return migration
The movement of a person returning to his/her country of origin or of habitual residence after spending at least one year in another country. This return may or may not be voluntary or may result from an expulsion order. Includes voluntary repatriation.

Smuggling of migrants
According to the UN definition, “the procurement in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit, of the illegal entry of a person into a State of which the person is not a national or permanent resident”.

It differs from trafficking in persons in that it involves the consent of the migrants involved and ends with the arrival of the migrants at their destination.

Trafficking in persons
The recruitment, transportation, transfer, harbouring or receipt of persons by means of threat or the use of force or other forms of coercion, of abduction, of fraud, of deception, of the abuse of power or of a position of vulnerability or of the giving or receiving of payments or benefits to achieve the consent of a person having control over another person, for the purpose of exploitation.

This is the United Nations definition, which the ILO also uses.

The 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees
A key international legal document, now with 145 signatories, that defines who is a refugee, their rights and the legal obligations of States. Drawn up by a special UN conference, a significant provision stipulates that a refugee should not be returned to a country where he or she fears persecution.
Selected reading and web sites on migrant workers

ILO publications


ILO: Migrant workers, Labour Education 2002/4 No. 129. Labour Education is a quarterly publication produced by the ILO Bureau for workers’ activities.


The World Commission on the Social Dimension of Globalization was established by the ILO in 2002. The Commission was an independent body. It was initiated to respond to the needs of people as they cope with the unprecedented changes that globalization has brought to their lives, their families, and the societies in which they live. The Commission’s final report, A fair globalization: Creating opportunities for all was released in 2004: http://www.ilo.org/fairglobalization/lang—en/index.htm

The ILO International Labour Migration Programme has produced a series of papers that are intended to contribute to understanding current issues and debates on international migration: http://www.ilo.org/public/english/protection/migrant/info/publ.htm

Web resources

United Nations system

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs World Economic and Social Survey 2004 (Part 2) International Migration, New York.

The United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights is responsible for the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families. The website for matters relating to the Convention is: http://www.unhchr.ch/html/menu3/b/m_mwctoc.htm

UNESCO International Migration and Multicultural Policies

Trade union web sites
The European Trade Union Confederation (ETUC) has a number of documents on its web site: http://www.etuc.org
For ETUC policy: http://www.etuc.org/a/1159
For a report of a conference on migrant domestic workers: http://www.etuc.org/a/2041
International Trade Union Confederation http://www.ituc-csi.org (includes links to ITUC regional organizations)
Global Unions http://www.global-unions.org
Building and Wood Workers International (BWI) http://www.bwint.org
Education International (EI) http://www.ei-ie.org
International Federation of Chemical, Energy, Mine and General Workers’ Unions (ICEM) http://www.icem.org
International Federation of Journalists (IFJ) http://www.ifj.org
International Metalworkers’ Federation (IMF) http://www.imfmetal.org
International Transport Workers’ Federation (ITF) http://www.itf.org.uk
International Textile, Garment and Leather Workers’ Federation (ITGLWF) http://www.itglwf.org
International Union of Food, Agricultural, Hotel, Restaurant, Catering, Tobacco and Allied Workers’ Associations (IUF) http://www.iuf.org
Public Service International (PSI) http://www.world-psi.org
Union Network International (UNI) http://www.union-network.org
International Arts and Entertainment Alliance (IAEA) http://www.fia-actors.com http://www.fim-musicians.com
Trade Union Advisory Committee to the OECD (TUAC) http://www.tuac.org
Selected reading and web sites on migrant workers

Other websites

Intergovernmental organizations:

The Global Commission on International Migration was launched by the United Nations Secretary-General and a number of governments in 2003. It was independent and given the mandate to provide the framework for the formulation of a coherent, comprehensive and global response to the issue of international migration. The Global Commission on International Migration closed in December 2005, but this website contains its final report and much useful information: http://www.gcim.org/en/


The European Union Statistics Bureau (Eurostat) and the Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute (NIDI) ran a project to improve understanding of the direct and indirect causes and mechanisms of international migration to the European Union. The results are available at: http://www.nidi.knaw.nl/web/html/pushpull/index.html

Non-governmental organizations (NGOs):

Anti-Slavery International. Founded 1839, it is the oldest human rights organization in the world and deals especially with trafficking of persons: http://www.antislavery.org

Human Rights Watch has produced some well-researched reports on the plight of migrant workers in some countries: http://www.hrw.org

Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants (JCWI) is a UK based advocacy organization: http://www.jcwi.org.uk

The UK’s University of Sussex Centre for Migration Research and its Development Research Centre on Migration, Globalisation and Poverty contains many useful resources: http://www.migrationdrc.org/index.html

Migration Information Source is a New York-based project providing many tools. It produces a regular newsletter to which you can subscribe for free: http://www.migrationinformation.org/index.cfm

Platform for International Cooperation on Undocumented Migrants (PICUM). PICUM is a network of individuals and organizations, with a secretariat in Brussels, which aims to promote respect for the human rights of “undocumented” migrants: http://www.picum.org

International Migrants Day is 18 December. View the organization campaigns for migrant workers’ rights: http://www.december18.net/web/general/start.php
Books and articles


TUC. 2003. *Overworked, Underpaid and Over Here: Migrant Workers in Britain*, London, TUC.


If one looks at the information contained in the present manual and evidenced by studies prepared by the International Labour Organization and global unions, one would rapidly come to the conclusion that: migrant workers face undue hardships and abuse in the form of low wages, poor working conditions, virtual absence of social protection, denial of freedom of association and workers’ rights, discrimination and xenophobia, as well as social exclusion. Too often migrant workers are used (and abused) as a source of cheap labour.

Besides the problems faced by migrant workers, their sacrifices and contributions to the economies of their host and origin countries too often remain unaccounted for. Yet migrant workers generate tremendous benefits to both.

Clearly, migration is first and foremost a labour issue: it is about the movement of workers, crossing borders to find employment; it is about equal treatment for these workers, about their conditions, and their rights.

This manual is about strengthening the trade union movement’s capacity to participate in the shaping of migration policies, promoting sound labour migration practices, reaching out to migrant workers; about making sure that the benefits of migration, when it occurs, are maximized for all: for the countries of origin of migrant workers, for the countries of destination, and for both migrant and non-migrant workers.
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