

NINETY-SECOND SESSION

***In re* Palma (Nos. 26 to 32)
(Applications for review)**

Judgment No. 2118

The Administrative Tribunal,

Considering the twenty-sixth complaint filed on 7 August 2000 by Mr Francesco Palma, the twenty-seventh complaint filed on 12 August, the twenty-eighth complaint filed on 24 August, the twenty-ninth complaint filed on 18 September, the thirtieth complaint filed on 25 September, the thirty-first complaint filed on 30 September and the thirty-second complaint filed on 7 October 2000 against the European Southern Observatory (ESO), and which are applications for review of Judgments 1949, 1718, 1919, 1948, 1950, 2002 and 2001 respectively;

Considering Article II, paragraph 5, of the Statute of the Tribunal and Article 7 of its Rules;

Having examined the written submissions;

CONSIDERATIONS

1. The complainant is a former employee of the ESO. The Observatory did not renew his contract upon its expiry in 1995. Since then the complainant has come to the Tribunal on many occasions challenging his former conditions of employment. He has filed seven applications for review, of Judgments 1718, 1919, 1948, 1949, 1950, 2001 and 2002. The seven applications will be joined to form the subject of a single ruling.
2. The complainant submits that there are "new facts" warranting review of some of the judgments he has challenged in which, he says, the Tribunal has made errors of fact or omitted to rule on claims or disregarded principles deriving from its Statute or international conventions. There is nothing of that nature: the complainant merely enlarges on issues already examined in his initial complaints and in previous applications for review. Since he has failed to provide any evidence in support of his pleas, none of them can affect the final ruling handed down by the Tribunal.
3. The complainant's applications for review being clearly irreceivable, the Tribunal will dismiss them summarily under the procedure provided for in Article 7 of its Rules.

DECISION

For the above reasons,

The applications are dismissed.

In witness of this judgment, adopted on 12 November 2001, Mr Michel Gentot, President of the Tribunal, Miss Mella Carroll, Vice-President, and Mr James K. Hugessen, Judge, sign below, as do I, Catherine Comtet, Registrar.

Delivered in public in Geneva on 30 January 2002.

(Signed)

Michel Gentot

Mella Carroll

James K. Hugessen

Catherine Comtet

Updated by PFR. Approved by CC. Last update: 15 February 2002.