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## INDEX TO COMMENTS MADE BY THE COMMITTEE, BY COUNTRY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Observations made by the Committee (published in the present Report)</th>
<th>Direct requests addressed by the Committee to the Governments (not reproduced in the present Report)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>General Report, para. 105. I A and B, Nos. 13, 95, 111. III.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>I A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 81, 87, 122, 131. Art. 35, No. 122.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>I B, No. 95.</td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 111. Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>I B, No. 11.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 29, 87.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 96, 97, 122. Subm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The roman numerals and letters refer to sections of Part Two of this report and the arabic numerals to the numbers of the Conventions.

The abbreviations used in respect of direct requests are the following:

- "Art. 22": application of ratified Conventions in member States.
- "Art. 35": application of ratified Conventions in non-metropolitan territories.
- "Subm.": submission of Conventions and Recommendations to the competent authorities.

The numbers refer to Conventions.
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 87, 111. III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 111.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 11. Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>I A and B, Nos. 29, 94.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 14, 29, 81, 90. Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byelorussian SSR</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 11, 52, 87. III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 122, 124.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon, United</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of</td>
<td></td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 87, 122. Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>I A. III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 94, 95, 117.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Empire</td>
<td></td>
<td>Art. 22; Nos. 52, 81, 105, 111.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 3, 12, 17, 18, 22, 29, 62. III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 87. Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>I B, No. 119.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 106, 128.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 87, 95, 114, 121, 122. Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Observations made by the Committee (published in the present Report)</td>
<td>Direct requests addressed by the Committee to the Governments (not reproduced in the present Report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czechoslovakia</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 11, 42, 87, 111.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 29, 87, 122, 130. Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Yemen</td>
<td>I B, No. 95.</td>
<td>Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>I A and B, Nos. 87, 88.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 77, 95, 98. Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>I B, No. 87.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 84, 98. Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td></td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 8, 111, 122, 128, 130, 134, 135, 136.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>I B, No. 98.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 78, 81, 102, 118, 120, 122, 127, 129, 136.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II A and B, Nos. 3, 22, 42, 81.</td>
<td>Art. 35, general.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 11, 19, 26, 87, 95, 98, 99, 111, 124, 135.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Democratic Republic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 11, 87, 98, 111, 122. Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I A and B, Nos. 87, 98.</td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 87.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 55, 87, 95, 102.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Observations made by the Committee (published in the present Report)</td>
<td>Direct requests addressed by the Committee to the Governments (not reproduced in the present Report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>I A and B, Nos. 87, 94, 97, 98, 119. III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, general.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 62, 119.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>General Report, para. 132. I B, No. 64. III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 95, 111, 115.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>I A and B, Nos. 24, 25, 42, 81, 105. III.</td>
<td>General Report, para. 91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Art. 22, general.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 24, 25, 81.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 32, 62, 87.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 78, 87, 111.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>I B, No. 87.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 3, 19, 87, 103, 111, 122, 142.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 5, 11, 111, 115.</td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 115.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 29, 98.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 106, 120.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td></td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 95.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>I B, No. 111.</td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 78.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 32, 52, 111.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 95, 97, 115, 122. Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivory Coast</td>
<td></td>
<td>Art. 22, general.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 87, 98.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 105, 111, 122, 124.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Observations made by the Committee (published in the present Report)</td>
<td>Direct requests addressed by the Committee to the Governments (not reproduced in the present Report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I A.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 11, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 11, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I A.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 14, 52.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 14, 52.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>General Report, paras. 105, 109, 132.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 11, 64, 87, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I A.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 11, 64, 87, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 11, 64, 87, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>I A and B, No. 29.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 11, 64, 87, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I A and B, No. 29.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 11, 64, 87, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 11, 64, 87, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I A and B, Nos. 3, 95, 98.</td>
<td>Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 81, 111.</td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 81.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 81.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subm.</td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 81.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 81, 111.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 81, 99, 129.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 81, 99, 129.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I A.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 81, 99, 129.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 81, 99, 129.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 95, 98.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 14, 95, 119, 123.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 14, 95, 119, 123.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subm.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 14, 95, 119, 123.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 87, 98.</td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 111.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 111.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subm.</td>
<td>Art. 22, No. 111.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>General Report, para. 132.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 87, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I B, Nos. 87, 88, 98, 111.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 87, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 87, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I A and B, Nos. 19, 22, 53, 62, 81, 87, 94, 114.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 22, 81, 84, 102, 111, 122.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 22, 81, 84, 102, 111, 122.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 8, 17, 94.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 64, 65, 95, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 64, 65, 95, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Observations made by the Committee (published in the present Report)</td>
<td>Direct requests addressed by the Committee to the Governments (not reproduced in the present Report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>II A.</td>
<td>Art. 22, general.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>II A.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 111, 122, 137, 138, 140.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>I A and B, Nos. 87, 98.</td>
<td>Art. 22, general.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 111, 130.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 87, 98.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 11, 22, 87, 96, 98.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 87, 96, 111.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>I A and B, Nos. 8, 22, 53, 68, 87, 92, 94, 98.</td>
<td>Art. 22, general.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>I A and B, Nos. 29, 81, 87, 98, 105, 107, 120.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 77, 81, 107, 117, 120, 122.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>General Report, para. 109,</td>
<td>Art. 22, general.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>I B, Nos. 11, 87.</td>
<td>Art. 22, Nos. 42, 87, 95, 103, 111, 122. Subm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tr>
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<td>I A.</td>
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<td>Ukrainian SSR</td>
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<td>General Report, para. 105. I A. III.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
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<tr>
<td>Zaire</td>
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<td>Art. 22, Nos. 29, 97, 105, 136, 138. Subm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART ONE

GENERAL REPORT
GENERAL REPORT

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations, appointed by the Governing Body of the International Labour Office to examine the information and reports submitted under articles 19, 22 and 35 of the Constitution by States Members of the International Labour Organisation on the action take with regard to Conventions and Recommendations, held its 49th Session in Geneva from 15 to 28 March 1979. The Committee has the honour to present its report to the Governing Body.

2. Three new members have been appointed to the Committee since it last met, Mr. Roberto Ago (Italy), Mrs. Hanna Bokor-Szegő (Hungary) and Mr. Boon Chiang Tan (Singapore), whom the Committee was pleased to welcome at its present session.

3. The composition of the Committee is now as follows:

The Right Honourable Sir Adetokunbo ADEMOLA, GCON, KBE, CPP, PC (Nigeria),

former Chief Justice of Nigeria; honorary Bencher of the Middle Temple, London; honorary Member of the International Commission of Jurists; former member of the International Civil Service Advisory Board; former President of the Nigerian Red Cross Society; Chancellor of the University of Nigeria; Chairman, The Commonwealth Foundation;

Mr. Roberto AGO (Italy),

Judge of the International Court of Justice; former Professor of International Law, Faculty of Law, University of Rome; former member and President of the United Nations International Law Commission; President of the Vienna Conference for the Codification of the Law on Treaties (1968-69); former Chairman of the ILO Governing Body; member of the Institute of International Law; President of the Curatorium of the Academy of International Law at The Hague; member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration;

Mr. Günther BEITZKE (Federal Republic of Germany),

former Professor of Civil Law and Private International Law at the University of Bonn; former Director of the Institute of Private International Law and Collaborative Law at the University of Bonn; honorary Doctor of the Universities of Bordeaux and Reykjavik; Corresponding Member of the Austrian Academy;

Mr. Prafullachandra Natvarlal BHAGWATI (India),

Judge of the Supreme Court of India; former Chief Justice of the High Court of Gujarat; former Chairman, Legal Aid Committee and
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Judicial Reforms Committee, Government of Gujarat; former Chairman, Committee on Juridicare, Government of India; Chairman, Research Committee of the Indian Law Institute; member of the Executive Committee of the Indian Branch of the International Law Association;

Mrs. Hanna BOKOR-SZEGÖ (Hungary),
Head of the International Law Department, Institute for Legal and Administrative Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences; Professor of International Law, University of Economics, Budapest; former member and Chairman of the United Nations Commission on the Status of Women; Secretary of the Hungarian Branch of the International Law Association; former member of the delegation of Hungary at the International Labour Conference;

Mr. Boutros BOUTROS-GHALI (Egypt),
Professor of the Faculty of Economics and Political Science of the University of Cairo; Director of the Department of Political Science; President of the Political Studies Centre of Al-Ahram; associate member of the Institute of International Law; member of the International Commission of Jurists; trustee of the International Legal Centre; Vice-President of the Egyptian Society of International Law; Member of the Council of the International Institute of Human Rights;

Mr. Antonio Ferreira CESARINO, Jr. (Brazil),
Professor Emeritus of Labour Law of the State University and Professor of Occupational Medicine of the Catholic University of Sao Paulo; honorary Professor of the Central University of Venezuela; honorary President of the International Society of Labour and Social Security Law; honorary Member of the Society of Occupational Medicine of Strasbourg; member of the Brazilian delegation to the sessions of the International Labour Conference of 1950, 1960 and 1964;

The Right Honourable Sir William DOUGLAS, PC (Barbados),
Chief Justice of Barbados; Member, Inter-American Juridical Committee; Member, Commonwealth Caribbean Council of Legal Education; former Judge of the High Court of Jamaica;

Mr. Arnold GUBINSKI (Poland),
Doctor of Laws; Professor of Law at the University of Warsaw;

Mr. Frank W. McCULLOCH (United States),
Scholar in residence, former Professor of Law at the University of Virginia; former Chairman of the National Labor Relations Board (1961-70); arbitrator; member, Public Review Board, United Auto Workers; member, Board of Directors, Migrant Legal Action Programme;

Mr. E. RAZAFINDRALAMBO (Madagascar),
First President of the Supreme Court of Madagascar; President of the High Court of Justice; Arbitrator of the International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (IBRD) and of the International Civil Aviation Organisation; former Professor of Law at the University of Tananarive;
Mr. Jose Maria RUDA (Argentina),
Judge of the International Court of Justice; member of the Institute of International Law; Professor of Public International Law at the University of Buenos Aires; former representative to the United Nations; former Under-Secretary of Foreign Affairs; former member of the United Nations International Law Commission;

Mr. Paul RUEGG (Switzerland),
former Ambassador; former Minister in Rome and London; former President of the International Committee of the Red Cross (1948-1955); honorary Member of the International Committee of the Red Cross; member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration; member of the Institute of International Law; member of the Curatorium of the Academy of International Law at The Hague;

Mr. Boon Chiang TAN (Singapore),
LLB (London), Barrister-at-Law, advocate and solicitor, Singapore; President of the Industrial Arbitration Court of Singapore since 1965; member of the Court and Council, University of Singapore;

Mr. Senjin TSURUOKA (Japan),
member of the United Nations International Law Commission; formerly Ambassador to the Holy See (1958-59); Sweden (1962-66) and Switzerland (1966-67); formerly Permanent Representative to the United Nations (1967-71); member of the Curatorium of the Academy of International Law at The Hague;

Mr. Grigory TUNKIN (USSR),
Head of the Department of International Law at the University of Moscow; Corresponding Member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR; Scientist Emeritus of the RSFSR; President of the Soviet Association of International Law; Member of the Institute of International Law; Member of the Curatorium of the Academy of International Law at The Hague;

Mr. Joseph J.M. VAN DER VEN (Netherlands),
former Professor of Labour Law, of the Sociology of Law and of the Philosophy of Law at the University of Utrecht; former Dean of the Law Faculty; former Rector of the University; former President of the Social Insurance Council of the Netherlands;

Mr. Jean-Maurice VERDIER (France),
President of the University of Paris X, honorary Dean of the Faculty of Law and Economics; former Professor of the Faculties of Law and Economics at Tunis (1956-61) and Algiers (1965-68); President of the International Society of Labour and Social Security Law;

Mr. Joza WILFAN (Yugoslavia),
Member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration; former Attorney-General of Yugoslavia; former Head of the Yugoslav Mission to the United Nations; former Ambassador to India;
Sir John WOOD (United Kingdom), CBE, LLM,
Barrister-at-Law; Edward Bramley Professor of Law at the
University of Sheffield; Member of the Conciliation and
Arbitration Service, 1974-76; Chairman of the Central
Arbitration Committee since 1976.

4. Mr. Boutros-Ghali was unable to attend the Committee's
session this year.

5. The Committee elected Sir Adetokunbo ADEMOLA as Chairman
and Mr. BAZAFINDRALAMBO as Reporter of the Committee.

6. In pursuance of its terms of reference, as revised by the
Governing Body at its 103rd Session (Geneva, 1947), the Committee was
called upon "to examine:

(i) the annual reports under article 22 of the Constitution on
the measures taken by Members to give effect to the
provisions of the Conventions to which they are parties,
and the information furnished by Members concerning the
results of inspection;

(ii) the information and reports concerning Conventions and
Recommendations communicated by Members in accordance with
article 19 of the Constitution;

(iii) information and reports on the measures taken by Members in
accordance with article 35 of the Constitution."

7. The Committee, after an examination and evaluation of the
above-mentioned reports and information, drew up its present report,
which consists essentially of the following three parts: (a) review of
reports from governments on ratified Conventions, supplied under
articles 22 and 35 of the Constitution (see paragraphs 99 to 123
below), and Part Two (I and II); (b) review of information supplied by
governments under article 19, paragraphs 5 to 7, of the Constitution on
the measures taken to submit Conventions and Recommendations to the
competent authorities for the enactment of legislation or other action
(see paragraphs 124 to 132 below), and Part Two (III); and (c) review
of reports supplied by governments under article 19 of the Constitution
on the Forced Labour Convention, 1930 (No. 29) and the Abolition of
Forced Labour Convention, 1957 (No. 105) (see paragraphs 133 to 136
below and Part Three, which is published in a separate volume as Report
III (Part 4B).

8. In carrying out its functions, the Committee remained
faithful to its fundamental principles of independence, objectivity and
impartiality, as described in greater detail in previous reports. Its
task is to point out the extent to which it appears that the position
in each State is in conformity with the terms of the Conventions and
the obligations which that State has undertaken by virtue of the
Constitution of the ILO.

9. The United Nations was represented at the session. In
addressing the Committee, Mr. H. Bazaud, Assistant Director of the
Division of Human Rights, speaking also on behalf of Mr. van Boven,
Director of the Division of Human Rights, stressed the significance of
coopération and co-ordination of activities between the United Nations
and the specialised agencies, especially in relation to standard
setting and the supervision of the application of international
instruments.
II. GENERAL

Membership of the Organisation

10. Since the Committee's last meeting, Comoros, Djibouti and Namibia have become Members of the Organisation, bringing the total membership to 138. Comoros and Djibouti respectively confirmed the obligations under 29 and 62 Conventions previously accepted on their behalf.

New Conventions and Recommendations

11. The Committee noted that at its 64th Session (June 1978) the International Labour Conference adopted the Labour Administration Convention (No. 150) and Recommendation (No. 158) and the Labour Relations (Public Service) Convention (No. 151) and Recommendation (No. 159).

Obligations binding member States

12. In the course of 1978, 205 ratifications by 33 member States were registered. Of these, 81 were new ratifications and 124 represented the confirmation by Comoros (29), Djibouti (62), Seychelles (18) and Swaziland (15) of obligations previously undertaken in their name. The total number of ratifications in 1978 was higher than in any year since 1963, and once again most of these ratifications were by developing countries. The Committee welcomed this evidence of the continuing value attached by the member States of the ILO to its standard-setting work.


14. In 1978, 27 new declarations were registered concerning the application, by Denmark and the United Kingdom, of Conventions to non-metropolitan territories. Seven of the Conventions concerned were declared applicable without modifications and seven with modifications; in 13 cases the governments stated that they reserved their decision on whether the Convention was not applicable. The total number of declarations at 31 December 1978 included 1,103 declarations of application without modifications and 94 with modifications. The British territories of Dominica, St. Lucia, the Solomon Islands and Tuvalu having acquired independence since the Committee's last session, the number of non-metropolitan territories is now 31.

15. Four denunciations unaccompanied by the ratification of a revised Convention were registered during 1978, by Canada in respect of the Underground Work (Women) Convention, 1935 (No. 45), by Somalia in respect of the Contracts of Employment (Indigenous Workers) Convention, 1939 (No. 64), and by Uruguay in respect of the Night Work (Bakeries) Convention, 1925 (No. 20), and the Underground Work (Women) Convention, 1935 (No. 45). The total number of denunciations unaccompanied by the ratification of a revised Convention was 29 at 31 December 1978.
**In-depth review of international labour standards**

16. The Committee learned with interest of the decisions taken by the Governing Body at its 209th Session (February-March 1979) when it concluded the in-depth review of international labour standards which had been initiated in 1974. It noted in particular that the Governing Body had undertaken a systematic review of the existing body of standards and of proposals for new standards, on the basis of which it had classified the existing standards into three categories, namely existing instruments, the ratification and application of which should be promoted on a priority basis; existing instruments, revision of which would be appropriate; and other existing instruments; and that it had identified in a fourth category a series of subjects concerning which the formulation of new subjects should be considered. This major review of international labour standards has laid the foundations of a system for their continuing adaptation to changing needs and provided a basis for ILO standard-setting in the coming decades.

17. The Committee noted that in deciding on this classification the Governing Body approved one further simplification of the procedure for the supply of reports on ratified Conventions, as approved by the Governing Body in November 1976 within the framework of the in-depth review of international labour standards and set out in the Committee's general report for 1977 (paragraph 38), namely that where a State has ratified both a more recent Convention and an earlier Convention on the same subject which was not automatically denounced it should be asked to report only on the more recent Convention. The Office has been asked to identify the cases in which this procedure should be followed, it being understood that this simplification of the reporting obligation will apply only where the more recent Convention provides a higher level of protection than the earlier Convention so that a single report will indicate whether both Conventions are being applied.

18. For the rest, the decisions taken by the Governing Body at this final phase of the in-depth review of standards concern essentially standards publications, activities relating to the promotion of the application of standards and the future standard-setting programme. They thus provide a broad framework for the continuing supervisory work of the Committee, which remains responsible for examining the measures taken by States to give effect to all the Conventions they have ratified, irrespective of the category in which those Conventions have been classified, on the basis of the reports supplied in accordance with the system of detailed reporting approved by the Governing Body in 1976 in the context of the in-depth review.

**Functions in regard to other international and regional instruments**

**International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights**

19. In accordance with the procedure established by the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations for supervising the implementation of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, the International Labour Organisation is requested to report to the Economic and Social Council, in accordance with article 18 of the Covenant, on the progress made in achieving the observance of the provisions of the Covenant falling within the scope of its activities when these provisions are the subject of reports from States Parties to the Covenant. The Governing Body has entrusted the Committee with the preparation of the ILO's report on this matter.
20. The first reports from States Parties to the Covenant were requested for 1 September 1977, to cover the measures adopted and progress made in achieving the observance of the rights recognised in articles 6-9 of the Covenant, namely the right to work, the right to just and favourable conditions of work, the right to form and join trade unions and the right to social security. At its last session the Committee was able to examine reports from nine States Parties to the Covenant, copies of which had been communicated to the International Labour Office by the Secretariat of the United Nations. At its present session, the Committee examined 14 further reports (Australia, Byelorussian SSR, Chile, Colombia, Cyprus, Czechoslovakia, Denmark, Finland, Federal Republic of Germany, Norway, Poland, Romania, Ukrainian SSR, USSR) from States Parties and the report of the United Kingdom in relation to the application of the Covenant in its non-metropolitan territories. In drawing up its report on the observance of articles 6-9 of the Covenant by these States, the Committee, in accordance with the mandate of the Governing Body, took account of other available information on the implementation of these provisions of the Covenant. The Committee had to defer until its next session the examination of two further reports (Bulgaria, Syrian Arab Republic) received and transmitted by the United Nations too late to permit their examination at this session.

21. The preliminary examination of the reports on the Covenant was again entrusted to a working party, appointed by the Committee, of four of its members, whose conclusions were presented to the Committee for consideration and approval. A separate report on the observance of articles 6-9 of the Covenant by the 14 reporting countries is being transmitted to the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations.

22. The representative of the United Nations informed the Committee that the report which it had prepared at its last session on the application of the Covenant by nine States Parties had been submitted to the Economic and Social Council at its session in April 1978, but that on that occasion the Council had had to defer substantive work on the Covenant until its forthcoming session in April 1979, when it would have before it the reports prepared by the Committee at its last and present sessions.

European Code of Social Security

23. Under the procedure for the supervision of the European Code of Social Security, copies of reports on the Code and the Protocol thereto from seven ratifying States, communicated to the ILO by the Secretary-General of the Council of Europe, were examined by the Committee in accordance with the established supervisory procedure. In examining these reports, the Committee was able to note with satisfaction measures taken by a number of the States concerned in the light of the Committee's comments so as to ensure the full application of these instruments. The Committee's conclusions on these reports are being communicated to the Secretary-General of the Council of Europe for transmission to that organisation's Steering Committee for Social Security. The Committee also had to examine this year a request from the Steering Committee for clarifications as to the manner in which it assesses the application of one of the provisions of the Code and Protocol. In accordance with the usual practice, the ILO was represented at the meeting of that Committee when it examined the application of the Code and Protocol on the basis of the conclusions reached by the Committee of Experts at its last session; these conclusions were endorsed by the Steering Committee.
Collaboration with other international organisations

24. The arrangements under which the ILO collaborates with other international organisations on questions concerning the supervision of international instruments on matters of interest to more than one organisation continued to function as in the past. Thus, in conformity with usual practice, copies of reports supplied under article 22 of the ILO Constitution on the Indigenous and Tribal Populations Convention, 1957 (No. 107) were sent for comment to the United Nations, the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (FAO), the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) and the World Health Organisation (WHO). Copies of reports on the Social Policy (Basic Aims and Standards) Convention, 1962 (No. 117) were sent to the United Nations, FAO and UNESCO.

25. In the field of discrimination, the arrangements for cooperation with the United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, which is responsible for supervising the application of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, adopted in 1965 under United Nations auspices, continued to function as in the past. Thus, the report of the Committee of Experts for 1978, and in particular its comments on the application of the Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention, 1958 (No. 111) were brought to the attention of the United Nations Committee, and the ILO was represented at the meetings of that Committee in 1978. Similarly, the documents relating to the work of the United Nations Committee were communicated to the Committee of Experts which took note of them with interest.

26. In addressing the Committee, the representative of the United Nations expressed the hope that arrangements would be worked out in the near future so that the activities of the two Committees on matters of mutual concern could be co-ordinated in order to enhance the more effective application of the relevant instruments in the field of racial or other forms of discrimination.

27. Within the framework of ILO collaboration with the Council of Europe on matters other than the European Code of Social Security dealt with above, a representative of the ILO participated in a consultative capacity in the meetings of the Committee of Independent Experts responsible for the supervision of the application of the European Social Charter. This participation, which is provided for in article 26 of the Charter, facilitates co-ordination in the supervision of international labour Conventions and of the many provisions of the Charter which deal with matters which are also the subject of ILO Conventions.

Regional seminars on national and international labour standards

28. The Committee welcomed the fact that the programme of seminars designed to familiarise the officials of national ministries of labour with the obligations of member States and ILO procedures relating to Conventions and Recommendations was continued with a seminar held in Lima from 6 to 16 November 1978 for officials from Latin American countries, which brought together 21 officials directly responsible for relations with the ILO from 17 countries of the region.

29. The Committee also noted with interest that a further seminar was organised by the ILO in Athens from 26 September to 4 October 1978 at the request of the Greek Government and with its financial contribution. This seminar, which was the first to be organised at the national level, was attended by 26 senior officials from the Ministry of Labour and other ministries and public bodies.
Constitutional procedures of complaint and representation

30. The Committee noted at its last session that certain representations had been made under article 24 of the Constitution relating to the observance of the Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention, 1958 (No. 111) and were being considered by a committee of the Governing Body set up in accordance with the Standing Orders governing the examination of representations. It accordingly suspended its examination of the application of the Convention by the countries concerned. Following the decision of the Governing Body at its 208th Session (November 1978) to publish the representation concerning the observance of the Convention by Czechoslovakia and the Government's reply, thus terminating the representation procedure, the Committee at its present session resumed its examination of the application of the Convention by this country. The examination of the representation concerning the Federal Republic of Germany not yet being terminated, the Committee again suspended its consideration of this case.

31. The Committee was informed that two complaints had been made by France in May 1978 relating to the non-observance by Panama of the Officers' Competency Certificates Convention, 1936 (No. 53), the Repatriation of Seamen Convention, 1926 (No. 23) and the Food and Catering (Ships' Crews) Convention, 1946 (No. 68), and decided to suspend its examination of the application of these Conventions by Panama pending the outcome of the complaints procedure. It noted that the Governing Body had decided at its 209th Session (February-March 1979) to refer these complaints to a commission of inquiry to be appointed at its 210th Session (May-June 1979).

32. The Committee was informed that the Governing Body Committee on Freedom of Association had continued to examine certain complaints under article 26 of the Constitution concerning infringements of the freedom of association Conventions; that the examination of one of these complaints (case of Bolivia) had been terminated in June 1978, and that in the case of another complaint (Argentina) a representative of the Director-General had carried out a direct contacts mission in August 1978.

Difficulties in the application of the Conventions concerning freedom of association (Conventions Nos. 87 and 98)

33. In its General Report of 1977 (paragraphs 63-64), the Committee had referred to the difficulties encountered in the application of the Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise Convention, 1948 (No. 87) as regards the right of workers to establish organisations of their own choosing taking into account, in particular, the provisions in force in certain countries which prevent workers from freely choosing the unions which they wish to form.

34. This year, the Committee wishes to refer, in general, to the various problems which exist as regards the application of Article 3 of the Convention. Under this Article, workers' and employers' organisations have the right to draw up their constitutions and administrative rules, to elect their representatives in full freedom, to organise their administration and activities, and to formulate their programmes; the public authorities shall refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof.
Among the numerous questions raised in this connection, the Committee wishes to mention, for example, the prohibition of re-election of officers, the requirement that all the officers of a trade union must have worked in the occupation for a certain period of time, the dismissal of trade union officers by administrative action; the powers to control at any time the internal administration of trade unions or even their placement under the control of the administrative authorities; the prohibition made in general terms of all political activity by trade unions (instead of leaving the examination of possible abuses to the judicial authorities); important restrictions imposed on the right to strike, or restrictions on, or even the suspension of, free negotiation of collective agreements. This latter difficulty in particular can also affect the application of Article 4 of the Right to Organise and Collective Bargaining Convention, 1949 (No. 98) on the promotion of collective bargaining.

These different questions have also repeatedly been the subject of complaints examined by the Governing Body Committee on Freedom of Association.

The Committee considers it useful to draw these questions to the attention of other ILO organs, and of the governments concerned, with a view to ensuring that the conditions of free functioning are fully guaranteed to trade union organisations not only by legislative provisions, but also by the existence of effective judicial procedures which ensure their application.

Action for the elimination of discrimination in employment and occupation

The Committee was informed of the decisions taken by the Governing Body at its 208th (November 1978) and 209th (February-March 1979) Sessions to strengthen the procedures for supervision of the constitutional obligation on non-discrimination, as requested by the resolution concerning the promotion, protection and strengthening of freedom of association, trade union and other human rights, adopted by the Conference at its 63rd Session (June 1977).

In the first place, the Governing Body has decided to authorise the Director-General to take measures to promote, especially by means of direct contacts, the ratification and application of the ILO standards on non-discrimination in employment and to report to it regularly on the subject through the Committee on Discrimination. The Committee noted this decision with interest, in view of the fact that it had on several occasions drawn attention to the special interest of direct contacts for the non-discrimination standards, which often give rise to questions of fact and of appreciation in the light of national circumstances.

Secondly, the Governing Body decided that the governments of countries which have not ratified the Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention, 1958 (No. 111) will be invited to submit reports in terms of article 19 of the Constitution at regular intervals, which have been fixed at every four years, as from 1979. In making this decision, the Governing Body noted that the reports requested would be supplementary to those normally requested under article 19 of the Constitution on other instruments, and that governments would be called on to reply to simple and limited questions dealing essentially with difficulties over ratification, measures envisaged to overcome them and future ratification prospects. The Committee noted that it would therefore be called on to include in its report for 1980 and every four years thereafter a section summarising
and commenting on the information supplied and developments affecting ratification prospects. It noted with interest that this special use of the article 19 procedure would make possible the regular examination of the situation in the countries which have not ratified the Convention and might contribute to promoting ratifications.

41. Finally, when the above-mentioned decisions were made it was also recalled that in 1972-73 the Governing Body had adopted a procedure under which "special surveys" can be requested, by governments or organisations of employers and workers concerned, on questions relating to discrimination in employment in any member State, whether or not it has ratified the relevant standards, and that this procedure remained available.

III. PROCEDURE OF DIRECT CONTACTS
(1969-79)

42. The direct contacts procedure began simultaneously in Argentina and Mauritania on 29 September 1969.

43. At its last meeting in 1978 the Committee of Experts proposed to review the experience gained and the results achieved through the procedure in 1979, when it would be ten years since the first direct contact had taken place.

Origin of the direct contacts procedure

44. In 1967 the Committee of Experts, in its constant concern to improve efficiency by appropriate methods of work, and aware that the absence of more direct contacts with the governments concerned or of direct study of the situations under consideration might give rise to prolonged controversies, considered whether certain more varied procedures might not make possible a fuller examination of certain questions and a more fruitful dialogue with governments. These considerations led to a suggestion that was examined shortly afterwards by the Conference Committee, which expressed the wish that the Committee of Experts might present more concrete and detailed proposals on the matter.

Principles of direct contacts

45. In 1968 the Committee examined in further detail the principles to be followed in direct contacts with governments and, considering that this procedure would enable the dialogue which had originally taken place on the basis of a government's reports, of the Committee's comments, and of the government's replies, to be pursued and amplified orally, set forth the principles to be kept in mind in establishing the procedure. At the same time, the Committee stressed that any positive results which might be achieved in this manner would support and encourage the Committee in its own efforts.

46. In June 1968 the Conference Committee pronounced itself in favour of the direct contacts system and considered that the proposals for its establishment made by the Committee of Experts provided a satisfactory basis for the initiation without further delay of such contacts on an experimental basis for two or three years.

47. Four years later, in 1972, the Committee of Experts noted that, despite the financial difficulties which the Organisation had
encountered and was continuing to encounter, which had led to the postponement for over a year of all action in connection with direct contacts, the system, which had begun to operate in 1969 on an experimental basis, "should now be viewed as an established procedure for helping governments to overcome any difficulties they may meet in the application of ratified Conventions". It was also thought that it would be useful to restate the principles governing direct contacts.

48. In 1973 the Committee, as a result of a detailed survey of the direct contacts which had taken place in the light of the guiding principles laid down in 1968, considered that these principles were still valid and that all that was required in re-stating them was to supplement them with two new principles, one concerning the broadening of the scope of the direct contacts and the other relating to the need for associating employers' and workers' organisations with them in each case. On this occasion the Committee of Experts stressed that, in view of the purpose of the direct contacts, it did not seem appropriate to subject their establishment to rules which were excessively rigid and formal. Moreover, experience appeared to show that the success of such contacts had been due in large part to the absence of strict formalism in the procedure. With this proviso, the Committee set forth the principles which should be followed in establishing and developing such contacts, as follows:

(i) the discrepancies noted and the practical or legal difficulties encountered in the application of a ratified Convention, as well as the difficulties met with in matters connected with international standards, including more particularly difficulties in fulfilling various constitutional obligations (articles 19 and 22 of the Constitution) and possible obstacles to the ratification of a given Convention, should be sufficiently important to warrant such contacts;

(ii) the Committee of Experts may suggest the possibility of having recourse to direct contacts, whereupon the Director-General will explore the matter with the government concerned; the Conference Committee may also make such a suggestion, following its discussion of a case; the government concerned may itself take the initiative;

(iii) the contacts should in all cases take place with the full consent of the government concerned;

(iv) the points to be dealt with should be clearly specified in advance;

(v) while these contacts are taking place, the supervisory bodies will suspend their examination of cases for a period which will normally not exceed one year, so as to be able to take account of the outcome of these contacts;

(vi) the form which the contacts will take should be determined in the light of their purpose, which is to enable the government to explain all the elements of the case, so as to permit the Committee to assess fully all the facts involved;

(vii) the contacts should bring together persons thoroughly acquainted with all aspects of the case, including representatives of the governments with sufficient responsibility and experience to speak with authority about the position in their country and about their own governments' attitudes and intentions in the matter;
it will be for the Director-General to designate the representative on behalf of the International Labour Organisation, who will either be an independent person or an ILO official fully conversant with the case; normally, it would not appear appropriate that this representative be a member of the Committee of Experts, but this possibility might be left open in certain special cases;

the representative of the Director-General may, in agreement with the government concerned, visit the country to hold discussions on the matter with government representatives, in order to explain the point of view of the supervisory bodies, acquaint himself in detail with the government's position and the exact nature of the difficulties in question, and make available to the Committee of Experts any relevant information supplied to him by the government;

the representative of the Director-General should, in the course of his assignment, make contacts with the organisations of employers and workers so as to keep them informed of the topics discussed and elicit their points of view.

In formulating these principles the Committee considered it appropriate to re-emphasise that the scope of the direct contacts and the mandate given to the persons selected for the purpose by the Director-General should not in any way be construed as limiting the functions and responsibilities of the Committee of Experts and the Conference Committee for examining the extent to which national law and practice conformed to Conventions that had already been ratified.

49. Each of the principles observed in the direct contacts will now be examined separately below in the light of the experience acquired during recent years.

Matters dealt with during direct contacts

50. When the first direct contacts took place in 1969 attention was focused essentially on the cases in which important discrepancies had been noted in the application of ratified Conventions. Nevertheless, it soon appeared in practice that direct contacts could be equally beneficial in co-operating with governments in the solution of the wide range of problems posed by international labour standards, namely compliance with the various constitutional obligations (articles 19 and 22 of the Constitution) and even any obstacles to the ratification of one or more given Conventions.

51. Furthermore, both in the Conference Committee and in regional conferences repeated suggestions had been made to the effect that the matters dealt with in direct contacts should not be limited to difficulties encountered in the application of ratified Conventions, but should also cover difficulties relating to other relevant matters. Accordingly, the scope of direct contacts was considerably broadened when the principles were restated in 1973, and the matters mentioned have been frequently discussed during direct contacts.
Initiative for the establishment of direct contacts and full consent of the governments concerned

52. The Committee of Experts and the Conference Committee, as well as the Governing Body, may suggest the desirability of having recourse to direct contacts, and this has been done on a number of occasions, particularly in the Conference Committee, where it is becoming increasingly frequent for members, in particular Workers' members, to suggest to a government the usefulness of resorting to direct contacts in order to find a satisfactory solution to some of the problems discussed. In the majority of cases, however, it is the governments themselves which have taken the initiative of their own accord. Whatever the source of the initiative, it is always the government concerned which must request the establishment of the procedure, in which case it is sufficient for it to send the Director-General of the ILO a simple written communication to this effect. An affirmative reply from the Director-General to the government's request, also in the form of a written communication, completes the formalities necessary for the establishment of the procedure. All direct contacts have been possible because the governments concerned have requested them and have given their full consent to the procedure.

53. In recent years innovations have been made in two fields: the first is the field of trade union rights, in which the Committee on Freedom of Association has had recourse, with the full consent of the governments, to the direct contacts procedure as a means of obtaining more directly the information necessary for a better-informed study of some of the cases which it was examining. Direct contacts of this type have taken place in cases concerning the following countries and, with regard to some of them, on more than one occasion: Bolivia, Chile, Dominican Republic, Jordan, United Kingdom (Antigua) and Uruguay. Similar missions have been carried out in Argentina and Tunisia. These procedures have contributed to a better knowledge of situations and the useful examination of solutions to problems. The second innovation, direct contacts at regional level, is the result of an initiative taken by the Andean Group in connection with the application and possible ratification of 25 major Conventions.

Advance specification of the points to be dealt with

54. In all cases of direct contacts the matters to be discussed should be specified in advance. Nevertheless, it is becoming increasingly frequent for other questions to be dealt with during direct contacts at the request of governments. Lately, some governments have formulated their requests for direct contacts in very general terms and have thus expressed their wish to discuss not only one or more problems involved in the application of specific Conventions but also problems arising out of the application of all the Conventions which they have ratified.

Suspension of examination of cases by supervisory bodies

55. The principle that examination of cases must be suspended during a reasonable period has given rise to concern among some delegates at the Conference Committee, in particular Workers' delegates, that some governments might use direct contacts as a means of delaying examination of non-application of ratified Conventions. Accordingly, it was clearly understood from the outset that the
"reasonable period" of suspension should not normally exceed one year, and, in fact, apart from a few cases in the beginning, in which it was necessary to postpone certain direct contacts for reasons beyond the government's control, the period of suspension has never exceeded one year. Moreover, the Committee of Experts has at times taken note during its sessions of the results of direct contacts relating to Conventions which it normally would not have been called upon to examine until the following year, and this aspect has assumed still greater importance with the recent spacing out of the examination of a large number of Conventions by the Committee.

56. In most cases direct contacts missions have been carried out shortly after they were requested, and the Committee of Experts has been informed of their preliminary findings immediately after they have taken place, so that in practice neither the supervisory functions of the Committee of Experts nor those of the Conference Committee have been suspended at any of their meetings.

Form of the direct contacts

57. Since the purpose of direct contacts between governments and the ILO is to hold broad and fruitful exchanges of views, such exchanges have always taken the form of detailed verbal discussions between the representatives of both parties. In two cases (Central African Empire and the Philippines) the verbal exchange was preceded by the preparation of a memorandum on the measures necessary to give effect to the Conventions which were to be dealt with, which was sent to the governments in advance and subsequently served as a basis for the direct contacts. In one case (Portugal) in which the particular purpose of the contacts was to clarify the de facto situation regarding the application of a Convention, the representative of the Director-General, in addition to having talks with representatives of the government, visited various regions to obtain direct information on the questions which had been the subject of comments by the Committee of Experts and the Conference Committee. In another case (Yugoslavia), which concerned the application of a Convention in a federal State, the direct contacts included discussions not only at the federal level, but also with authorities and undertakings in the constituent republics.

Participants in direct contacts

58. According to one of the principles governing direct contacts, these must bring together persons thoroughly acquainted with all aspects of the case, including government representatives with sufficient responsibility and experience to speak with authority about the position in their country and about their own government's attitudes and intentions in the matter.

59. In practice, Ministers of Labour or senior officials of their ministries have taken part in the direct contacts, as well as senior officials of other ministries and institutions competent in the matters dealt with (social security institutes, child welfare councils, maritime organisations, planning and co-ordination bodies, etc.). The Director-General of the ILO has normally appointed qualified officials of the Office to represent him, but on one occasion, given the nature of the questions to be examined, an independent person was appointed who was accompanied by an ILO official. Reference may also be made to the cases lying within the competence of the Committee on Freedom of Association, in one of which a member of the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association was appointed; in another three cases independent persons were sent.
60. So far, such missions have not been entrusted to any member of the Committee of Experts, and when the matter was recently examined by the Governing Body certain reservations were expressed in this respect.

Role of employers' and workers' organisations

61. Although direct contacts were essentially designed as a means of permitting a broad exchange of views between the ILO on the one hand and governments responsible for taking the necessary measures for the application of Conventions on the other, the Conference Committee in general, and its Workers' and Employers' members in particular, considered from the outset that representative organisations of employers and workers should be associated with them in an appropriate manner. In practice, and likewise since the beginnings of the procedure, representatives of the Director-General have made contacts in all cases with the most representative organisations of employers and workers, so as to keep them fully informed of the topics discussed and elicit their points of view. Accordingly, when the principles governing direct contacts were restated in 1973, a principle to this effect was included. In certain cases tripartite meetings have been held during direct contacts to enable all the sectors concerned to express their points of view. It should also be pointed out that the occupational organisations, especially the workers' organisations, who are thus informed of the work done during direct contacts and the aims pursued, have subsequently in many cases been able to influence the rapid adoption of the required legislative or other measures.

Direct contacts effected

62. Between September 1969 and December 1978 governments have resorted to the direct contacts procedure 34 times (not counting the cases connected with the Committee on Freedom of Association or the Andean Group). These contacts took place in 28 countries: 15 in Latin America (in 5 countries on 2 occasions and in 1 on 3 occasions), 7 in Africa, 4 in Asia and 2 in Europe.

63. During the direct contacts problems relating to 222 cases of non-application of 68 different Conventions were discussed. In 4 cases they dealt with the application of a single Convention, in 9 cases with the application of at least 10 Conventions, and in 1 case with the application of over 20. The Conventions which were the subject of direct contacts may be grouped as follows: 44 cases of human rights Conventions (14 on freedom of association, 25 on forced labour and 5 on discrimination); 5 cases of Conventions on employment; 31 cases of Conventions on conditions of work; 22 cases of Conventions on safety and health; 1 case of a Convention on social policy; 21 cases of cases of Conventions on social security; 17 cases of Conventions on women's work; 38 cases of Conventions on the work of young persons; 33 cases of Conventions on seamen and fishermen; 2 cases of Conventions on indigenous workers; 1 case of a Convention on migrant workers; and 8 cases of a Convention on labour inspection.

64. Of the 222 cases examined 180 related to Latin America, 29 to Africa, 11 to Asia and 2 to Europe.

65. In most of the cases in which direct contacts took place studies were also made, whenever necessary, of the problems involved in
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the submission of instruments to the competent authorities, the
difficulties encountered in the regular dispatch of the reports
requested by the Office under articles 19 and 22 of the Constitution,
and even the prospects of ratifying new Conventions.

66. One of the most salient features of direct contacts
undertaken was their brevity. The average duration of each of the 34
direct contacts missions was approximately 1 week, making a total of
just over 9 months for all direct contacts missions carried out since
1969, including 6 1/2 months in Latin America. These figures do not
include the time required for the preparation of missions or devoted to
follow-up in some cases. The fact that the missions could be carried
out in such a short time is undoubtedly due solely to the spirit of
good will and the sincere desire to co-operate shown by all governments
which have resorted to the direct contacts procedure.

67. Whenever possible, various direct contacts missions in the
same region were grouped together so that they could be carried out in
succession, and maximum advantage was taken of the presence of
representatives of the Director-General attending meetings in the
region. This kept the costs of direct contacts, which have always been
borne by the ILO, to a minimum.

68. Tables A and B contain a list of the countries which have
requested direct contacts to assist them in the fulfilment of their
constitutional obligations or the application of ratified Conventions,
the year in which these took place, the subjects dealt with and the
cases in which the Committee of Experts has observed progress.

Direct contacts in countries
of the Andean Group

69. In December 1975 the Executive Co-ordinating Secretary of
the "Simón Rodriguez" Convention, in the name of the countries of the
Andean Group (Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and Venezuela and also
including Chile at that time) asked the Director-General of the ILO for
a direct contacts mission to assess the possibilities of applying and
ratifying 25 major Conventions, pointing out that the application and
possible ratification of these Conventions might constitute an
effective common denominator in the harmonisation of labour policies
and legislation. In accepting this initiative, the Director-General
described it as "unique in the annals of the ILO and probably in the
history of international relations". The direct contacts, which were
preceded by a series of preparatory studies by the Office and the
Governments concerned, took place in 1976 in the capitals of the
countries of the Group (one week in each country), where the
representatives of the Director-General had various interviews with
ministers of labour and with senior officials of ministries of labour,
other competent ministries and social security institutions. The
representatives of the Director-General also met trade union and
employers' leaders, discussing the purpose of the direct contacts with
them in full. Once this phase of the direct contacts had been
concluded, a report was sent to each of the countries concerned giving
details of the discussions which had taken place; this was followed by
a report summarising the results, which was also submitted to the
Conference, of Ministers of Labour of the Andean Group, which at its
Sixth Session, held in May 1978, agreed that the Co-ordinating

---

1 See table A.
### TABLE "A"

**DIRECT CONTACTS ON THE FULFILMENT OF CONSTITUTIONAL OBLIGATIONS AND APPLICATION OF RATIFIED CONVENTIONS: 1969-79**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Problems discussed</th>
<th>Progress observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conventions</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>13, 33, 68, 73, 79, 90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>3, 18, 33, 52, 81, 87, 94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>1, 11, 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yugoslavia</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>15, 42, 58, 59, 60, 67, 77, 78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>1, 52, 79, 90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>3, 8, 13, 18, 22, 23, 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>29, 89, 90, 96, 112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>45, 58, 77, 78, 79, 81, 90, 106, 111, 112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Application of ratified Conventions, particularly Convention No. 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>1, 4, 8, 27, 41, 68, 69, 77, 78, 79, 87, 90, 97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>23, 32, 42, 50, 68, 81, 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>5, 14, 42, 87</td>
<td>Submission: 42 Article 22 reports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Problems discussed</th>
<th>Progress observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conventions</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conventions</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>52, 59, 60, 77, 78, 79, 81, 89, 90, 95</td>
<td>52, 59 (twice), 60 (twice), 77, 78 (twice), 79, 81, 89, 90, 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Application of ratified Conventions, especially Conventions Nos. 18 and 29</td>
<td>Submission: 29 (twice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ARTICLE 19 and Article 22 reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afghani stan</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>13, 41, 45, 95</td>
<td>41, 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 13, 17, 18, 22, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, 87, 98, 100, 105, 111</td>
<td>Submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>98, 105</td>
<td>Submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>30, 87, 95, 96, 98, 113, 114</td>
<td>Submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>1, 24, 25, 29, 30, 42, 81, 90, 98, 100, 105, 106</td>
<td>1, 24, 25, 30, 42, 81, 90, 98, 100, 105, 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>26, 29, 81, 87, 89, 98, 100, 105, 111, 119</td>
<td>Submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>1, 29, 50, 59, 81, 105</td>
<td>Submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>29, 105</td>
<td>Submission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF EXPERTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Problems discussed</th>
<th>Progress observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conventions</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia,</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>25 Conventions¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>1, 29, 30, 100, 105, 111, 115, 117</td>
<td>29, 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>92, 94, 95, 113, 114, 120, 127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>13, 27, 30, 42, 52, 77, 78, 105, 112, 113, 119, 123, 127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>17, 77, 89, 90</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>9, 22, 23, 68, 69, 134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>222 cases of non-compliance in 28</td>
<td>115 cases of progress in 23 countries, covering 68 Conventions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ This table does not include the results obtained by direct contacts with the countries of the Andean Group as regards the application and possible ratification of Conventions Nos. 29, 81, 87, 88, 95, 97, 98, 100, 102, 103, 105, 107, 111, 114, 117, 118, 121, 122, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 135 and 138.
GENERAL REPORT

TABLE "B"

DIRECT CONTACTS ON THE APPLICATION OF RATIFIED CONVENTIONS: 1969-79

Conventions dealt with, classified according to subject-matter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of cases dealt with</th>
<th>Progress observed (No. of cases)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom of association</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced labour</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrimination</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditions of work</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety and health</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social policy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social security</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women's work</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work of young persons</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seamen and fishermen</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous workers</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant workers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour inspection</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 See also paragraph 53.

Secretariat should request the ILO to supply it with an updated report on the progress made in the ratification and application of the Conventions referred to. For this purpose representatives of the Director-General went to Lima in November 1978 for comprehensive discussions with officials especially appointed by the governments for the purpose of examining the situation in each country in detail, and with the Executive Secretary of the "Simón Rodríguez" Convention, for the subsequent preparation of the report which had been requested, which is to indicate the progress already made and the steps which still need to be taken in order to achieve maximum progress and harmonisation of the labour and social security legislation.
Other types of assistance to governments

70. In recent years, and in connection with less complex problems, officials of the International Labour Standards Department of the ILO have taken advantage of their presence in the region for other reasons to give informal and unofficial advice and assistance to various governments. Very short missions of this kind (lasting one or two days) have been undertaken in Barbados, the United Republic of Cameroon, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Gabon, Guatemala, Jamaica, Kenya, Mauritius, Nicaragua, Paraguay, Seychelles, Somalia, Sudan, Zaire and Zambia.

Results obtained through direct contacts

71. Very shortly after the direct contacts procedure was instituted the Committee of Experts began to receive the first positive results, and has duly noted them in its reports in subsequent years during the past ten years.

72. Although the measures taken by governments as a result of direct contacts are varied in nature, the adoption of legislative provisions to secure conformity of the national legislation with ratified Conventions is of prime importance. Thus, for instance, no less than ten countries amended their labour codes after direct contacts missions, and in many more cases other statutes, decrees and labour and social security regulations have been adopted or amended. In other cases administrative regulations (instructions, circulars and ordinances) have been issued, also for the purpose of bringing practice into line with the provisions of Conventions and of certain supplementary Recommendations. It is worthy of note that such measures have at times been taken after the government with which the direct contacts took place had changed.

73. Out of the 222 cases of non-application examined, the Committee has noted with satisfaction on 115 occasions since 1970 the progress attained in the application of 56 different Conventions. These 115 cases of progress constitute over 16 per cent of all progress recorded by the Committee over the same period. According to classification by region 101 cases relate to Latin America, 9 to Africa, 3 to Asia and 2 to Europe. It is natural that the countries of Latin America, which have resorted to this procedure more often than countries in other continents, should be those in which most cases of progress in the application of ratified Conventions have been observed, but in order to have a better understanding of the true impact of direct contacts it should also be remarked that, out of a total of 467 cases of progress recorded in all member States in the previous six years, more than one-third (158) relate to Latin American countries, and more than one-half of these (84) are the result of direct contacts. These figures are in contrast with those of the six years preceding the institution of the direct contacts procedure, during which, out of a total of 345 cases of progress recorded in all member States, 65, i.e. less than one-fifth, were recorded in Latin American countries.

74. Including the Conventions which were examined during direct contacts, the 115 cases of progress can be broken down as follows: 12 on human rights (3 on freedom of association, 8 on forced labour and 1 on discrimination); 14 on conditions of work; 8 on safety and health; 1 on social policy; 15 on social security; 8 on women's work; 31 on the work of young persons; 17 on seamen and fishermen; 1 on indigenous workers; and 7 on labour inspection.

75. In the majority of cases in which positive measures were taken as a result of the direct contacts, the comments of the Committee
of Experts and the Conference Committee which led the governments to resort to this procedure had been repeatedly expressed over the previous 10 years at least; in a large number of cases the comments had been made for over 20 years; and in some for over 30 years.

76. In a large number of cases the Committee has noted with interest the draft legislation that has been prepared as a result of the direct contacts, and trusts that their adoption will soon lengthen the list of cases in which contacts have led to satisfactory results. This sometimes justifies the carrying out of short follow-up missions (one to two days) which may be useful for speeding up the current procedures.

77. As mentioned before, direct contacts have also been increasingly used to deal with problems concerning submission to the competent authorities, and in nine cases out of ten this has resulted in the elimination of the backlog in the fulfilment of this constitutional obligation, and in the subsequent regular submission to the competent authorities of the new instruments adopted by the Conference.

78. Another field in which direct contacts appear to have been useful is the sending of reports (under articles 19 and 22 of the Constitution). In fact, during most of the direct contacts which were carried out compliance with obligations under these two articles of the Constitution was discussed, and a glance at the tables relating to compliance with these obligations reveals that the percentage of reports sent by countries in which direct contacts have taken place, particularly those of Latin America, is markedly superior to the percentage as a whole.

79. The Committee notes that another of the positive effects of direct contacts has been to encourage the ratification of new Conventions in certain cases; thus, for instance, the Committee noted in 1975 the ratification by Barbados and Jamaica of Convention No. 111, on which the governments of those countries had held discussions with an official of the Office during a mission to the region. In the same year the Committee also took note of the Government's decision to institute proceedings for the ratification of Conventions Nos. 77, 78, 81 and 88 as a result of direct contacts in Ecuador. The following year the Committee noted the ratification of these four Conventions by Ecuador, as well as the ratification by Nicaragua of Conventions Nos. 45, 77, 78, 95, 127 and 131; steps towards the ratification of these were initiated as a result of direct contacts in that country in 1975.

80. As regards the direct contacts held at the end of 1976 with the countries of the Andean group with a view to the application and possible ratification of 25 major Conventions, the first results achieved may be summarised as follows: 20 new ratifications, namely the ratification by Bolivia of Conventions Nos. 88, 95, 102, 111, 117, 128, 121, 122, 128, 130 and 131; the ratification by Colombia of Conventions Nos. 87, 98 and 129, and the ratification by Ecuador of Conventions Nos. 97, 114, 121, 128 and 130. In addition, the governments of Bolivia and Ecuador have already started to take steps to ratify Convention No. 138. The Ministry of Labour in Venezuela has embarked on the process of ratification of Conventions Nos. 95, 100, 102, 103, 118, 121, 122, 128, 129 and 130, and is giving favourable consideration to the possibility of ratifying Convention No. 114; the Government of Peru has begun to examine the possibility of ratifying Conventions Nos. 95, 103, 117, 118, 121, 128, 130, 131, 135 and 138. In order to have a proper appreciation of these results, it should be borne in mind that before the direct contacts missions took place the five countries which now form the Andean Group had recorded 48
ratifications out of a possible total of 125. As regards the
Conventions which had been ratified and the application of which was
also examined, the Committee has recorded with satisfaction progress in
the case of the application of Convention No. 3 by Bolivia, of
Convention No. 103 by Ecuador and of Convention No. 100 by Peru.

81. Direct contacts missions should perhaps also receive some
credit for the strengthening of relations between governments and the
ILO which is the normal result of closer acquaintanceship and long days
of work towards a common end. This also applies to relations between
the ILO and employers' and workers' organisations.

Evaluation of direct contacts

82. Over the last ten years sometimes governments, and
sometimes workers and employers, have jointly or severally expressed
their views on the direct contacts procedure. Thus, for example, the
Minister of Labour of Ecuador said at the Tenth Conference of American
States Members of the ILO that "recent experience in his country had
shown that the system of direct contacts was perhaps the best means of
enabling governments to deal with certain difficulties that prevented
full compliance with obligations under the Constitution of the ILO and
international labour Conventions". The Minister of Labour of Costa
Rica told the Director-General in 1977 that "work such as that done by
the direct contacts missions compels us to maintain our confidence in
the significance of the ILO throughout the world, particularly in
developing countries".

83. More recently (February 1978), in the Governing Body, the
Government members of France, Hungary, Italy and the United Kingdom
praised the development of the direct contacts procedure and gave it
their full support. The Workers' members also stated their unreserved
support for a procedure which in their opinion had given excellent
results in the past. The Employers' members not only stated their full
support for the direct contacts procedure, but also suggested that, in
view of its importance, the necessary financial resources should be
made available in all cases in which such contacts might help to
improve the application of standards. Consequently, the Governing Body
invited the Director-General, the supervisory bodies and the
governments concerned to have recourse to the procedure of direct
contacts whenever it might contribute to a better understanding of
situations and the useful examination of solutions to problems".

84. Subsequently, at the Conference Committee in June 1978, the
Government members of Bulgaria, the United Republic of Cameroon,
Canada, Cuba, Sweden and others praised the procedure, the Workers' members pronounced themselves to be fully convinced of its usefulness
and the Employers' members said that direct contacts, both formal and
informal, had benefited the application of ratified Conventions and had
had an incalculable influence on the degree of their application.
Accordingly, the Conference Committee once again expressed its
satisfaction at the progress achieved in the application of standards
thanks to the direct contacts procedure and expressed the hope that
such contacts, as well as unofficial contacts, would be still further
developed in the future.

Conclusions

85. The direct contacts procedure, which began experimentally
in 1969 for the purpose of achieving a broader exchange of views with
governments leading to better application of ratified Conventions,
achieved right from the outset results which were so positive that the Committee of Experts, which had launched the idea, and the Conference Committee very soon came to the view that it had already become an irreplaceable means of assisting countries, particularly developing countries, not only in applying the Conventions which they had ratified, but also in all other matters relating to international labour standards.

86. The positive results achieved by direct contacts also encouraged the Committee on Freedom of Association to adopt this procedure in connection with some of the complaints submitted for its consideration.

87. At the regional level, the results influenced the Andean Group's decision to ask for direct contacts as a means of improving the harmonisation of the labour and social security legislation of its member States.

88. Although the procedure may be used by any country, as has, in fact, been the case in the countries of Europe, Asia, Africa and America, the countries of Latin America have so far been those which have resorted most often to direct contacts. Consequently, this is also the region where the highest proportion of cases of harmonisation of law and practice with ratified Conventions has been achieved in recent years and where the obligations regarding standards have been most strictly complied with.

89. It is very encouraging for the future of direct contacts that the comments made on this procedure during the past ten years have been unanimously and unreservedly favourable, and that governments, employers and workers, both in the Governing Body and in the Conference, have considered that the procedure should be developed still further and that everything possible should be done to enable countries to resort to direct contacts whenever they consider it necessary.

IV. THE ROLE OF EMPLOYERS' AND WORKERS' ORGANISATIONS

90. At each session, the Committee draws the attention of governments to the role which employers' and workers' organisations are called upon to play in the application of Conventions and Recommendations, and to the fact that numerous Conventions require the consultation of employers' and workers' organisations, or their collaboration on a variety of matters.

91. The Committee has noted with satisfaction again this year that all governments have indicated in the reports supplied under article 22 of the Constitution the representative organisations of employers and workers to which, in accordance with article 23, paragraph 2, of the Constitution, they have communicated copies of the reports supplied to the ILO. Almost all governments have also indicated the organisations to which they have communicated copies of the information supplied to the ILO on the submission to the competent

1 A direct request has, however, been addressed to Rwanda because the information supplied was incomplete.
92. In accordance with the established practice, the ILO sent to the representative organisations of employers and workers a letter concerning the various opportunities open to them to contribute to the implementation of Conventions and Recommendations and relevant documentary material, including a list of the reports due by their respective governments together with copies of the Committee's comments to which the government was required to reply in its reports.

93. The Committee learned with interest that workers' delegates and advisers from 62 countries took part in the study course on international labour standards held immediately before the 64th Session of the International Labour Conference (June 1978). A study course of this kind is also planned for workers' delegates attending the Eleventh Conference of American States Members of the ILO which is to be held during 1979.

**Observations by employers' and workers' organisations**

94. Seventy-seven observations were examined by the Committee this year, of which 19 were communicated by employers' organisations and 58 by workers' organisations. This is the highest total of observations ever received, and is evidence of the growing interest being taken by employers' and workers' organisations in the standard-setting work of the Organisation, and of the impact of the efforts made by the supervisory bodies and the Office to provide the organisations concerned with fuller information on the role which they can play in this work.

95. Most of the observations received relate to the application of ratified Conventions, whereas others are concerned with the

---

1 Direct requests have been addressed by the Committee to the following States which have not indicated whether they have communicated the information: Argentina, Bolivia, Haiti, Nepal, Tunisia.

2 Austria: Austrian Congress of Labour Chambers on Conventions Nos. 95, 100, 111; Belgium: National Confederation of Executives on Conventions Nos. 87, 98; Brazil: National Confederation of Industry and National Confederation of Industrial Workers on Convention No. 111; National Confederation of Commerce on Conventions Nos. 95, 106, 111; National Confederation of Commercial Workers on Conventions Nos. 14, 98, 106, 111; Costa Rica: General Workers' Confederation (CGT) on Conventions Nos. 11, 87, 95, 98, 107, 117, 122; Finland: Finnish Employers' Confederation, Finnish Commercial Employers' Confederation, Central Organisation of Finnish Trade Unions on Conventions Nos. 111, 135; France: General Confederation of Labour, National CFDT Labour and Employment Trade Union, General CGT Social Affairs Trade Union on Convention No. 81; Federal Republic of Germany: German Confederation of Trade Unions (DGB) on Conventions Nos. 87, 98, 135; India: National Labour Organisation (NLO) on Convention No. 11; Ireland: Irish Congress of Trade Unions on Conventions Nos. 87, 88, 122; Italy: General Confederation of Agriculture on Convention No. 100; Japan: General Council of Trade Unions (SOHYO) on Conventions Nos. 87, 98; Malta: Confederation of Malta Trade Unions (CMTU) on Conventions Nos. 87, 98; Mauritius: Mauritian Labour Congress on Convention No. 98; Norway: Confederation of Trade Unions in Norway on Convention No. 100; Norwegian Seamen's Union and Norwegian Shipping Federation on Convention No. 111; Portugal: Confederation of Portuguese Industry on
submission of Conventions and Recommendations to the competent national authorities. Almost half of these observations were transmitted directly to the ILO which, in accordance with the established practice of the Committee, communicated them to the governments concerned for their comments. In the other cases the governments transmitted the observations with their reports, adding, in certain cases, their comments on these observations, or they consulted the employers' and workers' organisations when preparing their reports and took account of the observations made when drawing up the final text. In certain cases in which the observations were received too close to the Committee's session to permit a thorough examination of the issues raised, or to give the governments concerned an opportunity to send in their comments before the Committee met, it has deferred its examination of the observations until its next session.

96. The Committee has noted that in most cases the occupational organisations have sought to obtain and present concrete facts relating to the practical application of ratified Conventions. The majority of the observations concerned the application of Conventions on the protection of the right to organise and the right to collective bargaining, equal remuneration and equality in employment and occupation; the other cases mainly dealt with problems relating to wages and employment policy and services.

97. The Committee noted with interest the appeal made by the Executive Board of the International Confederation of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU) at its 70th Session in May 1978, for the ratification and application of a number of Conventions, including those on freedom of association, forced labour, discrimination, equal remuneration and employment policy. The Board, which examined the contribution of international labour standards to the institution of a new economic and social order, stressed that trade unions must be fully involved in the supervision of the implementation of international labour standards, in particular through the observance by governments of their obligations under article 23, paragraph 2, of the ILO Constitution, including the

(Footnote continued from previous page)

Conventions Nos. 98, 100; Spain: National Federation of Independent Trade Unions of SEAP/FPO Officials on Conventions Nos. 2, 88, 142; Federation of State Bank, Stock Exchange, Credit and Savings Undertakings (UGT) on Convention No. 135; Sweden: National Collective Bargaining Office (SAV), Swedish Association of Local Authorities, Federation of Swedish County Councils, Swedish Employers' Confederation (SAP), Swedish Confederation of Professional Associations, National Swedish Federation of Government Officers (SACO/SS), Central Organisation of Swedish Workers (SAC), Swedish Association of Locomotive Engineers and Firemen, Swedish Dockers' Union on Convention No. 87; Central Organisation of Salaried Employees on Convention No. 100; Swedish Dockers' Union on Convention No. 137; Switzerland: Swiss Federation of Trade Unions on Conventions Nos. 14, 44, 87, 100, 111; Trinidad and Tobago: Employers' Consultative Association on Conventions Nos. 29, 87, 105; Upper Volta: National Confederation of Voltan Workers on Convention No. 95. Observations have also been received from workers' delegates on the joint committee of tobacco undertakings in Montevideo and the International Union of Food and Allied Workers' Associations on the application of Convention No. 132 in Uruguay.

1 The Irish Congress of Trade Unions has asked the Government to examine the possibility of ratifying Convention No. 150 and the All-Pakistan Federation of Trade Unions has proposed the ratification of Conventions Nos. 141, 142, 143 and 144.
communication of copies of information supplied by governments in reply to the observations and direct requests of the Committee of Experts. The Board also invited trade unions to exercise more frequently their right to submit observations on all matters covered by the reporting obligations of governments under the ILO Constitution.

98. The Committee finally noted that 12 countries had ratified the Tripartite Consultation (International Labour Standards) Convention, 1976 (No. 144), that 21 others had indicated their intention of ratifying it and that 16 more were studying its possible ratification. The Committee will be called upon at its next session to examine the first reports on the application of this Convention.

V. REPORTS ON RATIFIED CONVENTIONS

(Articles 22 and 35 of the Constitution)

Supply of reports

99. The Committee's principal task consists in the examination of the reports supplied by governments on Conventions which have been ratified by member States or which have been declared applicable to non-metropolitan territories.¹

100. In accordance with the procedure for detailed reporting approved by the Governing Body in November 1976, detailed reports from all ratifying States were due to be examined this year in respect of 35 Conventions,² which covered the period ending 30 June 1978. In addition, detailed reports were also requested from certain governments on other Conventions, in accordance with the criteria for more frequent reporting approved by the Governing Body and set out in paragraph 38 of the Committee's 1977 report.

Reports requested and received

101. A total of 1,701 detailed reports were requested from governments on the application of ratified Conventions in States Members (article 22 of the Constitution). At the end of the present session of the Committee, 1,289 of these reports had been received by the Office. This figure corresponds to 75.7 per cent of the reports requested, as compared with 76.4 per cent last year. The Committee regrets that the proportion of reports received is again lower than the rates of over 80 per cent which had normally been reached in preceding years, particularly since governments are requested to supply fewer reports under the current reporting system. A table showing the reports received and those which are overdue, classified by country and by Convention, is to be found in Part Two (section I, Appendix I). Another table (section I, Appendix II) shows, for each year since 1933


² The Conventions concerned are Nos. 8, 11, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 44, 52, 55, 56, 71, 77, 78, 82, 84, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 101, 106, 107, 111, 114, 115, 117, 122, 124, 130, 132, 140. The Committee was not able to examine the reports on the application of Convention No. 100 this year and proposes to carry over the examination of these reports to its next session.
in which the Committee has met, the number and percentage of reports which were received by the prescribed date, by the date of the meeting of the Committee and by the date of the session of the International Labour Conference.

102. In addition, 587 reports were requested on Conventions which have been declared applicable with or without modification to non-metropolitan territories (articles 22 and 35 of the Constitution). Of these, 389 reports, or 66.2 per cent had been received by the end of the Committee's session. A list of the reports received and those which are overdue, classified by territory and by Convention, may be found in the Appendix to section II of Part Two of this report.

103. Apart from the above-mentioned reports, 21 governments also supplied general reports on the Conventions for which detailed reports were not due for the period under review (Bangladesh, Belgium, Chile, Congo, Cyprus, Fiji, Federal Republic of Germany, India, Ireland, Ivory Coast, Kenya, Kuwait, Malaysia, Mongolia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Poland, Singapore, Spain, Switzerland, Togo).

104. In those cases in which the reports were not accompanied by copies of the relevant legislation, statistical data or other documentation necessary for their full examination, and this material was not otherwise accessible, the Office, as requested by the Committee, wrote to the governments concerned requesting them to supply the necessary texts in order to enable the Committee to fulfil its task.

Compliance with reporting obligations

105. Of the 131 governments from which reports were due on the application of ratified Conventions in States Members, the great majority have supplied all or most of the reports requested. However, 26 governments have not complied with their obligation to supply reports on ratified Conventions. Thus, none of the reports due this year has been received from the following countries: Afghanistan, Bahamas, El Salvador, Ghana, Guinea-Bissau, Iceland, Democratic Kampuchea, Lebanon, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Mauritania, Papua New Guinea, Qatar, Seychelles, Swaziland, Trinidad and Tobago, Viet Nam, Yemen, Zaire. No reports have been received for the last two years from Angola, Guinea, Jordan, Malawi, Tanzania, for the last four years from Chad, and for the last five years from the Lao Republic.

106. The Committee urges the governments of these countries, as well as those which have sent only some of the reports due, to make every effort to supply the reports requested on ratified Conventions. The supervisory process can only function properly if States comply with their reporting obligations.

Supply of first reports

107. A total of 61 first reports on the application of ratified Conventions were received by the time the meeting opened. However, a number of countries have failed to supply the reports in question, some of which are more than a year overdue. Thus, certain first reports on ratified Conventions have not been received from the following States

1 The Government of Jordan has however requested the establishment of direct contacts to assist it in complying with its reporting obligations: see General Observations, Jordan.
since 1974: Costa Rica (Conventions Nos. 102, 130); since 1977: Bahamas (Conventions Nos. 12, 17); Jamaica (Convention No. 122); Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (Conventions Nos. 102, 103, 121, 122, 132); Yemen (Convention No. 14); Yugoslavia (Conventions Nos. 42, 49, 125, 136). Particular importance attaches to the first reports, on the basis of which the Committee makes its initial assessment of the observance of ratified Conventions. The Committee therefore requests governments to make a special effort to supply these reports.

Replies to comments of the supervisory bodies

108. Governments are requested to reply in their reports to the observations and direct requests of the Committee, and the majority of governments provided the replies requested. In accordance with the established practice, the International Labour Office wrote to all governments which failed to do so requesting them to supply the necessary information. Of the 20 governments contacted in this way, 7 have sent the information requested.

109. There remain a considerable number of cases in which replies to the Committee's comments were not available, in most cases because no report has been received on the Convention in question, and, in a few cases, because the report did not contain a reply. A total of 16 governments - as against 23 last year - have thus failed to reply to most or all the observations and direct requests relating to Conventions on which reports were requested this year, with a total of 127 cases as against 138 last year and 107 the year before. In cases of failure to reply, the Committee has to repeat the observations or requests that it had made previously on the Conventions in question.

110. The failure of governments to supply the reports requested or to reply to the Committee's comments delays the work of both the Committee of Experts and the Conference Committee. While the number of countries involved is fewer this year, the Committee regrets that for the third year in succession the number of cases remains high. The Committee must therefore once again urge upon governments the special importance of ensuring that the reports requested are in fact communicated and that they reply in full to the Committee's comments.

Late reports

111. The Committee has noted that once again the great majority of reports reached the ILO after 15 October, the date for which they were requested (see Part Two, section I, Appendix II). The

1 Chad (Conventions Nos. 13, 29, 52, 81, 87, 98, 100, 105, 111); Ghana (Conventions Nos. 22, 30, 87, 94, 98, 100, 111, 115, 117); Guinea (Conventions Nos. 9, 10, 13, 16, 17, 18, 29, 33, 34, 45, 62, 81, 90, 94, 99, 105, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122); Ireland (Conventions Nos. 100, 111); Jamaica (Conventions Nos. 98, 100, 111, 117); Jordan (Conventions Nos. 100, 105, 111, 119, 120, 122, 124); Lebanon (Conventions Nos. 14, 52); Liberia (Conventions Nos. 55, 58, 87, 98, 111, 112, 113, 114); Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (Conventions Nos. 3, 52, 95, 98, 100, 111, 118, 122); Malawi (Conventions Nos. 81, 86, 99, 129); Papua New Guinea (Conventions Nos. 3, 11, 98); Peru (Conventions Nos. 1, 20, 29, 32, 44, 52, 67, 77, 78, 87, 98, 101, 111); Somalia (Conventions Nos. 84, 94, 95, 111); Tanzania (Conventions Nos. 50, 65, 81, 88, 98); Yugoslavia (Conventions Nos. 23, 29, 97, 126); Zaire (Conventions Nos. 29, 84, 94, 95, 98, 100, 117, 119).
communication of reports in due time is essential if the Committee is to be able to examine them with the necessary degree of care, and it has been compelled to defer to its next session the examination of certain reports which arrived after the due date, as their study could not be completed within the time available. Similarly, at its present session, it has had to examine a number of reports deferred from 1978.

**Examination of reports**

112. In examining the reports received on Conventions which have been ratified and those which have been declared applicable to non-metropolitan territories, the Committee followed its usual practice of assigning to each of its members the initial responsibility for a group of Conventions; reports received in sufficient time were sent to the members concerned in advance of the session, and each member then submitted to the whole Committee his preliminary findings on the instruments concerned, for discussion and approval.

113. One member of the Committee, Mr. Tunkin, stated that it was advisable that important and complex Conventions should be assigned not to a single member of the Committee but to a group of members. If a group consisting of three or four members were formed, they might all study the matter thoroughly and present to the Committee more balanced conclusions.

114. In this regard, the Committee recalls that it is already its practice to appoint small working parties to deal with questions of principle or of special complexity. This is the case, in particular, as regards the general surveys carried out each year in respect of instruments selected for that purpose by the Governing Body, or as regards certain subjects selected by the Committee. The Committee also refers to paragraph 38(i) of its General Report of 1977, in which the Committee, reviewing its fundamental principles, mandate and methods of work, stated that, whilst it assigns to each of its members the initial responsibility for a group of Conventions or for a given subject, any other member may ask to be consulted by the expert responsible for a given Convention or subject before draft findings are finalised, and the responsible expert may himself consult other members in cases where he considers this desirable. However, the final wording of the drafts to be submitted to the Committee remains the sole responsibility of the expert entrusted with the examination of the reports or information concerned.

**Observations and direct requests**

115. In the majority of cases, the Committee found that no comment was called for regarding the manner in which ratified Conventions were implemented. In other cases, however, the Committee found it necessary to draw the attention of the governments concerned to the need to take further action to give effect to certain provisions of Conventions or to supply additional information on given points. As in previous years, its comments have been drawn up either in the form of "observations" which are reproduced in the Committee's report or of "direct requests" which are communicated to the governments concerned.

116. As previously, the Committee has indicated by footnotes those cases in which, because of the nature of outstanding problems in the application of the Conventions concerned, it seemed appropriate to ask governments to supply a detailed report earlier than would otherwise be the case. Within the system of spacing out of reports over a four-year period applicable to most Conventions, such earlier
detailed reports have been requested after an interval of either one or two years, according to the circumstances. In some instances, the Committee has also requested the government to supply full particulars to the Conference at its next session in June 1979.

117: The Committee's observations are set out in Part Two (sections I and II) of the present report, together with a list, under each Convention, of any direct requests. An index of all observations and direct requests - classified by country - will be found at the beginning of this report.

Practical application

118. As in previous years, the Committee has been concerned to assess, on the basis of the information available, the extent to which the national legislation giving effect to ratified Conventions is applied in practice. A number of questions designed to elicit information on this point are included in the report forms on the Conventions approved by the Governing Body, and the governments' replies to these questions constitute an appreciable although uneven source of information on practical application available to the Committee. The Committee has also taken into account other authoritative sources of information. These consist of the annual reports of labour inspection services, statistical yearbooks published by States or by the ILO, observations of employers' and workers' organisations, compilations of judicial or administrative decisions, reports on direct contacts, reports of technical co-operation projects and missions, and other official publications such as manuals, studies and economic and social development plans.

119. This year, nearly 41 per cent of the reports supplied on Conventions for which information on practical application is specifically requested contained such data. This proportion is lower than the percentage reached last year, which was 52 per cent. The Committee recalls the importance which it has always attached to the communication of information of this kind, in the absence of which it is unable to form a clear idea of the extent to which ratified Conventions are effectively applied. It therefore hopes that governments will make every effort to include the information requested in their reports. Direct requests on this matter have been addressed to certain countries which have not replied to the questions in the report forms on practical application. A number of countries on the other hand, have supplied information of this kind in more than half their reports: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Czechoslovakia, Finland, France, Federal Republic of Germany, Haiti, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Senegal, Singapore, Sweden, Switzerland, Trinidad and Tobago, United Kingdom, Uruguay.

120. The Committee has also noted with interest the judicial and administrative decisions on questions of principle relating to the application of ratified Conventions to which certain countries referred in their reports. Nineteen reports contained information of this kind, and threw additional light on the problems which have arisen in these cases in giving practical effect to the terms of the Conventions concerned.

Cases of progress

121. In accordance with its established practice, the Committee has drawn up a list of the cases in which it has been able to express
its satisfaction at measures taken by governments to make the necessary changes in their law or practice following earlier comments by the Committee on the degree of conformity between national law or practice and the provisions of a ratified Convention. Relevant details concerning the countries in question are to be found in Part Two of this report, and cover 56 instances in which measures of this kind have been taken, involving 36 States and 4 non-metropolitan territories. The full list is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Conventions Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>6, 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>17, 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>3, 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czechoslovakia</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Yemen</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>32, 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>81, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>22, 23, 114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>62, 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>111, 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>17, 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>81, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>29, 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaire</td>
<td>18, 121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Non-metropolitan territories**

| France          |                               |
| French Polynesia| 3                             |

| United Kingdom  |                               |
| Guernsey        | 24, 25, 56                    |
| Hong Kong       | 14, 59                        |
| St. Helena      | 58                            |

122. These cases bring the total recorded instances of progress, since the Committee began listing them in its reports 16 years ago, to over 1,200. They provide an impressive illustration of the efforts made by governments to ensure that their national law and practice are in conformity with the provisions of the ILO Conventions they have
ratified. The Committee has also expressed its interest in various cases in which governments have taken a number of steps leading to the partial implementation of Conventions on which it had previously made comments.

123. These various cases do not, however, as the Committee regularly points out, exhaust the instances in which Conventions and Recommendations have a measurable influence on the legislation and practice of member States. For instance, the Committee again noted a number of cases this year in which it emerged from the report that new legislation was adopted shortly before or after ratification: Australia (Convention No. 81); Finland (Convention No. 138); France (Convention No. 140); Federal Republic of Germany (Conventions Nos. 113 and 138); Norway (Convention No. 134); Sweden (Convention No. 140); Upper Volta (Convention No. 132).

VI. SUBMISSION OF CONVENTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMPETENT AUTHORITIES

(Article 19 of the Constitution)

124. In accordance with its terms of reference, the Committee this year examined the following information supplied by the governments of member States, pursuant to article 19 of the Constitution of the International Labour Organisation:

(a) information on the steps taken to submit to the competent authorities within the time limits of 12 or 18 months, as provided in the Constitution, the following instruments, adopted at the 62nd (Maritime) Session of the Conference (October 1976): the Protection of Young Seafarers Recommendation, 1976 (No. 153); the Continuity of Employment (Seafarers) Convention (No. 145) and Recommendation (No. 154), 1976; the Seafarers Annual Leave with Pay Convention, 1976 (No. 146); the Merchant Shipping (Minimum Standards) Convention, 1976 (No. 147) and the Merchant Shipping (Improvement of Standards) Recommendation, 1976 (No. 155); as well as the instruments adopted at the 63rd Session (1977): the Working Environment (Air Pollution, Noise and Vibration) Convention (No. 148) and Recommendation (No. 156), 1977; the Nursing Personnel Convention (No. 149) and Recommendation (No. 157), 1977;

(b) additional information on the steps taken to submit the Conventions and Recommendations adopted by the Conference from its 31st (1948) to its 61st (June 1976) Sessions to the competent authorities (i.e. Conventions Nos. 87 to 144 and Recommendations Nos. 83 to 152);

(c) replies to observations and direct requests made by the Committee in 1978.
The Committee has noted with interest that the governments of the following 39 member States have indicated that they have submitted to the authorities considered as competent by them the instruments adopted by the Conference at its 62nd and 63rd Sessions: Argentina, Australia, Barbados, Benin, Bulgaria, Byelorussian SSR, United Republic of Cameroun, Egypt, Ecuador, Finland, France, German Democratic Republic, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, India, Japan, Kenya, Kuwait, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Nepal, Nigeria, Norway, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Romania, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sweden, Turkey, Uganda, Ukrainian SSR, USSR, United Kingdom, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela. In addition 20 member States have indicated that they have submitted to the authorities considered as competent the instruments adopted at the 62nd Session of the Conference: Algeria, Austria, Belgium, Bolivia, Central African Empire, Chile, Cyprus, Czechoslovakia, Indonesia, Luxembourg, Mali, Morocco, New Zealand, Netherlands, Nicaragua, Somalia, Spain, Switzerland, Trinidad and Tobago, Zambia; seven other member States have indicated that they have submitted some of these instruments: Burundi, Cuba, Gabon, Federal Republic of Germany, Poland, Sierra Leone, Uruguay. Finally, six member States have indicated that they have submitted the instruments adopted at the 63rd Session of the Conference: Denmark, Gabon, Hungary, Ivory Coast, Tunisia, Upper Volta; two member States have submitted some of these instruments: Italy, Uruguay.

31st to 61st Sessions

The Committee has noted with interest that appreciable progress has been made by several countries in submitting instruments adopted by the Conference since its 31st Session to the competent authorities, particularly in the following cases: Benin (instruments adopted from the 50th Session), Gabon (various instruments adopted from the 51st to 61st Sessions), Guatemala (instruments adopted from the 53rd to 61st Sessions), Haiti (instruments adopted from the 54th to 61st Sessions), Madagascar (instruments adopted from the 56th to 61st Sessions); United Arab Emirates (instruments adopted from the 58th to the 61st Sessions).

The table in Appendix I to section III of Part Two of the Committee's report shows the position of each State Member, as it emerges from the information supplied by the governments, with regard to the discharge of the obligation to submit to the competent authorities the Conventions and Recommendations adopted by the Conference. Appendix II shows the over-all position in this respect for the instruments adopted from the 31st to 63rd Sessions of the Conference.

Comments by the Committee and replies from governments

In section III of Part Two of this report, the Committee makes individual observations on the points which it considers should be brought to the special attention of governments. Requests with a view to obtaining supplementary information on other points have also been addressed to a number of countries which are listed at the end of that section.

The Committee notes with regret that, notwithstanding its repeated requests, a number of governments have again failed to supply
replies to its comments, even after reminders have been sent by the Office in accordance with the request made to it by the Committee. The Committee reiterates the hope that governments will endeavour in future to supply all the required information and documents.

130. The Committee wishes to recall the importance of the communication by Governments of the information and documents called for in points II and III of the questionnaire in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body. Some countries still do not communicate any or most of the information and documents in question. The following countries have not supplied the documents relating to the submission of instruments adopted during at least the last ten sessions of the Conference under consideration (53rd to 63rd): Byelorussian SSR, Ukrainian SSR, USSR. The Committee trusts that the governments concerned will take the appropriate measures, as indicated in the Memorandum on Submission.

Revision of the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body

131. The Committee has noted that, following a suggestion made by the Conference Committee in 1974, to review the Memorandum in the light of subsequent developments, the Office submitted to the Governing Body a draft revised Memorandum which was the subject of a first discussion by the Committee on Standing Orders and the Application of Conventions and Recommendations of the Governing Body at its 209th Session (February-March 1979). This Memorandum aims at facilitating both the discharge by governments of the obligation relating to submission and the task of the supervisory bodies. It has certainly contributed to the cases of progress noted by the Committee and to the fact that only a very limited number of cases remain where problems are still found as regards the main aspects of submission.

Special problems

132. The position in several countries is still a matter of concern to the Committee. It thus notes with regret that, in the following cases in particular, no information showing that the Conventions and Recommendations adopted by the Conference during at least the last seven sessions under consideration (56th to 63rd) have in fact been submitted to the competent authorities: Chad, Guyana, Lao Republic, Lebanon, Malta, Mauritania, Tanzania.

VII. REPORTS ON UNRATIFIED CONVENTIONS
(Article 19 of the Constitution)

133. In accordance with a decision taken by the Governing Body, governments were requested to supply reports under article 19, paragraphs 5 and 7, of the ILO Constitution on the Forced Labour Convention, 1930 (No. 29) and the Abolition of Forced Labour Convention, 1957 (No. 105).

134. Of a total of 58 reports requested, 33 have been received.¹

This represents 56.8 per cent of those requested, a proportion very much lower than that normally reached, which in recent years has been over 70 per cent. This low figure is no doubt to be explained by the fact that the Conventions concerned have been very widely ratified, so that the reports not received represent a higher proportion of the relatively small number of reports requested. The Committee nonetheless urges governments to make every effort to supply the reports requested, so that its general survey can be as comprehensive as possible.

135. The Committee once again notes with regret that a number of countries have not supplied any of the reports on unratified Conventions and on Recommendations requested under article 19 of the Constitution of the ILO for the past five years. They are as follows: Lao Republic, Nepal, United Arab Emirates.

136. Part Three of this report (Volume B) contains the Committee’s general survey of the questions covered by the Conventions. This survey, in accordance with the practice followed in previous years, was prepared on the basis of a preliminary examination by a working party comprising six members of the Committee, appointed by it.

* * *

137. The Committee would like to express its appreciation of the invaluable assistance once again rendered to it by the officials of the ILO, whose competence and devotion to duty make it possible for the Committee to accomplish its increasingly complex tasks in a limited period of time.


E. Razafindralambo, Reporter.
PART TWO

OBSERVATIONS CONCERNING PARTICULAR COUNTRIES
OBSERVATIONS CONCERNING PARTICULAR COUNTRIES

I. Observations concerning Annual Reports on Ratified Conventions (Article 22 of the Constitution)

A. GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

Afghanistan

The Committee notes that the reports due have not been received and that the Government states that it is contemplating the enactment of new labour legislation, after the adoption of which it will be in a position to supply the reports requested. The Committee trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Albania

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It must recall once again that under article 1, paragraph 5, of the Constitution of the ILO, States continue to be bound, even after their withdrawal from the Organisation, to ensure the observance of ratified Conventions for the period provided for in such Conventions and to report on their application.

In the absence of any information from the Government, the Committee is unable to ascertain to what extent effect is now given to the Conventions by which Albania remains bound (Nos. 5, 6, 10, 11, 16, 21, 29, 52, 58, 59, 77, 78, 87, 98, 100 and 112).

Angola

The Committee notes with regret that for the second consecutive year the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Bahamas

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due including two first reports which have been due for two years (Conventions Nos. 12 and 17) have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.
Burundi

The Committee notes with regret that most of the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to supply all the reports due on the application of ratified Conventions.

Central African Empire

The Committee has noted the direct contacts which took place in December 1978 between the competent national services and a representative of the Director-General of the International Labour Office regarding Conventions Nos. 18, 29, 33, 41, 62, 67, 61, 87, 88, 105 and 119, on the application of which the Committee had made comments.

The Committee notes with interest that, as a result of these direct contacts, various draft decrees for the application of the aforementioned Conventions have been prepared taking into account the comments of the Committee. The Committee hopes that this draft legislation will be approved at an early date and requests the Government to inform it of any measures taken to that end, and to supply reports on the application of these Conventions for the period ending 30 June 1979.

The Committee notes with regret, however, that most of the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to supply all the reports due on the application of ratified Conventions.

Chad

The Committee notes with regret that for the fourth consecutive year the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Costa Rica

1. The Committee in 1978 took note of the direct contacts that had taken place in November 1977 between the competent national services and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO in connection with Conventions Nos. 92, 94, 95, 113, 114, 120 and 127, on the application of which it has been making comments. At that time the Committee noted that, as a first result of the direct contacts, various draft decrees had been drawn up for the application of these Conventions, in which its comments were taken into account. The Committee now notes that in October 1978 the Government informed the representative of the Director-General of the ILO that the drafts were still under study. The Committee trusts that the drafts will be adopted shortly and that they will bring the national legislation into conformity with the provisions of the Conventions in question, and therefore requests the Government to be good enough to inform it of any measures that may be adopted for the purpose. It also requests the Government to supply reports on these Conventions for the period ending 30 June 1979.¹

¹ The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session.
2. Furthermore, the Committee regrets that two first reports that have been due for five years (on Conventions Nos. 102 and 130) have not yet been received. It trusts that the Government will supply these reports in the near future.

**Dominican Republic**

The Committee has noted in previous observations the direct contacts which took place in November 1976 between a representative of the Director-General of the ILO and the competent national authorities. On the occasion of these contacts the following were prepared: (a) a draft Bill to amend various sections of the Labour Code relating to the application of Conventions Nos. 81, 89 and 111; (b) a draft decree to amend the Industrial Health and Safety Regulations, with a view to the better implementation of Convention No. 119; (c) a Bill to repeal various sections of the Penal Code, with a view to the better implementation of Convention No. 105.

The Committee now notes that in October 1978 the Government informed the representative of the Director-General of the ILO that the draft legislation mentioned above would be submitted as soon as possible to the competent authorities, and that it was to be hoped that it would be approved at an early date.

The Committee trusts that the speedy adoption of this draft legislation will enable the national law to be brought into conformity with the provisions of the Conventions in question, and accordingly requests the Government to inform it of any measures taken to this end.

It also requests the Government to supply reports on these Conventions for the period ending 30 June 1979.

**El Salvador**

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

**Ghana**

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

**Guatemala**

The Committee noted in 1978 that the draft decrees, prepared on the occasion of the direct contacts in November 1975 between the competent national authorities and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO with a view to the better application of Conventions Nos. 30, 95, 96, 113 and 114, and a Bill relating to Conventions Nos. 87 and 98, were to be submitted shortly to the Council of State and subsequently to the President of the Republic for consideration.

The Committee now notes that in October 1978 the Government informed the representative of the Director-General of the ILO that examination of this draft legislation was still continuing. The
Committee trusts that the speedy adoption of this draft legislation will enable the national law to be brought into conformity with the provisions of the Conventions in question, and accordingly requests the Government to inform it of any measures taken to this end. It also requests the Government to supply reports on these Conventions for the period ending 30 June 1979.

Guinea

The Committee notes with regret that for the second consecutive year the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Guinea-Bissau

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Haiti

The Committee notes with regret that most of the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to supply all the reports due on the application of ratified Conventions.

Iceland

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Iraq

The Committee notes with regret that most of the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to supply all the reports due on the application of ratified Conventions.

Jamaica

The Committee notes with regret that most of the reports due including one first report (Convention No. 122, on which reports have been due for two years) have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to supply all the reports due on the application of ratified Conventions.

---

1 The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session.
OBSERVATIONS CONCERNING RATIFIED CONVENTIONS

Jordan

The Committee notes that the reports due have not been received. It notes with interest however that the Government has requested direct contacts in order to assist it in discharging its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions, and that a representative of the Director-General is to visit Jordan shortly in order to provide assistance in this matter. It hopes therefore that the reports due will be communicated in the near future.

Democratic Kampuchea

In the absence of any reports, the Committee has not been able to examine the current position as regards the application of ratified Conventions.

Lao Republic

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Lebanon

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Lesotho

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It recalls that in accordance with article 1, paragraph 5 of the ILO Constitution, States have a continuing obligation even after withdrawal from the organisation, to apply ratified Conventions for the period provided for therein and to report on them. It hopes that the Government will not fail in future to supply all the reports due.

Liberia

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Libyan Arab Jamahiriya

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due including five first reports (Conventions Nos. 102, 103, 121, 128, 130 on which reports have been due for two years) have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.
Malawi

The Committee notes with regret that for the second consecutive year the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Mauritania

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Nicaragua

The Committee noted in 1978 that, following the direct contacts that had taken place in 1975 between the competent national authorities and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO, a decree had been adopted in 1977 to amend various sections of the Labour Code with a view to applying more fully various Conventions that had been ratified by Nicaragua. The Committee noted at the same time that the appropriate measures had still not been adopted in relation to other Conventions which had also been discussed during the direct contacts, namely Conventions Nos. 8, 17, 30, 87 and 98. It accordingly requests the Government to inform it of any measures taken to this end. It also requests the Government to supply reports on the Conventions in question for the period ending 30 June 1979.

Panama

The Committee in 1978 took note of the direct contacts that had taken place in November 1977 between the competent national services and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO, in connection with Conventions Nos. 13, 27, 30, 42, 52, 77, 78, 105, 112, 113, 119, 123 and 127, on the application of which it has been making comments. At that time the Committee observed that, as a first result of the direct contacts, various draft decrees had been drawn up for the application of the above-mentioned Conventions, taking into account the comments it has made.

The Committee now notes that in October 1978 the Government informed the representative of the Director-General of the ILO that these drafts were under examination. The Committee hopes that the drafts will be adopted shortly and that they will bring the national legislation into conformity with the provisions of these Conventions, and therefore asks the Government to be good enough to inform it of any measures that may be taken for the purpose. It also asks the Government to supply reports on these Conventions for the period ending 30 June 1979.

Moreover, the Committee recalls the statements made by a Government representative at the 61st Session of the Conference to the effect that the Government was considering making a request for technical co-operation with a view to drawing up laws on the employment of seafarers that would take account of the Conventions it had ratified.

1 The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session.
and for the adoption of administrative measures to ensure the application of these laws. The Committee hopes that the Government, in respect of these Conventions too, will shortly take the necessary measures to ensure their application in law and in practice and asks it to be good enough to provide the text of any provisions it may adopt in this connection.¹

Papua New Guinea

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Paraguay

The Committee notes with satisfaction that, following the direct contacts which took place in July 1977 between the competent national authorities and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO, Act No. 738 was adopted on 28 December 1978 with a view to the better implementation of Convention No. 29.

The Committee trusts that other draft legislation examined on the occasion of these direct contacts in relation to Conventions Nos. 1, 29, 105 and 115 will be approved at an early date, and requests the Government to inform it of any measures taken to this end. It also requests the Government to supply reports on the Conventions in question for the period ending 30 June 1979.

Peru

The Committee notes the direct contacts which took place in October 1978 between the special committee for the study and evaluation of international maritime Conventions (CPCM) and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO on the application of Conventions Nos. 9, 22, 23, 68 and 69.

The Committee notes with interest that the CPCM, which is a tripartite body, informed the representative of the Director-General that the relevant texts would shortly be drafted, that the comments of the Committee of Experts would be taken into account and that, when they were ready, the drafts would be sent to the ILO for any further comment before their adoption. The Committee hopes that the adoption of these texts will bring the national legislation into conformity with the above-mentioned Conventions and asks the Government to be good enough to report any progress in the matter. It also asks the Government to send reports on these Conventions for the period ending 30 June 1979.

Furthermore, the CPCM informed the representative of the Director-General that it had carefully examined Conventions Nos. 123, 134, 145, 146 and 147 and that it would shortly recommend their ratification to the competent authorities.

¹ The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session.
The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Seychelles

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Somalia

The Committee notes with regret that most of the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to supply all the reports due on the application of ratified Conventions.

South Africa

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It must recall once again that under article 1, paragraph 5, of the Constitution of the ILO, States continue to be bound, even after their withdrawal from the Organisation, to ensure the observance of ratified Conventions for the period provided for in such Conventions and to report on their application.

In the absence of any information from the Government, the Committee is unable to ascertain to what extent effect is now given to the Conventions by which South Africa remains bound (Nos. 2, 19, 26, 42, 45, 63 and 89).

Swaziland

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

Tanzania

The Committee notes with regret that for the second year in succession the reports due have not been received. It also notes the statement by a government representative to the Conference in 1978 to the effect that efforts are made to obtain reports from Zanzibar. The Committee trusts that in future the Government will not fail to fulfil its obligation to provide reports on the application of the Conventions it has ratified and that measures will be taken to enable the Government to provide information on the effect given to ratified Conventions in Zanzibar.

Togo

The Committee notes with regret that most of the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in
future to discharge its obligation to supply all the reports due on the application of ratified Conventions.

**Trinidad and Tobago**

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

**Viet Nam**

In the absence of any reports, the Committee has not been able to examine the current position as regards the application of ratified Conventions.

**Yemen**

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due including a first report which has been due for two years (Convention No. 14) have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

**Yugoslavia**

The Committee notes with regret that most of the reports due including four first reports which have been due for two years (Conventions Nos. 32, 129, 132, 136) have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to supply all the reports due on the application of ratified Conventions.

**Zaire**

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due have not been received. It trusts that the Government will not fail in future to discharge its obligation to report on the application of ratified Conventions.

**In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States:** Argentina, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Bolivia, Colombia, Cuba, Gabon, Guatemala, Haiti, Ivory Coast, Kenya, Kuwait, Nepal, Panama, Peru, Romania, Rwanda, Tunisia, Turkey, Yugoslavia, Zambia.
B. INDIVIDUAL OBSERVATIONS

Convention No. 1: Hours of Work (Industry), 1919

Chile (ratification: 1925)

The Committee notes with interest that the exception contained in section 25 of the Labour Code concerning persons performing functions that, by their very nature, cannot be confined to a fixed time table has not been retained in Legislative Decree No. 2200 of 1 May 1978 respecting the contract of employment and the protection of workers, which replaces Books I and II of the Labour Code.

On the other hand, the Committee notes that section 42 of Legislative Decree No. 2200 of 1978 provides (like section 28 of the Labour Code, which has been the subject of its previous comments) that in operations that by their very nature are harmless to the health of workers up to two hours' overtime per day can be agreed to in writing. The Committee notes the statement by the Government that in practice overtime is resorted to only in exceptional cases of pressure of work and within the limit of two hours per day laid down by law, but it requests the Government to adopt the necessary measures to bring the legislation into conformity with the provisions of Article 6, paragraphs 1(b) and 2, of the Convention.

Egypt (ratification: 1960)

Article 6 of the Convention. The Committee notes that the report of the Government contains no reply to its last observation. It again points out that Ministerial Order No. 62 of 1960, which lists all transport operations, whether of passengers or of goods, whether by road, by rail or by inland waterway, among activities considered to be "intermittent by their nature", within the meaning of section 117 of the Labour Code, for which workers can be present at the workplace for more than 11 hours a day, is not in conformity with the Convention.

The Committee is bound to stress once more that Article 6, paragraph 1(a), of the Convention, which provides for permanent exceptions in cases where attendance at the workplace must necessarily exceed the normal hours prescribed by the Convention, allows such exceptions only in relation to persons whose work is essentially intermittent. This possibility of making exceptions cannot therefore be used for all transport workers.

The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly take the necessary measures to bring the legislation into conformity with the Convention on this point.

Kuwait (ratification: 1961)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in 1978 to the Conference Committee and also that contained in its latest report.

Articles 1 and 2 of the Convention. 1. Noting that the Government no longer mentions the need to amend the provision of the Labour Law (Private Sector) that provides for the exclusion from its scope of temporary workers employed for a period of not more than six
months, the Committee is obliged to emphasise that the Convention does not permit such an exception. It therefore requests the Government to reconsider this question and to take the necessary steps to ensure the application of the Convention to the above-mentioned category of workers.

2. The Committee notes the statement by the Government that, regarding small establishments, which are mostly private family-run enterprises, a general survey is being carried out to determine their conditions and their proportion with a view to amending the law on the basis of realistic findings. In this connection, the Committee wishes to call the attention of the Government to the fact that the Convention applies to all industrial undertakings, even if they do not use machinery and irrespective of the number of persons they employ, with the exception of those in which only members of the same family are employed. The Committee trusts that the Government will shortly take appropriate steps in this connection to ensure the conformity of the national legislation with the Convention.

Articles 3 and 6(1)(b) and (2). The Committee notes the statement by the Government that "the country is undergoing a phase of building and development in all walks of life and is, therefore, in need of more work effort". It must, however, point out that under the Convention overtime is permitted only in the form of temporary exceptions to avoid serious interference in the ordinary working of the undertaking, the maximum number of hours of overtime being fixed in each case and the employers' and workers' organisations concerned being consulted on the matter. Accordingly, sections 34 and 35 of the Labour Law (Private Sector) and sections 14 and 15 of the Labour Law (Public Sector), which provide that the employer may exact two hours of overtime every day and work on the weekly day of rest without laying down any limit to this overtime, are, in their present wording, incompatible with these provisions of the Convention.

Since the Government has already declared its intention of taking the necessary steps to bring the legislation into conformity with the above-mentioned provisions of the Convention, the Committee hopes that these steps will be taken in the near future.¹

Peru (ratification: 1945)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

The Committee notes from the Government's latest report that a multi-sectoral tripartite committee was set up in February 1976 to examine the final draft of the Labour, Employment and Social Security Bill and that this committee is to take into account the texts of international labour Conventions, and the comments made by the ILO Committee on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations regarding Peruvian labour legislation. Recalling the observations which it has made since 1951 and the repeated statements of the Government to the effect that it intends adopting either a labour code or other texts to give effect to the provisions of the Convention (including a draft supreme decree prepared following the direct contacts which took place), the Committee asks the Government to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
place in 1972), the Committee expresses the hope that the necessary measures will be taken soon to ensure application of the Convention, particularly as regards Articles 3 to 6 (conditions for exceeding the prescribed hours of work).

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Bolivia, Chile.

Convention No. 2: Unemployment, 1919

Spain (ratification: 1922)

See under Convention No. 88.

Uruguay (ratification: 1933)

The Committee has noted that there exists no system of free public employment agencies as provided for in Article 2, paragraph 1, of the Convention, although a National Employment Service was created in 1974 and the Government has reported that its activity and structure were being developed. The Committee notes that the Government has not furnished the information requested on progress achieved in the implementation of this legislation. However, the Committee notes from Decree No. 225/977 of 27 April 1977 that the National Employment Service Advisory Committee created by that decree was to submit a plan for the implementation of the Act creating the National Employment Service before the end of May 1977. It also notes that a working group has been set up by the Ministry of Labour and Social Security to draft regulations for the implementation of international labour standards.

The Committee again requests the Government to indicate what progress has been accomplished in establishing a system of free public employment agencies, and hopes that it will communicate information on the number and locations of offices established as well as a copy of any employment service regulations which may have been adopted.¹

Convention No. 3: Maternity Protection, 1919

Chile (ratification: 1925)

The Committee has examined Legislative Decree No. 2200 of 1 May 1978 respecting contracts of employment and the protection of workers. It notes with satisfaction that under section 95 of this text women workers cannot be dismissed during their absence on maternity leave, in conformity with Article 4 of the Convention.

¹ The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session and to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
**Observations Concerning Ratified Conventions**

**Colombia** (ratification: 1933)

Article 3(a), (b) and (c) of the Convention (duration of leave and of maternity benefit). The Committee has pointed out in its previous comments that section 236 of the Labour Code and section 33 of Decree No. 1848 of 1969, which provide for 8 weeks of maternity leave, are incompatible with the Convention, under which a woman worker is entitled to 12 weeks of maternity leave, of which 6 must be taken after confinement. The Committee has also pointed out that the above-mentioned texts, contrary to the Convention, contain nothing to provide for the extension of pre-natal leave in the event of a mistake by the physician or midwife in estimating the date of confinement.

Lastly, it has noted that the General Sickness and Maternity Insurance Regulations (Decree No. 770 of 1975, section 16(b)) also restrict the payment of maternity benefit to eight weeks and contain nothing to provide for the extension of the period of payment in the event of delayed confinement.

In its last report, the Government states that it will soon be able to carry out a reform of the Labour Code, which will cover the field of the Convention. The Committee notes this statement with interest: it hopes that the reform will ensure the application of this basic provision of the Convention by extending maternity leave to 12 weeks and laying down through an express provision that in the event of a mistake in the estimation of the presumed date of confinement, pre-natal leave and the payment of maternity benefit may be extended to the date on which confinement in fact occurs.

The Committee hopes at the same time that Decree No. 1848 of 1969 may be modified in the same way and that the General Sickness and Maternity Insurance Regulations (No. 770 of 1975) may be brought into harmony with the new provisions.

The Committee asks the Government to indicate in its next report any progress made in this respect and to provide information on the other points raised in its previous comments, which, in the absence of a reply, it is bound to repeat in a new direct request.¹

**Libyan Arab Jamahiriya** (ratification: 1971)

The Committee notes that the report of the Government has not been received. With reference to its previous comments, it trusts that the Government will provide information on the following points in its next report:

Article 3(a), (b) and (c) of the Convention (in conjunction also with Article 4). The Government has stated that the draft for a new Labour Code, which is to bring the national law into line with the above provisions of the Convention, is still under consideration. The Committee again expresses the hope that the draft will be adopted in the near future and that it will abolish the qualifying condition for entitlement to maternity leave, that it will increase the period of leave from the present 50 days to 12 weeks, of which 6 weeks must be taken after confinement, and that it will permit an extension of pre-natal leave and maternity benefit where confinement occurs after the presumed date and also should illness result from pregnancy or confinement, as required by these basic provisions of the Convention.

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
As regards women workers who do not fulfil the qualifying conditions (laid down in section 24 of the Social Insurance Act of 1957, as amended) or entitlement to medical and cash benefits for maternity, the Government has stated that this point will be taken into account in the orders to be made by the Council of Ministers under Act No. 72 of 1973. The Committee has noted this statement with interest and again expresses the hope that the orders will soon be issued.

The Committee also asks the Government to include information in its next report on the progress made in bringing the new social security system into operation.

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Colombia, Hungary, Ivory Coast, Upper Volta.

Convention No. 5: Minimum Age (Industry), 1919

Guinea (ratification: 1959)

The Committee notes with regret that once again the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

Article 4 of the Convention. With reference to its earlier observations, the Committee notes the Government's report according to which the text of the draft order concerning the employment of children, which is designed to ensure the application of Article 4 of the Convention, will be communicated as soon as it is adopted. Since the Government has been referring to the aforementioned draft since 1967, the Committee trusts that it will be adopted in the very near future so that every employer in an industrial undertaking is required to keep a register of all persons under the age of 16 years employed by him, and of their dates of birth.

The Committee hopes that the Government will make every effort to take the necessary action in the very near future.

India (ratification: 1955)

With reference to its previous observation following the comments made by the Centre of Indian Trade Unions and the subsequent observations of the Government concerning the employment of children of under 12 in various branches of activity, the Committee notes that this observation has been addressed to the state governments and the administrations of union territories and the other central authorities concerned. It also notes with interest the detailed information provided by these various authorities concerning the application of the Convention, and hopes that the Government will continue to supply such information in its future reports.

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
The Committee has noted that the Employment (Amendment) Act, 1975 and section 4 of the Employment of Children and Young Persons Regulations 1976 authorise the employment of children aged 12 years or over in industrial undertakings with the written permission of the Commissioner for Labour and their engagement as apprentices, which is contrary to the Convention. The Committee notes from the information contained in the last report that in practice the Commissioner for Labour has not granted permission under this provision, but it hopes that the Government will shortly take the necessary measures to amend the texts in question and so bring the legislation into harmony with the Convention. The Government is asked to indicate any progress made in this connection.

Convention No. 6: Night Work of Young Persons (Industry), 1919

Benin (ratification: 1960)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction the adoption of Order No. 233/PPT of 11 September 1978 amending Order No. 1781/ITLS of 12 July 1954 and confining exceptions to the prohibition of night work by young persons to the classes specified in Article 2 of the Convention.

* * *

In addition, a request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to Upper Volta.

Convention No. 8: Unemployment Indemnity (Shipwreck), 1920

Iraq (ratification: 1966)

The Committee has been calling attention for some years to the need to take appropriate measures with a view to introducing a provision in the national legislation prescribing (a) in accordance with Article 2 of the Convention that all persons employed on board a vessel (and not only young persons) shall be entitled (in case of loss or foundering of the vessel) to an indemnity fixed at the same rate as the wages payable under the contract for the whole period of actual unemployment, provided that the total indemnity payable to any one seaman may be limited to two months' wages, and (b) in accordance with Article 3 of the Convention that the same privileges shall attach to this indemnity as to arrears of wages, seamen having the same remedies for recovering such indemnities as they have for recovering arrears of wages.

In its report for 1976, the Government referred to Act No. 201 of 1975 respecting the Maritime Civil Services and stated that seamen in this group retain their civil service status in the event of loss of the vessel. It added that a draft Maritime Labour Code was being prepared and that other legal texts (including Regulations No. 37 of 1972) would be amended to include provisions corresponding to those of the Convention.
Since the Government has provided no report, the Committee is not in a position to assess the progress made in this connection. It hopes that the necessary measures will be taken very shortly and that the Government will not fail to provide a report for examination at the next session of the Committee and to indicate any measures taken.

**Jamaica** (ratification: 1963)

In reply to the previous comments of the Committee concerning section 157 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Act, 1894, which is applicable to Jamaica and, unlike the Convention, provides that "in all cases of wreck or loss of the ship, proof that the seaman has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores shall bar his claim to wages", the Government again states that the Jamaica Bill on merchant shipping is still at the drafting stage and that this particular aspect of the question has been referred to the expert adviser of the Government for examination. In these circumstances, the Committee can only repeat the hope that the new merchant shipping Act will be adopted very shortly and that, in conformity with the assurances given earlier by the Government, it will no longer provide for exception such as that set out in section 157 of the 1894 Act referred to. The Committee requests the Government to indicate any progress made in this connection.

**Mauritius** (ratification: 1969)

**Article 2 of the Convention.** With reference to its earlier comments concerning the forfeiture of the right to unemployment indemnity in cases of shipwreck where it is proved that the seaman has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores (section 157 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Act 1894, read in conjunction with section 1 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping (International Labour Conventions) Act 1925, whose application has been extended to Mauritius), the Committee notes with interest the statement by the Government that the preparatory work for the revision of the Merchant Shipping Ordinance has been completed and that the Bill prepared for the purpose is under active consideration. The Committee hopes that the Bill will be adopted very shortly and that the Government will not fail to communicate its text.

**Panama** (ratification: 1970)

**Article 1 of the Convention.** The Committee notes that the term "international service", which, in section 251 of the Labour Code, defines the scope of Chapter VIII, Title VII, Book I, of this Code, concerning maritime labour, also covers **pleasure vessels** (namely, deep-draft vessels employed on tourist or recreational activities in the Caribbean and pleasure yachts).

**Article 2.** The Committee also notes that the Government is considering the adoption of measures with a view to drawing up a statutory provision to provide - in conformity with the Convention - that in case of loss or foundering of the vessel, the owner (or the person with whom the seaman has contracted his service) shall pay to each member of the crew for each day during which he remains in fact unemployed an indemnity at the same rate as the wages contracted for, the total amount of the indemnity not to be less than two months' wages, whatever the length of the previous service of the person concerned and the form of his agreement (a provision similar to that of section 10 of Act No. 7 of 1950 might be considered to be sufficient if the words "imputable al capitán" were deleted).
The Committee hopes that a provision to this effect may be adopted shortly.

Application in practice. The Committee hopes that the Government will not fail to provide in its next report the statistics called for at Point V of the report form.

**Seychelles** (ratification: 1978)

The Committee has pointed out the restriction placed on the seaman’s right to unemployment indemnity in case of loss or foundering of the ship by section 157 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Act 1894, read with section 1 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping (International Labour Conventions) Act 1925, which remain applicable in the Seychelles. Under these texts the indemnity is not paid if the seaman has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores. The Committee has pointed out that this restriction is not in conformity with Article 2 of the Convention and has asked the Government to take the necessary measures for removing this restriction.

In its previous report, the Government stated that it was considering the possibility of taking measures to ensure the full application of the Convention on this point. Since no report has been provided for the period 1977-78, the Committee can only express the hope that the next report will mention the measures taken to this end.

**Sierra Leone** (ratification: 1961)

Article 2 of the Convention. The Committee has drawn attention to the incompatibility between the Convention and the provisions of section 157 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Act 1894, taken in conjunction with section 1 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping (International Labour Conventions) Act, 1925, both Acts being applicable in Sierra Leone. These provisions constitute a bar to the right of a seaman to unemployment indemnity in case of shipwreck where it is proved that he has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores. The Committee has asked the Government to take the necessary measures to give full effect to the Convention by removing this bar.

In its latest report, the Government states that in this connection it has consulted the Government of the United Kingdom (which intends to take into account the comments of the Committee) and that, in view of the influence that any amendment brought to the British Act may have on the law of Sierra Leone, the necessary legislative measures can be taken only following those of the United Kingdom.

The Committee notes these statements and repeats its hope that the above-mentioned provisions of the 1894 Act will be amended very shortly in conformity with the Convention.

**Singapore** (ratification: 1964)

In the comments that it has been making since 1967, the Committee has asked the Government to take the necessary steps to extend the right to unemployment indemnity provided for seamen in case of shipwreck under section 77 of the Merchant Shipping Act to ships' masters, who are not covered by the definition of "seafarers" in section 2 of the Act, whereas they are covered by the Convention.
In its latest report, the Government states that it is considering the possibility of including in the above-mentioned Act provisions concerning unemployment indemnity for masters. The Committee hopes that the Merchant Shipping Act will shortly be amended correspondingly.

**United Kingdom** (ratification: 1926)

The Committee observes, from the reply of the Government to the comments it has been making since 1971, that no measure has yet been adopted to amend section 15 of the Merchant Shipping Act 1970, so as: (a) to extend the scope to masters and pilots (Article I of the Convention) and (b) to abolish the bar to claims to unemployment indemnity applicable to a seaman who has not made reasonable efforts to save the ship and persons and property carried in it (Article 2 of the Convention).

The Committee again notes the statement of the Government that full account will be taken of its comments before the above-mentioned section is brought into force. The Committee trusts that the amendments under consideration will be adopted very shortly, especially since so long as section 15 of the 1970 Act has not come into force, the situation will continue to be governed by section 157 of the 1894 Act, which contains a limitation similar to that mentioned under point (b) above, and moreover the governments of certain British non-metropolitan territories, in which the latter Act continues to apply, state that they can consider this question only on the basis of the amendments which may be adopted by the United Kingdom. The Committee hopes that the next report will indicate the progress made in this connection.¹

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Finland, Papua New Guinea, Sri Lanka, Tunisia.

**Convention No. 9: Placing of Seamen, 1920**

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Colombia, New Zealand.

**Convention No. 10: Minimum Age (Agriculture), 1921**

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to Guinea.

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
Convention No. 11: Right of Association (Agriculture), 1921

Bangladesh (ratification: 1972)

With reference to its previous observations, the Committee notes with interest the information supplied by the Government to the effect that there are no legal restrictions on the right of association of workers employed in agriculture, including self-employed workers, tenant farmers, sharecroppers and smallholders, and that the Government encourages these workers to organise.

The Committee requests the Government to provide information on the application of the Convention in practice (number of existing trade unions, number of collective agreements, etc.).

Byelorussian SSR (ratification: 1956)

See under Convention No. 87.

Costa Rica (ratification: 1963)

By a letter dated 17 February 1979, the General Workers' Confederation communicated to the Office comments on the Government's reports concerning the application of Conventions Nos. 11, 87, 95, 98, 107, 117 and 122. These comments have been sent to the Government for its observations, and the Committee will examine the issues raised at its next session, together with any observations on them received from the Government.

Czechoslovakia (ratification: 1923)

See under Convention No. 87.

India (ratification: 1923)

The Committee notes the information provided by the Government. It also notes the observations of the National Labour Organisation (Ahmedabad) enclosed by the Government with its report, which mention the practical difficulties confronting trade union activity in agriculture, owing to the nature of work in this sector. The Committee asks the Government to provide further information on any developments in this situation.

Pakistan (ratification: 1923)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes the statement by the Government that there is no law restricting the right of agricultural workers to establish associations or organisations. The Committee has asked the Government to indicate how the right of association is secured for persons working in agriculture other than wage-earners, such as self-employed farmers, sharecroppers, tenants or smallholders. The Government states in this connection that the right of every citizen to establish associations and trade unions is guaranteed by the Constitution (section 17) as a fundamental right.

The Committee would be grateful if the Government would be good enough to provide information on the number of trade unions and
associations of self-employed workers comprising persons engaged in agriculture, the number of members and any other facts concerning the application of the Convention in practice.

Poland (ratification: 1924)
See under Convention No. 87.

Romania (ratification: 1930)
See under Convention No. 87.

Rwanda (ratification: 1962)

With reference to its earlier comments, the Committee notes the information provided by the Government to the effect that the right of association is guaranteed to agricultural workers in terms similar to those applying to industrial workers, by virtue of section 42 of the Constitution of the Rwandese Republic. Furthermore, the Government states that there are no legal provisions restricting the right of association of workers in agriculture.

In its previous reports, the Government said that a draft legislative decree extending the provisions of the Labour Code to workers employed in agriculture had been drawn up.

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in its last report to the effect that the extension of the labour legislation to agricultural workers is to be part of a forthcoming revision of the Labour Code.

The Committee trusts that the Government may shortly take steps to bring its legislation into harmony with the Convention and requests it to provide all information in this connection.

Ukrainian SSR (ratification: 1956)
See under Convention No. 87.

USSR (ratification: 1956)
See under Convention No. 87.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Burma, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Kenya, Lesotho, Morocco, Papua New Guinea, Peru, Sri Lanka.
OBSERVATIONS CONCERNING RATIFIED CONVENTIONS

Convention No. 12: Workmen's Compensation (Agriculture), 1921

Colombia (ratification: 1933)

Referring to its previous comments, the Committee notes that no measure has yet been taken in practice to extend the workmen's compensation scheme provided for by Decree No. 433 of 27 March 1971 to agricultural wage earners (whether they are employed in industrial undertakings or not). The Committee hopes that measures may shortly be adopted to ensure the full application of the Convention and requests the Government to report any progress made in this connection.

Convention No. 13: White Lead (Painting), 1921

Afghanistan (ratification: 1939)

The Committee notes with interest the Government's statement that the enactment of new labour laws and regulations is receiving serious consideration, with a view to giving effect to all ratified Conventions. The Committee recalls that, following direct contacts in 1974 between the competent national services and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO, a draft decree was drawn up which was to ensure legislative conformity with Convention No. 13. It accordingly hopes that the draft text will be taken into consideration in the preparation of the legislation now being envisaged and that appropriate provisions will soon be adopted to ensure the full application of the Convention.

Chad (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes with regret that, in the absence of a report, for the third consecutive year, no information is available on the measures announced by the Government in 1972 and designed to give full effect to Article 5 (a) and (b) of the Convention. It trusts that the Government will not fail to take the appropriate measures and to supply information on the subject.

Guinea (ratification: 1959)

The Committee notes with regret that for the second consecutive year the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

The Committee regrets that the Government's report contains no reply to its previous comments. It recalls that since 1960 it has pointed to the necessity to prohibit the employment of young persons and women in any painting work of an industrial character involving the use of white lead or sulphate of lead or other products containing these pigments, as required by Article 3 of the Convention. In 1972, the Government communicated the text of a draft Order which would have given partial effect to this provision, but since then it has given no information on the measures taken or envisaged to give effect to Article 3. The Committee trusts that steps will now be taken to lay down the requisite prohibition.

The Committee also recalls that steps remain to be taken to
compile and supply statistics of morbidity and mortality through lead poisoning among working painters, in accordance with Article 7 of the Convention.

**Mexico** (ratification: 1938)

The Committee notes with interest from the Government's report that section 139 of the General Regulations concerning occupational safety and health of 2 June 1978 provides for ILO Convention No. 13 to be respected in the use of the inorganic compounds of lead, including white lead, and that the Secretariat of Labour and Social Security intends to lay down rules to give effect to Articles 2, 5, 6 and 7 of the Convention.

The Committee hopes that such rules will be adopted shortly and will give full effect to the detailed requirements of the Convention.

**Upper Volta** (ratification: 1960)

In its previous direct requests the Committee asked the Government to supply statistics on cases of morbidity and mortality caused by lead poisoning (Article 7 of the Convention). The Government's latest report states that these statistics are not available for lack of resources. The Committee once again requests the Government to indicate the measures taken or contemplated to ensure that the statistics with regard to lead poisoning among working painters are obtained, as to morbidity, by notification and certification of all cases of lead poisoning and, as to mortality, by a method approved by the official statistical authority of the country.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Benin, Chile.

**Convention No. 14: Weekly Rest (Industry), 1921**

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Bolivia, Burundi, Lebanon, Malaysia (Sarawak).

**Convention No. 16: Medical Examination of Young Persons (Sea), 1921**

**Guinea** (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes with regret that for two consecutive years the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observations which read as follows.

Since its first report, received in 1967, the Government has referred to a draft order on women's and children's employment designed to give effect to the provisions of the Convention and stated in its report for 1971-73 that this draft was to be adopted in the near future.
The Committee recalls the concern expressed in this respect by the Conference Committee in 1978 and trusts that the Government will be in a position to provide the text of the order adopted with its next report.

Convention No. 17: Workmen's Compensation (Accidents), 1925

Bolivia (ratification: 1973)

Article 5 of the Convention (compensation of beneficiaries).

Referring to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that Legislative Decree No. 13214 of 24 December 1975 to reform social security (sections 48 and 39) and the regulations issued under it (Legislative Decree No. 18643 of 3 January 1976, section 14) have abolished the conditions formerly governing the granting of a pension to the widow of the victim of an occupational accident.

Colombia (ratification: 1933)

Articles 5 and 10 of the Convention (public sector). The Committee pointed out that certain provisions of Legislative Decree No. 3135 of 1968, which governs, among other things, the scheme of insurance benefits for public employees and officials, are not in conformity with the Convention. These include sections 22, 23 and 35 of the Decree, which provide, in the event of permanent partial incapacity and death, for the payment of lump-sum compensation of an amount not exceeding the equivalent of 23 and 24 months' wages, respectively, whereas Article 5 of the Convention, which does not authorise such limitations, provides that the compensation shall be paid in the form of periodical payments and that it is only in exceptional cases that it may be wholly or partially paid in a lump sum and only if the competent authority is satisfied that it will be properly utilised. Furthermore, the above-mentioned Decree does not provide for the free supply and renewal of artificial limbs and surgical appliances, as does Article 10 of the Convention.

In its report for 1976-78 the Government states that in cases of partial incapacity the above-mentioned workers lose neither their employment nor their right to medical benefits but that it is considering the adoption of the necessary measures to amend sections 22, 23 and 35 of the Legislative Decree in question in conformity with the Convention. The Committee notes this statement with interest and hopes that the draft text to amend these provisions will be submitted to the Congress in the near future, as the Government states.

The Committee also takes note with interest of the statistics furnished by the Government in response to its previous requests and notes the areas where the social security scheme is now applicable. The Committee requests the Government to continue to furnish data of this kind in its future reports and hopes that the social security scheme will shortly cover all the workers of the country.

Guinea (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes with regret that for the second year in succession the report of the Government has not been received. It is therefore bound to repeat its previous observation, which related to the following points:
Articles 1 and 3 of the Convention. In reply to the comments of the Committee, the Government stated that the new Social Security Code, the draft of which had been submitted to the National Assembly for adoption, would apply the provisions of the Convention. The Committee hopes that this Code will also cover officials, the permanent civil service, auxiliary officials and assimilated staff, since these classes of workers are covered by the Convention and they do not yet come under any scheme of workmen's compensation for accidents.

Article 5. The Committee also hopes that the new Code will comply fully with this provision of the Convention, under which compensation in the case of accidents causing permanent incapacity or death is paid to the victim or to his dependants in the form of periodical payments, and only in certain cases in the form of a lump sum, and then only if the competent authority is satisfied that this will be properly utilised.

The Committee trusts that the new Code will be adopted in the very near future.

Mauritius (ratification: 1968)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with interest the adoption of the National Pensions Act, 1976, which contains provisions corresponding to the following Articles of the Convention: Article 5 (payment of compensation in the form of periodical payments in the case of permanent incapacity or death), Article 7 (additional compensation for injured workmen whose incapacity is such as to call for constant help by another person), Article 9 (granting of medical aid and such surgical aid as is necessary), Article 10 (supply and renewal of the necessary artificial limbs and surgical appliances) and Article 11 (guarantee against the insolvency of the employer or insurer).

The Committee hopes that the above-mentioned Act will come into force very shortly and that it will be applied - by Ministerial Order as provided in section 12 - to all the classes of workers covered by the Convention and not only to those appearing in the First Schedule to the Act.

The Committee also notes the statement by the Government that the Workmen's Compensation Ordinance (Cap. 220), which has been the subject of earlier comments, continues to apply pending the putting into effect of the 1976 Act, and that draft legislation to bring this Ordinance into conformity with the provisions of the above-mentioned Act is being finalised.

The Committee requests the Government to indicate any progress made in this connection.

Philippines (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government to the 64th Session of the Conference (June 1978) and the amendments introduced to sections 192 to 194 of the Labour Code by Presidential Decree No. 1368, which was issued following direct contacts that had taken place in 1977 between the competent national services and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO.

Article 5 of the Convention. (a) Benefits in the case of total permanent incapacity or death: (i) The Committee notes with satis-
faction that the limitation of the duration to five years and of the amount to 12,000 pesos is abolished in the new sections 192 and 194 of the Labour Code and that compensation equivalent to the monthly income benefit of the victim plus 10 per cent for each dependent child (up to five) will be paid henceforth to the beneficiaries.

(ii) The Committee notes, however, that under section 192(b) and section 194(a), both as amended by Decree No. 1368, the monthly income benefit is guaranteed only for five years. Since these provisions might place new limitations on the period during which benefits are paid, and this would be contrary to Article 5 of the Convention (which establishes the principle of periodical payments for life) and Article 11 (under which the monthly payments must in all circumstances be guaranteed against the insolvency of the employer or insurer), the Committee requests the Government to indicate the precise bearing of this provision, and if a new limitation is actually imposed, it hopes that the necessary measures may be taken to remove this new limitation in the above-mentioned sections of the Labour Code.

(iii) The Committee also notes that section 194(a) and (b) of the Labour Code, as amended by the above-mentioned Presidential Decree, provide that survivors' benefits can in certain cases be paid in the form of a lump sum but do not provide - as the Convention does - that such a conversion may be made only if the competent authority is satisfied that the lump sum will be properly utilised. The Committee hopes that the necessary measures may be taken to ensure the full application of the Convention on this point too.

(b) Benefits in the case of permanent partial incapacity. The Committee notes that the new section 193 of the Labour Code, although it introduces certain improvements respecting the duration and the amount of benefits in the case of permanent partial incapacity, maintains the limitation of the payment of compensation to a certain number of months, whereas the Convention, in this case too, lays down the principle of periodical payments for life. Moreover, when the compensation is converted into a lump sum (section 193(g)), no guarantee of proper utilisation is provided for, which is contrary to the Convention.

The Committee hopes that the Government will be good enough to reconsider the situation in respect of this point too, taking into consideration in particular the suggestions set forth in the Technical Memorandum of the ILO that was presented to it during the direct contacts.

Article 7. Presidential Decree No. 1368 contains no provision prescribing, as the Convention does, the granting of additional compensation to injured workmen whose incapacity is such as to require the constant help of another person. The Committee hopes that such a provision may be adopted in the near future, so as to give full effect to the Convention, in accordance with the intention expressed by the Government both in its reports and during the direct contacts.¹

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Bolivia, Chile, Iraq, Upper Volta.

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
Convention No. 18: Workmen's Compensation (Occupational Diseases), 1925

Chile (ratification: 1933)

**Article 2 of the Convention.** In its previous comments, the Committee has pointed out that the schedule of occupational diseases appended to Presidential Decree No. 109 of 1968 does not mention, among the specific agents entailing occupational risks, poisoning by the alloys of lead or the amalgams of mercury or, among the activities apt to lead to anthrax infection, the operations of the loading and unloading or transport of merchandise in general.

In its report for 1976, the Government stated that in its opinion the above-mentioned Decree covered the risks appearing in Article 2 of the Convention but that it was prepared to take into consideration the comments of the Committee in the new social security scheme, which was being studied at the time, by expressly including the poisonings and operations mentioned above.

The last report of the Government repeats the previous statement without mentioning any measures taken in this connection. The Committee can only express once more the hope that the new social security scheme - including in particular the details mentioned above - will be adopted shortly and that the Government will report any progress made in the matter.

Colombia (ratification: 1933)

**Article 2 of the Convention.** (a) **Activities apt to lead to anthrax infection.** The Government refers again in its last report (received in June 1978) to section 201 of the Labour Code as amended. The Committee, however, has pointed out that the list of activities corresponding to anthrax infection that appears both in the Labour Code (section 201, paragraph 1, as amended by Decree No. 2355 of 1972) and in Decision No. 539 of 1 August 1974 (clause VIII, No. 6) of the Governing Board of the Colombian Social Security Institute is not in conformity with the schedule to Article 2 of the Convention. The Convention lists, among the activities apt to lead to anthrax infection, the "loading and unloading or transport of merchandise" in general and thus establishes an automatic presumption of the occupational origin of the disease for workers, such as dockers, suffering from anthrax infection, who may have transported or handled merchandise that had previously without their knowledge been in contact with infected animals or animal remains. The above-mentioned national legislation does not mention these operations or, where it mentions them, it restricts them to the handling of "infected" merchandise, which means that workers suffering from anthrax infection have, contrary to the Convention, to prove that they have been in contact with contaminated animals. The Committee hopes that the Government may bring the above-mentioned national legislation into full conformity with the Convention on this point, as it expressed its intention of doing, during the direct contacts that took place in 1972 between the competent national services and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO.

(b) **Automatic presumption of the occupational origin of diseases.** The previous comments of the Committee on the presumption of the occupational origin of the diseases listed in Article 2 of the Convention did not concern the compensation scheme established under the Labour Code (section 202), as the Government seems to believe, but
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the social security scheme and in particular Decision No. 539 of 1 August 1974. Section 3 of this text provides that the fact that a worker suffering from one of the diseases listed in the Decision has performed one of the corresponding activities "does not constitute sufficient proof to describe his lesion as occupational". Since the Convention provides that the diseases and poisonings caused by the substances listed in the schedule shall be considered to be occupational when these diseases or poisonings affect workers engaged in the trades or industries listed opposite to them, the Committee hopes that the necessary measures will be taken shortly to amend section 3 of the above-mentioned Decision in conformity with the Convention.

Guinea (ratification: 1959)

Since 1964 the Committee has been pointing out that the list of occupational diseases contained in section 136 of the Social Security Code is not in conformity with that given in Article 2 of the Convention in that it does not mention poisoning by the alloys or compounds of lead, or poisoning by mercury, its amalgams and compounds, and does not contain a list of the operations apt to cause these poisonings or anthrax infection.

The Government has stated several times in the past that a new list of occupational diseases conforming to that of the Convention would be adopted either by order or in connection with a new Social Security Code. For the second year in succession the report on the application of the Convention has not been supplied, and the Committee is not in a position to judge whether the text instituting the new list of occupational diseases has been adopted. In these circumstances, the Committee can only come back to the question and hope that the list of occupational diseases may be revised so as to include the poisonings and diseases mentioned above, which appear in the left-hand column of the schedule of the Convention and for which the Convention establishes an automatic presumption of occupational origin when they are contracted by workers engaged in the trades or industries appearing in the right-hand column of the schedule.

The Committee trusts that the Government will not fail to indicate any measures taken or under consideration in this connection.¹

Upper Volta (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes, from the report of the Government received in June 1978, that the list of occupational diseases has not yet been revised in conformity with that appearing in Article 2 of the Convention. It also notes that the regulations issued under the new Social Security Code are being drawn up with the help of the ILO and hopes that these regulations - in particular the decree provided for in section 43 of the Code - will be adopted shortly and will take account, in accordance with the statement of the Government, of the comments that the Committee has been making for some years, so that the new list of occupational diseases may, in conformity with the Convention, include:

(a) all poisoning by lead, its alloys or compounds and their consequences (and not only certain pathological manifestations due to this poisoning);

¹ The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session and to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
poisoning by mercury, its amalgams and compounds and their consequences and the activities apt to lead to this poisoning;

(c) the loading and unloading or transport of merchandise as operations apt to lead to anthrax infection.

The Committee requests the Government to indicate any progress made in this connection.

Zaire (ratification: 1960)

The Committee has considered the information supplied by the Government in reply to its previous comments and notes with satisfaction that the forms of poisoning which can be caused by alloys of lead are now covered by Order No. 71/77 of 5 May 1977.

The Committee notes that consideration is being given to the question of adding poisoning by amalgams of mercury to the list of occupational diseases laid down by national legislation, in accordance with the Convention. The Committee hopes that the Government will provide information on the progress made in this connection.

* * *

In addition, a request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to Guinea-Bissau.

Information supplied by Yugoslavia in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 19: Equality of Treatment (Accident Compensation), 1925

Mauritania (ratification: 1963)

Article 1, paragraph 2. of the Convention. 1. The Committee notes the reply of the Government made to the 64th Session of the Conference and also in its report (received in June 1978). This reply shows that allowances for industrial accidents occurring after the coming into force of Act No. 76-039 on social security dated 3 February 1967 continue to be paid to nationals of every State bound by the Convention and to their dependants in case of residence or transfer of residence abroad.

2. With regard to industrial accidents occurring before the coming into force of the above-mentioned Act of 1967, the Committee notes with interest that the Government is studying the possibility of amending section 29 of Decree No. 57-245 of 1957, which applies in this case, so that the injured workmen or their dependants, when they are nationals of a State which is party to the Convention, may also continue to receive their allowances in the event of residence outside Mauritanian territory. The Committee hopes that this amendment will shortly be adopted.

* * *

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Gabon, Hungary, Upper Volta.

Convention No. 20: Night Work (Bakeries), 1925

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Bolivia, Colombia, Peru.

Convention No. 22: Seamen's Articles of Agreement, 1926

Colombia (ratification: 1933)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes the information supplied by the Government (including that given to the Conference Committee in 1978) to the effect that there was reason to hope that the next legislative assembly - whose sittings were to begin in July 1978 - would approve a new Bill to govern the application of this Convention. The Committee recalls that various bills have been mentioned successively since 1967 by the Government which also states in its latest report that the relevant provisions will be incorporated in the national legislation when the Executive has obtained the necessary powers. The Committee trusts that steps will very shortly be taken to apply the various provisions of the Convention.

France (ratification: 1928)

Article 9, paragraph 1, of the Convention. In its previous comments, the Committee has pointed out that the provisions of the Maritime Labour Code (sections 93, 99 and 100), which limit the possibilities open to a seaman of terminating his agreement in a foreign port, are contrary to the provisions of the Convention, under which a seaman has the right to terminate an agreement for an indefinite period in any port where the vessel loads or unloads, subject to the giving of notice. In reply to these comments, the Government has announced the forthcoming adoption of a new Bill, which was to eliminate all distinction in this connection between metropolitan French ports and foreign ports.

The Committee observes, however, that Act No. 77-507 of 18 May 1977 to amend the Maritime Labour Code retains in sections 95 and 96 the previous provisions in accordance with which the termination of the agreement by notice takes effect only in French ports. It requests the Government to indicate the measures it intends to take with a view to ensuring the application of Article 9, paragraph 1, of the Convention.1

Federal Republic of Germany (ratification: 1930)

Article 9, paragraph 1, of the Convention. The Committee again recalls that section 63(3) of the Seafarers' Act, 1957, which restricts the right of a seaman to terminate an agreement for an indefinite period in a foreign port, is incompatible with the above provision of the Convention, under which such an agreement may be terminated in any port where the vessel loads or unloads.

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
The Committee notes from the report of the Government that the negotiations with the social partners on the proposals to amend the Act have not yet reached a successful conclusion, the arguments advanced being too contradictory, but that discussions are to be taken up again and that consideration is to be given to a proposal by the trade unions that it should also be possible to terminate an agreement when the vessel arrives in a port of the European Community. The Committee hopes that the Government may be able in its next report to indicate amendments introduced to the 1957 Act.1

Iraq (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes that, in the absence of a report, no information is available on any progress made in the adoption of legislative provisions to give effect to the Convention. As the Government has referred to a draft Maritime Code since 1970, the Committee trusts that the necessary measures will be taken in the near future.

Mauritania (ratification: 1963)

Article 3, paragraph 1. of the Convention. With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that under section 96 of the Merchant Marine and Sea Fisheries Code (Act No. 78 043 of 28 February 1978), seamen may have the articles of agreement explained and translated by the services of the marine division.

Article 9, paragraph 1. The Committee notes that the provision of the former Code that prohibited a seaman from leaving the ship outside a Mauritanian port without the permission of the marine authority has been taken over by section 138 of the new Code. The Committee points out that a provision of this kind is incompatible with the Convention, which provides that a seaman has the right, subject to giving notice, to terminate an agreement for an indefinite period in any port where the vessel loads or unloads, whether at home or abroad. The Committee hopes that this discrepancy between the legislation and the Convention may be eliminated in the near future.

Mexico (ratification: 1954)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 and in its latest report, in reply to its previous comments.

Article 5, paragraph 2. of the Convention. The Committee notes that, although the Government maintains its opinion that the present practice of including in the seaman's book an evaluation of his work is to his advantage, it is considering the possibility of eliminating the item in question in order to resolve the disagreement with the Committee, after consultation with the employers' and workers' organisations. The Committee hopes that the change in the seaman's book can be made shortly.

Article 9, paragraph 1. The Committee notes with interest that the Government is prepared, in consultation with the representatives of the shipowners and seamen, to study the question in order to reach a

---

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
solution removing all possibility of discrepancy between the Federal Labour Act, section 209(III), and this provision of the Convention, which prescribes the seamen's right to terminate an agreement for an indefinite period in any port where the vessel loads or unloads, provided that the agreed notice has been given. The Committee hopes that the Government will be able in its next report to indicate the solution adopted.¹

Pakistan (ratification: 1932)

With reference to its previous observations, the Committee again points out that, under Article 1 of the Convention, the Convention also applies to seamen engaged on Pakistan ships in ports outside Pakistan, whereas the Merchant Shipping Act of 1923 limits the articles of agreement to seamen engaged in a Pakistan port. It notes from the Government's report that the new Merchant Shipping Bill, which would take into account the provisions of the Convention, is awaiting the constitution of a new National Assembly. Since the Government has been referring to this Bill for many years, the Committee trusts that the necessary measures will shortly be taken to bring the legislation into conformity with the provisions of the Convention.¹

Panama (ratification: 1970)

Article 9, paragraph 1, of the Convention. With reference to its previous observation and in the absence of further information on this matter in the report of the Government, the Committee again points out that section 257 of the Labour Code prohibits the termination of an agreement in any port but that where the seaman was signed on, whereas, under the above provision of the Convention, an agreement for an indefinite period may be terminated in any port where the vessel loads or unloads, provided that the agreed period of notice is observed. Since the national legislation authorises the conclusion of agreements for an indefinite period (section 254 of the Labour Code), the Committee again expresses the hope that section 257 of the Code may be amended to bring it into conformity with the provisions of the Convention, on the occasion of the drafting of general legislation in conformity with the maritime Conventions that have been ratified by Panama, for which the Government has indicated its intention of requesting the technical assistance of the ILO.¹

Venezuela (ratification: 1944)

Article 9, paragraph 1, and Article 14, paragraph 2, of the Convention. In its previous comments, the Committee has noted that: (i) section 289 of the Regulations issued under the Labour Act, which prohibits the termination of the agreement when the vessel is in a foreign port, is contrary to Article 9, paragraph 1, of the Convention; and (ii) nothing in the legislation provides that a seaman shall have the right to obtain from the master a separate certificate as to the quality of his work, as provided by Article 14, paragraph 2, of the Convention. The Committee notes with interest that, under Orders Nos. 1756 and 1780 of 20 September and 19 October 1978 respectively, the committee to prepare draft regulations on work on board national merchant vessels has now been set up and that it has received express instructions to bear in mind the provisions of Convention No. 22. The

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
Committee hopes that the next report of the Government will provide information on the measures adopted to give full effect to the Convention.1

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Bangladesh, Ghana, Iraq, Mauritania, Panama, Tunisia, Uruguay, Venezuela.

Convention No. 23: Repatriation of Seamen, 1926

Ireland (ratification: 1930)

Article 3, paragraphs 1 and 4 of the Convention. For some years the comments of the Committee have related to section 32 of the Merchant Shipping Act of 1906, which does not cover the right to repatriation of (a) a seaman who leaves the ship in a Commonwealth country or (b) a foreign seaman who joins the ship in a foreign port and leaves it in another foreign port. The first exception set out in section 32 is incompatible with Article 3, paragraph 1, of the Convention and the second exception, applying to a foreign seaman who joins a ship in his own country, is contrary to paragraph 4 of the same Article. Though maintaining that national practice in this field is in conformity with the Convention, the Government has referred since 1965 to a proposed revision of the merchant shipping legislation. The latest report indicates that this revision is proceeding but that as it is a work of considerable magnitude and the requirements of the Convention are fully met in practice, it would not be justifiable to give priority to a special amendment over more pressing draft legislation.

The Committee notes this information. It is, however, bound to recall that as long as section 32 has not been amended, the seamen concerned will not enjoy in national law the protection to which they are entitled through the ratification of the Convention by Ireland. The Committee therefore trusts that the Government will soon take suitable measures to bring national law into conformity with the Convention.1

Philippines (ratification: 1960)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction the specimen of the Standard Format of a Service Agreement concerning Filipino Crews communicated by the Government with its report. This Service Agreement contains provisions ensuring the repatriation of Philippine seamen, without cost to themselves (except when they have been put ashore for disciplinary reasons), in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 1, and Article 4 of the Convention.

The Committee hopes that measures may shortly be taken, in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 4, of the Convention in order—(i) to guarantee the right to repatriation of foreign seamen "engaged in a port of their own country" and (ii) to establish conditions in

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
which foreign seamen "engaged in countries other than their own" may enjoy this right.¹

Yugoslavia (ratification: 1929)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

Article 2, paragraph 1, of the Convention. Further to its earlier comments, the Committee notes from the Government's report that the revision of current legislation respecting maritime shipping is proceeding. Since this revision should ensure, inter alia, that the repatriation of foreign seamen is no longer subject to conditions of reciprocity, in accordance with this Article of the Convention, the Committee hopes that the Government will soon be able to announce that the revision has been completed and to supply the text of the new legislation.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Iraq, Mexico, Tunisia.

Convention No. 24: Sickness Insurance (Industry), 1927

Chile (ratification: 1931)

Article 4, paragraph 1, of the Convention. With reference to its previous observation, the Committee notes the reply of the Government, and observes once more that no progress has yet been made in the full application of this provision of the Convention.

It also notes the statement by the Government that the new national social security system, which is still under study, will guarantee the full application of Article 4, paragraph 1, of the Convention, so that the insured person shall be entitled free of charge, as from the commencement of his illness and at least until the period prescribed for the grant of sickness benefit expires, to the supply of proper and sufficient medicines and appliances. The Committee hopes that the new social security system will come into force shortly and trusts that it will apply the above-mentioned provision of the Convention in full.¹

Ecuador (ratification: 1922)

The Committee notes that the Social Security Code is still being revised by the Ecuadorian Social Security Institute, and that the old legislation therefore remains in force. The Committee trusts that the process of revision will soon be completed and that consideration will be given to its previous observation, which was as follows:

In the comments that it had made earlier the Committee had pointed out that this law is inconsistent with the Convention on

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
two points, namely: (a) section 4 of the Compulsory Social Insurance Act exempts certain classes of foreign employed persons where they can show that they are covered by insurance providing benefits at least equivalent to those provided in Ecuador, whereas Article 2, paragraph 3, of the Convention makes no provision for exemption based on nationality; and (b) clause 7 of the Rules of the Social Insurance Medical Department - which reappears in the various agreements made by the Ecuadorian Social Security Institute - makes medical care subject to a waiting period, whereas Article 4 of the Convention provides for medical care as from the commencement of the illness.

On the first of these two points, the Committee had found that the new Social Security Code still exempts the classes of foreign workers alluded to from liability to insurance for a certain time. The Committee hopes that the Government will do everything possible either to remove this provision from the national law or to eliminate from it any reference to nationality, in accordance with the intention which it expressed during the direct contacts mission to the Andean Group countries.

On the second point, the Committee is obliged to revert to the matter in the hope that steps can be taken to remove the waiting period required under the national regulations for entitlement to medical care.¹

Haiti (ratification: 1955)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes that the compulsory sickness insurance scheme established by the Decree of 18 February 1975 has not yet been put into effect. The Committee trusts that this scheme will be gradually put into effect as the Government stated during the direct contacts that took place in November 1976, and requests the Government to indicate any progress made in this connection.

The Committee is again addressing a direct request to the Government on Articles 2, paragraphs 1 and 2, and 4, paragraph 1, of the Convention.¹

Peru (ratification: 1945)

Article 2 of the Convention (scope). With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes that the necessary legislation has not yet been issued to provide the Social Insurance Scheme with the hospital infrastructure needed for the granting of medical benefits in the provinces that have been brought under it by virtue of Presidential Decree No. 002-75-TR of 1975. The Committee trusts that this legislation will be issued in the near future.

Article 4 (medical assistance). The Committee notes that the draft legislative decree to establish the Unified Social Insurance Health Benefits Scheme indicates minimum conditions for the granting of health benefits in the event of non-occupational diseases and accidents, and that these conditions are less strict than those at present in force. The Committee recalls that in previous comments it has requested the Government to abolish the qualifying conditions on which the granting of medical benefit depends, which are contrary to this provision of the Convention. The Committee therefore trusts that

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
the Government will take the necessary measures to abolish these qualifying conditions in the near future.¹

**Uruguay** (ratification: 1933)

The Committee notes that Conventions Nos. 24 and 25 have been denounced. Since Convention No. 130 has been ratified by Uruguay the Committee refers to its comments under that Convention.

**Convention No. 25: Sickness Insurance (Agriculture), 1927**

**Chile** (ratification: 1931)

See under Convention No. 24.¹

**Haiti** (ratification: 1955)

See under Convention No. 24.¹

**Peru** (ratification: 1945)

See under Convention No. 24.¹

**Uruguay** (ratification: 1933)

See under Convention No. 24.

**Convention No. 26: Minimum Wage-Fixing Machinery, 1928**

**Bolivia** (ratification: 1954)

The Committee has noted the Government's reply, confirming the setting up of the National Wages Council, whose administrative units are at present studying all questions within their competence, in accordance with the administrative rules of the Ministry of Labour. The Committee trusts that the Government will soon be in a position to

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
indicate the minimum wage rates fixed and the approximate number of workers covered (Article 5 of the Convention).¹

*   *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Chile, Costa Rica, Gabon.

The Committee has noted the information supplied by Congo in reply to a direct request.

**Convention No. 29: Forced Labour, 1930**

Belgium (ratification: 1944)

The Committee notes with interest the information supplied by the Government in its last report concerning restrictions on the right of career officers and non-commissioned officers to resign.

It notes that there seem to have been some changes in administrative instructions on the matter following its previous comments. According to the report of the Government, cadets of the Royal Military Academy when they are called on to sign their contract of service are explicitly informed that their legal situation implies that resignation can be refused by the Minister if he deems it to be contrary to the interests of the service, but that the application for permission to resign will be accepted in principle when the officer has completed a period of service equal to at least one-and-a-half times his period of training, and no longer one-and-three-quarters times as before. In reply to a question put by a deputy (appearing in questions and replies in the Chamber of 27 December 1977), the Government stated that the present regulations respecting resignation from the armed forces are determined by the provisions of Instruction No. SGE DEG 202A of 2 July 1976, which apply to all officers. The Committee requests the Government to be good enough to communicate the text in question.

The Committee observes that, as the texts are at present, youths under 18 are entitled to leave the service only during the first three months of their engagement, which appears to be a very short period for very young persons. Moreover, it seems that under the new conditions, once they become officers, they are still bound to reach the rank of captain and to have completed, for example, nine years of service after a period of training of six years, before they can free themselves of their professional obligations, under pain of various penalties.

The Committee asks the Government to indicate in its next report any additional measures that may be taken or under consideration in this sphere, with a view to granting minors the possibility of leaving the service when they reach the age of 18 years, and to provide for those who choose to remain in the military career the possibility of ending it at certain intervals or by giving a reasonable notice, subject to the conditions that might reasonably be required of them to ensure the continuity of the services performed by the armed forces, or to replace the procedures entailing the compulsory performance of service by procedures applicable to contractual relations.

---

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
**Benin (ratification: 1960)**

With reference to its previous comments concerning civic service, under which citizens could be mobilised for social and economic work, the Committee notes with satisfaction that, following the direct contacts that took place between the competent national services and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO in 1973, texts have been adopted to bring the national legislation into fuller harmony with Article 2, paragraph 2(a), of the Convention.

Under Ordinance No. 77-14 of 25 March 1977, the armed forces now include only the defence and security forces and the people's militia.

Ordinance No. 77-22 of 6 May 1977 repeals section 39 of Ordinance No. 70-42/CP/DN of 24 July 1970 respecting the organisation of national defence, which placed the chief of staff of the civic service under the order of the supreme defence authority.

The Committee notes the information in the reports received in September 1978 and March 1979 from the Government that these ordinances repeal the provisions in the old texts governing the civic service and that the civic service has been dissolved, its property being handed over to the provinces.

The Committee, however, noting that the ordinances in question do not specifically repeal certain other sections of Ordinance No. 70-42/CP/DN of 24 July 1970 that still mention the civic service, in particular sections 23, 36, 37, 38 and 40 or Decree No. 71-43/CP/DN of 9 March 1971 respecting the organisation and operation of the civic service, hopes that the Government will be kind enough to confirm the repeal of the texts in question and that its next report will contain information on the changes occurring in this connection.

**Burundi (ratification: 1963)**

The Committee takes note with satisfaction of Legislative Decree No. 1/19 of 30 June 1977 to abolish the traditional institution of ubugurera, a form of servitude that placed on the ubugurera and their descendants an obligation to perform ill-defined personal services.

The Committee notes that special procedures are laid down for giving effect to the Legislative Decree and also sanctions in the event of infringement. It would be grateful if the Government would communicate information on the practical application of these new provisions.

**Chad (ratification: 1960)**

The Committee notes with regret that once again the Government has failed to supply a report and that no new information is available in reply to its previous comments, which dealt with the following points:

1. **Forced labour for recovery of taxes.** In its previous observations, the Committee had referred to section 260 bis of the General Code of Direct Taxes, inserted by Act No. 28-62 of 28 December 1962, by virtue of which labour may be exacted for the recovery of taxes, contrary to Article 10 of the Convention. Having regard to the Government's statement to the Conference Committee in 1972 that it was envisaged to insert in the General Code of Direct Taxes a new section 260 bis, the Committee hopes
that the Government will be able to indicate in the near future the measures which have been taken to bring this provision into conformity with the Convention.

2. **Exaction of labour from persons subject to restrictions on residence.** In its previous observations, the Committee had noted that under section 2 of Act No. 14 of 13 November 1959, the administrative authorities were empowered to exact forced labour for works of public utility from persons subject to restrictions on residence following completion of a sentence. In this regard, the Government stated to the Conference Committee in 1972 that in practice no form of forced labour had been exacted from such persons. The Committee once again expresses the hope that, to ensure the observance of the Convention, section 2 of the Act of 1959 will be repealed.

3. Since 1965 the Committee has requested the Government to supply a copy of the instructions which, according to its statements, had been adopted to ensure that, in accordance with Article 2, paragraph 2(c), of the Convention, no form of penal labour might be imposed on persons who are banished, interned or expelled by administrative decision under Act No. 14 of 13 November 1959. The Committee regrets to note that this text has not yet been supplied. It hopes that a copy will be communicated as soon as possible.

4. **Compulsory service for public works.** In its previous comments, the Committee had referred to section 7(4) of Ordinance No. 2 of 27 May 1961 on the organisation and recruitment of the army and to sections 3 and 4 of Decree No. 9 of 6 January 1962 on the recruitment of the army under which persons liable to military service who have not been called up for active service may be called upon, by order of the Government, to perform work of general interest. In this regard, the Committee had drawn attention to paragraphs 24 to 26 of the Committee's general report of 1971, in which it referred to the adoption of the Special Youth Schemes Recommendation, 1970 (No. 136) and the clarification which the deliberations of the International Labour Conference on this instrument had provided concerning the relationship between certain compulsory schemes involving the participation of young persons in activities directed to economic and social development and the Conventions on forced labour. The Committee hopes that the Government will supply full information on the present position of law and practice as regards the mobilisation of persons for work of general interest, as well as on any measures which may have been taken or may be contemplated in this regard in order to ensure the full application of the Convention.

The Committee hopes that the Government will supply a detailed report for examination by the Committee at its next session.

**Colombia (ratification: 1969)**

**Article 2(2)(c) of the Convention.** In its previous comments, the Committee noted that under sections 175 and 233 of the Prison Code, as amended (Decree No. 1817 of 17 July 1964), all detainees except those medically declared unfit for work are obliged to perform labour. It follows from these provisions that both convicted offenders and prisoners who have not been convicted by a court of law are compelled to perform prison labour. Moreover, the Committee noted that under this decree, prisoners may be hired to private persons or enterprises (section 182).

In its latest report, the Government states that detainees may work outside the prison under labour contracts, and supplies a copy of
Decree No. 2119 of 1977 adopted under Act No. 32 of 1971. The Committee notes that this text does not repeal the above-mentioned Decree No. 1817.

The Committee accordingly would ask the Government to amend all relevant provisions of Decree No. 1817 so as to ensure the observance of Article 2(2)(c) of the Convention.

Gabon (ratification: 1960)

1. In its earlier comments, the Committee noted that section 4(a) of the draft Labour Code provided for the imposition of labour or service under the civic service laws consisting in the performance of tasks of general interest. It pointed out that this provision was incompatible with the Convention since it was not expressly confined to the exceptions laid down in Article 2, paragraph 2. Having examined the new Labour Code supplied by the Government to the Conference Committee, the Committee regrets to observe that section 4(a) has been adopted without change. It requests the Government to indicate the measures taken or under consideration to ensure observance of the Convention in this respect.

2. In the observations that it has been making since 1964, the Committee has pointed out the incompatibility with the Convention of Ordinance No. 50-62 of 21 September 1962, which imposes on every citizen over 18 who cannot show that he has an occupation or is registered at an educational establishment the obligation to accept, under pain of penal sanction, any employment assigned to him by the authorities. According to the repeated statements of the Government, both in its earlier reports and before the Conference Committee in June 1978, this Ordinance has never in fact been applied but has always been regarded as encouraging young people to work. The Committee again expresses the hope that the Government will take the necessary measures to repeal Ordinance No. 50-62 formally and bring its legislation into conformity with the Convention, which prohibits the exaction from any person under the menace of any penalty of work for which he has not offered himself voluntarily.

Guinea (ratification: 1961)

See under Convention No. 105.

Indonesia (ratification: 1950)

In earlier observations, the Committee noted that large numbers of persons had been detained for periods of over ten years without having been tried by a court of law, on the island of Buru, and in other parts of Indonesia, where they were performing forced or compulsory labour within the meaning of the Convention. In 1976 and 1977 the Government undertook to settle the entire matter by the end of 1978 by the trial or release of all remaining detainees.

In its report dated 8 March 1978 the Government stated that 10,000 detainees were released on 20 December 1977 from various rehabilitation centres all over Indonesia and that 19,791 remaining detainees were to be released in 1978 and 1979. According to the Government, these releases were absolute and unconditional, and resettlement projects established by the Government within the framework of the national transmigration programme could be taken advantage of by the ex-detainees on a voluntary basis.
In its observation in 1978 the Committee noted this information with interest. As regards detainees whom it was proposed to bring to trial, the Committee expressed the hope that the Government would supply detailed information on the action taken, including the number of persons tried, reclassified or still awaiting trial, and the measures taken to ensure that those who are acquitted or whose sentences do not involve further detention are permitted to recover their free choice of employment.

As regards detainees who were not to be brought to trial, the Committee expressed the hope that the Government would provide full information on the action taken for their release in conditions which would permit them once again to enjoy full and effective freedom of choice of employment. In this connection it asked the Government to supply copies of the rules governing participation in resettlement schemes, including more particularly the conditions under which persons taking part in resettlement projects may terminate such participation.

The Committee notes that detailed information has not been supplied regarding the situation of persons tried, reclassified or still awaiting trial.

As regards the release of detainees who have not been brought to trial, the Committee notes the indications provided by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 and in a report received on 30 October 1978 that on 20 May 1978, 21 July 1978 and 3 September 1978, 265 persons, 3,921 persons and 1,324 persons respectively were released from various rehabilitation centres in West Java or throughout Indonesia, bringing the total number of releases for the first nine months of 1978 to 5,510 persons.

With regard to its request for information concerning the action taken to ensure that those released enjoy full and effective freedom of choice of employment, the Committee notes the Government's reaffirmation to the Conference Committee in 1978 that the release of detainees was absolute and unconditional. It regrets, however, that the rules governing participation in resettlement schemes and the conditions for leaving such projects have not been communicated.

The Committee trusts that the necessary measures will be taken to ensure the observance of the Convention and that full information concerning these measures, including the texts requested by the Committee, will be supplied.¹

Lesotho (ratification: 1966)

Further to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction from the Government's report on Convention No. 105, submitted under article 19 of the Constitution, that section 79(2) of the Employment Act (which authorised chiefs to require persons to act as their messengers) was repealed by the Employment (Amendment) Act 1977.

Liberia (ratification: 1931)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in reply to its previous observation, as well as the indications given to the Conference Committee in 1978.

¹ The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session.
1. **Local public works.** In its previous observations, the Committee recalled that the Revised Laws and Administrative Regulations for Governing the Hinterland, 1949, contain provisions permitting the exaction of forced labour inter alia for public works; although stated to have been repealed in 1962, these continued to be used as the basis for local administration according to information made available by the Government in 1972.

The Committee notes the provisions of an Act to amend the Executive Law with respect to the Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Urban Reconstruction, adopted in May 1972, the text of which has been supplied by the Government. It notes that this Act has provided for the creation of the Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Urban Reconstruction, the duties of which shall include, inter alia, overseeing the orderly functioning of tribal government and drafting rules and regulations to this end, as well as initiating and organising programmes for rural community development. It would appear that while the Act does not contain specific provisions to replace the 1949 Revised Laws and Administrative Regulations for governing the Hinterland, the Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Urban Reconstruction is called upon to draft rules and regulations on the subject.

In this connection, the Committee recalls the Government's statement in its report for the period ending 15 October 1976, that the competent government agency was engaged in modifying and updating the Revised Laws and Administrative Regulations for Governing the Hinterland, 1949. In the 1977 report of the Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Urban Reconstruction, reference was also made to the need of revising and updating provisions of this text, and in a statement to the Conference Committee in 1977, the Government indicated that a draft law aimed at repealing provisions contrary to the Convention had been referred to a committee which had submitted a report to the President on the matter.

In its latest report, the Government refers to a copy of the findings and conclusions of this committee; however these were not communicated with the Government's report.

Noting also the information supplied in the Government's report on the practical arrangements made for provision of labour to self-help projects in rural areas, the Committee again urges the Government to take the necessary action to clarify the legal situation regarding the supply of labour for local public works. It hopes that full information on measures adopted to this end will be supplied at an early date.

2. **Prohibition of forced labour.** In its report for 1974-75, the Government indicated that penal sanctions for the illegal exaction of forced labour were included in the new draft Labour Code and that, pending adoption of this Code, draft legislation on the matter had been prepared to bring the law into conformity with Article 25 of the Convention.

The Committee notes from the Government's latest report that the new draft Labour Code is still being revised to take account of all ILO Conventions and Recommendations, and that it is hoped that a final decision on the draft will be reached. Pending this, the Committee again expresses the hope that the necessary legislation will be adopted soon to give effect to Article 25 of the Convention.

3. **Enforcement of the prohibition of forced or compulsory labour.** The Committee has in previous observations stressed the need,
in addition to the adoption of a legislative prohibition of forced labour, to ensure the strict observance of such legislation, in accordance with Articles 24 and 25 of the Convention. In this connection, the Committee asked the Government to supply full information on the measures adopted to ensure adequate labour inspection particularly in the agricultural sector where according to reports of the Ministry of Labour, Youth and Sports lack of adequate transport prevented the carrying out of frequent inspection visits, and non-concessionary agricultural undertakings did not appear to have been inspected. The Committee requested the Government to continue to communicate copies of the annual reports of the Ministry of Labour, Youth and Sports and the Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Urban Reconstruction. It also asked for information on the measures taken to ensure that compulsory cultivation was no longer imposed by tribal chiefs.

In its latest report, the Government states that the problems have been overcome and some progress has been made in respect of labour inspection. In this connection, it refers to a memorandum on labour inspection in agricultural establishments which states that in 1977 and until May 1978 more than 300 inspections had been conducted in an unspecified number of non-concessionary undertakings employing 2,531 workers, and that nearly all violations of the Labour Practices Law noted had been rectified. The Committee hopes that more detailed information on the measures taken to enforce the prohibition of forced or compulsory labour in non-concessionary agricultural undertakings as well as in relation to Chiefs will be supplied with future reports of the Ministry of Labour, Youth and Sports and the Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Urban Reconstruction.

**Paraguay** (ratification: 1967)

The Committee notes with satisfaction the adoption, as a result of the direct contacts that took place in 1977 between a representative of the Director-General and the competent national authorities, of Act No. 738 of 27 December 1978, which amends section 3 of Legislative Decree No. 1429 of 23 May 1940 by defining the offence of vagrancy more narrowly so as to ensure fuller observance of the Convention. The Committee also notes with interest that another Bill drawn up during the direct contacts has been brought before the National Congress. It hopes that this text, which would amend section 39 of Act No. 210 of 22 September 1970 on the prison system, will also be adopted in the near future in order to bring the provisions of the national legislation into conformity with Article 2, paragraph 2(c), of Convention No. 29 and Article 1(a) of Convention No. 105.

**Sudan** (ratification: 1967)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that the National Training Act, 1976, repeals the National Service Act, 1970, which imposed three years' non-military service on certain graduate conscripts, varying with their studies, and the Study Missions and Scholarships Act, which restricted the liberty of graduates in government service and state scholarship holders to leave their employment.

It is, however, asking the Government to supply additional information on this point and on certain others in a direct request.
**Trinidad and Tobago (ratification: 1963)**

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in its report.

1. With reference to its 1974 general observation on the Convention requesting governments to supply information on the present position of national law and practice concerning the use of convict labour by private individuals, companies or associations, the Committee notes from the Government's report that although the Prison Rules (Chapter II, No. 7) have not yet been amended, national practice does not permit the use of convict labour by private individuals, even though Rule 252 allows prisoners under certain circumstances to be employed for the private benefit of any person. Having also noted the comments of the Employers' Consultative Association of Trinidad and Tobago on this particular matter, the Committee hopes that the Government will be able to amend the Prison Rules at an appropriate occasion so as to ensure compliance with the Convention both in law and in practice.

2. The Committee has taken note of the observations made by the Communication Workers' Union on 29 January 1979 concerning the application of the Convention in respect of the Trinidad and Tobago Telephone Company. These observations having been communicated to the Government for comment on 8 February 1979, the Committee hopes that the Government will supply full information on the subject for examination at the Committee's next session.

**Zaire (ratification: 1960)**

The Committee notes with regret that for the fourth year in succession no report has been received from the Government. In its previous observation the Committee recalled that in its report for 1967-69, the Government stated that it would without fail introduce appropriate amendments to the provisions whereby persons not making the minimum personal contribution may be imprisoned and forced to perform prison labour on the basis of an administrative decision (section 160 of Annex I to the Law of 10 July 1963 on income tax, read together with articles 9 and 64 of Ordinance No. 344 of 1965 on the prison system). The Committee noted that Legislative Ordinance No. 71-087 of 14 September 1971 on minimum personal contributions, which, according to the Government, replaced but did not expressly repeal the relevant provisions of the Law of 10 July 1963, still provided for imprisonment of tax defaulters by decision of the chief of the local community and the burgomaster for a period of up to two months, and for the imposition of labour on those imprisoned as a means of recovery of the minimum personal contribution (sections 18 to 21). The Committee again requests the Government to take the necessary measures to bring the legislation on the minimum personal contribution into conformity with Article 2(2)(c) of the Convention, which excludes prison labour from the scope of the Convention only when it is imposed as a consequence of a conviction in a court of law.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Benin, Burundi, Colombia, Czechoslovakia, Iraq, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Zaire, Zambia.

Information supplied by Lesotho and Malta in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.
Convention No. 30: Hours of Work (Commerce and Offices), 1930

**Kuwait** (ratification: 1961)

See under Convention No. 1.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Bolivia, Ghana, Morocco.

Convention No. 32: Protection against Accidents (Dockers) (Revised), 1932

**Argentina** (ratification: 1950)

The Committee notes from the Government's reply to its previous observation that the review which had been started with a view to the reorganisation of port operations and in which the requirements of the Convention were to be taken into account has been suspended awaiting the outcome of the current revision of the Convention.

The Committee recalls that it has been drawing attention since 1952 to the fact that there are no national legal provisions to ensure the application of the Convention. It also recalls that on three occasions committees have been set up with the aim of preparing legislation in conformity with the Convention; the last such committee was established in 1973 following direct contacts between the competent national services and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO. The Committee once again urges the Government to lay down legally binding rules to ensure the safe conduct of port operations and thus to give effect at least to the basic requirements of the Convention.

**Chile** (ratification: 1935)

The Committee notes with satisfaction, that the Internal Regulations concerning Industrial Safety and Health of 1972, communicated in response to the Committee's observation of 1978, give effect to Article 2, paragraph 2, Article 5, paragraphs 2, 3 and 6, Article 9, paragraphs 2(4), (6) and (9), Article 11, paragraphs 3 to 7 and Article 14 of the Convention.

The Committee is addressing a direct request to the Government on the measures needed to give effect to certain further provisions of the Convention.

**Honduras** (ratification: 1964)

The Committee notes with satisfaction that, as a result of direct contacts which took place between the competent national services and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO, regulations concerning safety and health in loading and unloading of vessels have been issued on 20 April 1978, to give effect to the provisions of the Convention.
OBSERVATIONS CONCERNING RATIFIED CONVENTIONS

Italy (ratification: 1933)

The Committee recalls that in information communicated to the Conference Committee in 1977 the Government indicated that the local port regulations issued by the maritime authorities must be considered to be partially inadequate to prevent accidents so that the need was apparent for a single set of regulations applicable to the whole country and in complete conformity with the Convention. It stated further that a tripartite committee had been created by a decree dated 20 October 1976 to study draft regulations for the prevention of accidents in port work which had been prepared by the Minister of the Merchant Marine.

The Committee regrets that the Government's latest report makes no reference to these draft regulations or to the work of the tripartite committee, but states that a committee will be set up to lay down a plan for national regulations concerning the protection of the physical integrity and health of port workers as provided for in Bill No. 252 concerning the institution of a national safety service.

The Committee recalls that for a number of years the Government has been stating its intention to adopt general regulations concerning safety in port work which would give full effect to the requirements of the Convention throughout the national territory and would replace the local regulations issued by individual port authorities through which the Convention is at present largely implemented in certain ports.

The Committee recalls that in its reports the Government has listed some 145 ports and has indicated that local regulations are in force in 60 of them (including all the major ports), and it reiterates its hope that national or local regulations to give full effect to the Convention in all the ports of the country will be adopted in the very near future.

It asks the Government to indicate the measures taken or contemplated to ensure that the requirements of the Convention are complied with in the ports which do not appear to have dock work safety regulations, with the exception of those which may be exempted under Article 15 of the Convention, and, if national regulations have still not been adopted, to supply a complete list of the local port regulations concerning the prevention of accidents, together with copies of the regulations which have not yet been communicated.

Mexico (ratification: 1934)

The Committee notes the explanations provided by the Government according to which the provisions of the Convention which have been incorporated into national law as a result of ratification and the ministerial circular issued to give effect to Articles 4, 6, 11 and 13 thereof are among the labour standards for the non-observance of which section 886 of the Federal Labour Act lays down a fine of 100 to 10,000 pesos and are also among the labour standards for the supervision of which the labour inspection service is responsible. The Committee requests the Government to provide information on the manner in which inspection of dock work is carried out in practice, together with particulars of the numbers of inspection visits made in a given period and of the penalties imposed for infringements of the labour standards for dock work.

The Committee notes the provisions of section 237 of the General Occupational Safety and Health Regulations of 5 June 1978 requiring labour inspectors, in addition to supervising the observance of obliga-
tions derived from international Conventions, to provide technical information and guidance to workers and employers on the best way of complying with legal provisions on safety and health, and requests the Government to provide particulars of the manner in which the provisions of the Convention and of the above-mentioned ministerial circular are brought to the attention of those concerned (such as port authorities, stevedoring companies, shipowners, workers) having regard in particular to the provisions of Article 17 (3) of the Convention, according to which a copy or summary of the relevant safety provisions must be posted up in docks, etc.

Peru (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes with regret that for the third consecutive year the Government's report has not been received. It notes further from the statement of a Government representative to the Conference Committee in 1978 that the Maritime Labour Supervisory Commission and the National Port Undertaking periodically adopted regulatory provisions concerning measures for the prevention of occupational accidents to port workers and that the provisions adopted had been based on the recommendations of an ILO/UNDP technical co-operation project carried out through the Inter-American Centre for Labour Administration.

The Committee has noted that the report of this project contained, in addition to extracts from safety manuals and guidelines for the prevention of accidents, draft safety and health regulations for dock work. Since the last report received from the Government on the Convention, covering the period 1972-74, indicated that no laws or regulations had yet been adopted to ensure its application, the Committee trusts that the Government will indicate the date on which the regulatory provisions referred to in the statement of the Government's representative in 1978 were brought into force and provide a copy thereof.

Singapore (ratification: 1965)

In previous observations, the Committee had drawn the Government's attention to the need to adopt legally binding provisions to give effect to the Convention, which has hitherto been the subject only of administrative instructions (most recently the Safety Code for Port Operations) issued by the Port of Singapore Authority.

The Committee therefore notes with satisfaction the adoption of the Singapore Port Regulations, 1977, which give effect, as regards processes carried out on board ship, to the following provisions of the Convention: Article 3, paragraphs 1 and 2, Articles 6 and 7, Article 9, paragraphs 1 and 2(1)(2)(4) and (5), Article 11, paragraphs 2 and 8, and Article 17(1) and (2) of the Convention. It also notes that a medical centre and ambulance service are provided by the Port Authority in accordance with Article 13, paragraph 1.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Chile, Singapore.
Convention No. 33: Minimum Age (Non-Industrial Employment), 1932

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to Guinea.

Convention No. 35: Old-Age Insurance (Industry, etc.), 1933

France (ratification: 1939)

Article 12 of the Convention. Further to its earlier comments with respect to the "supplementary allowance" payable under sections L.685 and L.707 of the Social Security Code only to French nationals and to nationals of other countries with which an international reciprocity convention has been signed, the Committee notes once again that the difficulties in the implementation of the above provision still exist and that the Government maintains its position that this benefit is in the nature of an assistance allowance and does not fall within the scope of the Convention.

The Committee feels bound to repeat yet again that it does not share this view. In the first place, it observes that the granting of the "supplementary allowance" itself conditional upon the granting of a basic benefit to which it is an accessory - is not in any way dependent upon an individual means test as is customary with an assistance benefit.

In the second place, paragraph 2 of this Article of the Convention provides that foreign insured persons and their dependants shall be entitled under the same conditions as nationals to the benefits derived from the contributions credited to their account. In view of the fact that since 1958 this supplementary allowance is financed almost entirely out of the funds of the general social security scheme (constituted by means of contributions from all insured persons, national and foreign), the Committee can only point out that the method of financing the supplementary allowance precludes its qualifications as an assistance allowance.

The Committee accordingly hopes that the Government will give consideration to the measures necessary to give effect to the Convention on this point, unless it decides to ratify - as regards the Parts relating to invalidity and old-age benefits - the Invalidity, Old-Age and Survivors' Benefits Convention, 1967 (No. 128), which revises, inter alia, Conventions Nos. 35, 36, 37 and 38.

Convention No. 42: Workmen's Compensation (Occupational Diseases) (Revised), 1934

Algeria (ratification: 1962)

Article 2 of the Convention. The Committee takes note of the information supplied by the Government in its report received in 1978, and notes in particular the Order of 23 October 1975 to supplement and revise the schedules of occupational diseases appended to the Order of 22 March 1968. The Committee notes with interest that the new list of occupational diseases appearing in this Order has introduced certain improvements, in relation to the old list, particularly in respect of
schedules Nos. 12 (manifestations due to certain halogenated acyclic hydrocarbons) and 34 (occupational manifestations due to organophosphorus compounds and phosphoramides). The Committee also notes the statement by the Government that the national commission instructed to draw up the list of occupational diseases is continuing its research work to determine all diseases that may be caused by forms of poisoning due to the various substances mentioned in the Convention and by the use of new products or products recently brought into use in production and productivity circuits.

The Committee trusts that this research work will be completed very shortly, and also the reorganisation of social security schemes that the Government has been mentioning for some time, and that the schedules of occupational diseases will be brought into full conformity with the Convention on the following points:

(a) the list of the various pathological manifestations appearing under each "disease" in the left-hand column of the schedules to the Order of 22 March 1968 (as amended) should be of an indicative nature, as in the list of corresponding activities appearing in the right-hand column of these schedules;

(b) the wording of the items concerning poisoning by arsenic (schedules Nos. 20 and 21), manifestations due to the halogen derivatives of hydrocarbons of the aliphatic series (schedules Nos. 3, 11, 12, 26 and 27), poisoning by phosphorus and certain of its compounds (schedules Nos. 5 and 34) should be replaced by wording covering in general terms - like that of the Convention - all manifestations that may be caused by the above-mentioned substances (a wording of this kind would make it possible also to cover diseases that could be caused by the use of new products, as the Government points out in its report); and

(c) the activities that may cause anthrax infection (schedule No. 18) should include the loading and unloading or transport of merchandise in general, so as to cover workers, such as dockers, who may unwittingly have transported merchandise contaminated by anthrax spore.

The Committee requests the Government to report any progress made in this connection.

Czechoslovakia (ratification: 1949)

The Committee notes with satisfaction that the list of occupational diseases appended to Notification No. 128/1975 to apply the Act of 12 November 1975 respecting social security refers expressly to alloys of lead and amalgams of mercury and lists among the tasks likely to lead to anthrax infection the loading and unloading or transport of merchandise in general, as provided by the Convention.

France (ratification: 1948)

The Committee has noted with interest the reply of the Government to its previous comments and also the improvements introduced by Decrees No. 76-34 of 5 January 1976 and No. 77-624 2 June 1977 to the list of occupational diseases and of activities apt to lead to them.

The Committee's comments concerned the restrictive character of the list of pathological manifestations set forth under each of the diseases appearing in the tables of legislation and also the absence
from these tables of an item covering in general terms poisoning by the whole series of halogen derivatives of hydrocarbons of the aliphatic series and by the whole series of phosphorus compounds and the omission from the activities liable to lead to primary epitheliomatous cancer of the skin of processes involving the handling of certain products other than coal-tar pitch. The Committee notes with interest the efforts undertaken by the Government to develop the national legislation in line with the Convention. It notes in particular that the scope of section L.500 of the Social Security Code has been broadened by the Act of 6 December 1976 and that an Occupational Risks Prevention Board has been set up to consider proposals for new revisions of the tables of occupational diseases.

The Committee hopes that the new revisions envisaged will result in the inclusion of the diseases mentioned above in the schedules of occupational diseases, and will give an indicative and not necessarily exhaustive enumeration of the pathological manifestations referred to in these schedules. Such measures would be in line with the Government's stated intention to consider an amendment of the legislation to provide a broader basis for the compensation of occupational diseases.

The Committee requests the Government to indicate any progress made in this connection.

Haiti (ratification: 1955)

The Committee notes with interest the statistics supplied by the Government in its report communicated in June 1978. These statistics are very detailed but only concern occupational accidents and do not relate to the present Convention. The Committee therefore requests the Government to supply similar statistics on occupational diseases to enable it to evaluate the manner in which effect is given to the Convention in practice.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Poland, Spain.

Convention No. 44: Unemployment Provision, 1934

Algeria (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes again the statement by the Government that the International Labour Office will be informed very shortly on the steps taken in respect of the denunciation of this Convention.

Peru (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

The Committee hopes the Government will be able to re-examine the possibility of granting to the involuntarily unemployed persons who come within the scope of the Convention
(with the possible exclusion of the categories listed in Article 2, paragraph 2, of the instrument) either benefits under the social security scheme or an allowance which would not be an insurance benefit but which might be remuneration for employment on relief works organised by a public authority under conditions prescribed by national laws or regulations (Articles 1 and 9 of the Convention).

Spain (ratification: 1971)

Article 10 of the Convention. With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes that there has been no progress for workers who leave their job voluntarily without just cause. The Committee trusts that the Government will be able, in its next report, to indicate the progress made in this respect, since the Convention provides for the suspension of the right of these workers to benefit only during an "appropriate period".

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Spain, Switzerland.

Convention No. 45: Underground Work (Women), 1935

Guinea (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes with regret that once again the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

The Committee recalls that in its previous comments it has noted since 1968 that there is a draft Order to regulate the employment of women and children, sections 8 and 9 of which would give effect to the Convention. The Committee can only hope that this text will be adopted in the near future and requests the Government to indicate any decision made in this respect.

* * *

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to Yugoslavia.

Convention No. 49: Reduction of Hours of Work (Glass-Bottle Works), 1935

Mexico (ratification: 1938)

The Committee pointed out that the collective agreement concluded between the Fábrica Nacional de Vidrio, S.A. (National Glass Company Ltd.) and the Alianza de Trabajadores de la Industria Vidriera (Glassworkers' Alliance) provides for a system comprising only three shifts, hours of work of up to 48 per week and the inapplicability of an average 42-hour week to certain workers, whereas, under the
provisions of the Convention, the workers to whom it applies should be employed under a system providing for at least four shifts (Article 2, paragraph 1), and the hours of work of these workers should not exceed an average of 42 per week (Article 2, paragraph 2).

The Committee has noted the information provided by the Government in its last report to the effect that the collective agreement entered into by the Fábrica Nacional de Vidrio, S.A., and the Alianza de Trabajadores de la Industria Vidriera is the result of free discussion between workers and employers and that the Government refrains from interfering when its advice is not called for. It adds that Article 2, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention, contain standards of a level that are difficult to apply in the economic conditions of the country and that the workers themselves state that these provisions are strictly contrary to their material interests.

The Committee also takes note of the request of the Government to the effect that, when it examines this information, it should advise the Government on the best way of harmonising the provisions of the collective agreement with those of the Convention.

In this connection, the Committee wishes to point out that the provisions of international labour Conventions are always of the nature of minimum standards (as is confirmed by article 19, paragraph 8, of the ILO Constitution). The working conditions provided for in these standards may be improved upon by collective agreements, but these agreements should not be contradictory to the provisions of ratified international Conventions.

The Committee trusts that these clarifications will enable the Government to take suitable measures to ensure the application of this Convention, by legislation or regulations if necessary, and therefore asks the Government to be good enough to indicate any provision it may adopt in this connection.¹

Convention No. 50: Recruiting of Indigenous Workers, 1936

Tanzania (ratification: 1964)

Zanzibar

See General Observation.

* * *

Information supplied by New Zealand in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 52: Holidays with Pay, 1936

The Committee noted that several States which have ratified the Holidays with Pay Convention, 1936 (No. 52), have difficulty with the application of the principle laid down in Article 2, paragraph 1, that

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
an annual holiday of at least six working days must be taken each year. The Committee notes moreover that the Holidays with Pay Convention (Revised), 1970 (No. 132), (which provides for an annual holiday of at least three working weeks) authorises the postponement of only that part of the annual holiday which exceeds a stated minimum, for the fixing of which the Convention lays down certain requirements.

The legislation of several States allows holidays to be accumulated in special conditions for two or three consecutive years. Some governments have indicated in their reports on the application of Convention No. 52 that people working a long way away from their usual home, particularly migrant workers, prefer to postpone their holiday entitlement from one year to another to make better use of more extended leave, in view, on the one hand, of the length and high cost of the journey home from the place of work and, on the other hand, of the fact that it is impossible for workers to have proper beneficial rest when they are a long way from their families and often in regions with difficult climatic conditions. One government considers that the ability to accumulate holidays, in whole or in part, should be regarded as an advantage accorded to workers in special conditions and that if this possibility were removed it would be a substantial limitation of their rights and harmful to their interests.

The Committee, which is called on by its terms of reference to pronounce on the conformity or otherwise of national legislation and practice with obligations consequent upon the ratification of a Convention, has repeatedly indicated that legislation and practice authorising the accumulation of the whole of the annual holiday are incompatible with the requirements of Convention No. 52, under which a holiday of at least six working days must be taken each year. As the Committee has previously pointed out, one of the purposes of an annual holiday with pay is to enable the worker to recover the bodily and mental energies expended during the year. The Committee, however, considers it appropriate to draw attention to the difficulties encountered by some States in this matter.

Brazil (ratification: 1938)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that a Ministerial Order of 7 October 1976 provides that the division of annual holidays with pay into parts shall be made in such a way that the worker takes at least seven days every year; and that a later Legislative Decree (No. 1535 of 13 April 1977) amends certain provisions of the Consolidated Labour Laws in conformity with the provisions of the Convention.

Byelorussian SSR (ratification: 1956)

In reply to the previous observation of the Committee, the Government refers to the statement in its 1977 report that deferment of annual leave to the following year, as authorised in exceptional cases by section 74 of the Labour Code, is not regarded by the legislation and practice as cancellation of the right to leave, and it adds that legislation guarantees workers longer leave than is provided for in the Convention.

In this regard, the Committee wishes to stress that the Convention only lays down a minimum leave, but that this minimum of six days has to be taken each year. Any other interpretation would be contrary to the intention of the Conference which, when it adopted the Convention, took out of the draft Convention the very provision which
would have allowed deferment of annual leave to a subsequent year in exceptional cases (see International Labour Conference, 20th Session, 1936: Record of Proceedings, page 633).

The Committee therefore hopes that the Government will re-examine the question and take appropriate measures to bring the legislation on this point into conformity with the Convention.

**Cuba (ratification: 1953)**

Article 2, paragraph 1, of the Convention. With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes from the report of the Government that no measure has yet been adopted to ensure that a worker cannot be deprived of the minimum annual holiday of six working days prescribed by the Convention in virtue of Resolution No. 111 of 1965, section 1, paragraphs F and G, which concern the temporary postponement of holidays. It has, however, noted the statement by the Government, in its report for 1976 on the application of Convention No. 91, that a study is being carried out to revise and improve the labour legislation. The Committee therefore requests the Government to indicate any progress made with a view to bringing the legislation into conformity with this provision of the Convention.

**Gabon (ratification: 1961)**

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that the 1978 Labour Code has not taken over the provisions of the 1962 Labour Code that permitted, contrary to Articles 2 and 4 of the Convention, to delay the holiday by 24 or 30 months, depending on the case.

**Italy (ratification: 1952)**

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes the information supplied by the Government to the effect that workers enjoy in general an annual holiday with pay of from 4 weeks to 30 working days, depending on the case. It also notes Circulars of the Ministry of Labour and Social Welfare Nos. 239/75 and 67/78 respecting the suspension of holidays on account of sickness. The Committee requests the Government to be good enough to supply any legal provisions of general scope that may be adopted in this matter.

**Morocco (ratification: 1956)**

With reference to its previous comments concerning section 16 of the Dahir of 9 January 1946, which allows the accumulation of holidays in certain circumstances, the Committee has noted that the Government has, since 1969, been stating its intention of adopting provisions in the future Labour Code to provide that the accumulation or division into parts of a holiday cannot have the effect of reducing the length of the holiday taken each year to a period shorter than six working days.

As the Government states in its latest report that the Labour Code has not yet been issued, the Committee trusts that this Code or other suitable texts will be adopted shortly so that the accumulation or division into parts of the holidays of staff employed in industrial enterprises or establishments cannot have the effect of reducing the
length of the annual holiday to a period shorter than the minimum prescribed by Article 2, paragraph 1, of the Convention.  

Peru (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

**Article 4 of the Convention.** In its previous comments, the Committee pointed out that the single section of Supreme Decree No. 4 DT of 26 November 1957 and section 13 of Supreme Decree No. 17 of 24 October 1961 permitting holidays due being carried forward over a period of two consecutive years, are not in conformity with the Convention. It recalls that the persons covered by the Convention are entitled to a compulsory annual holiday of at least six working days and that any agreement to relinquish this right must be considered as void. The Committee therefore requests the Government to take the necessary measures to bring this point of the national legislation into conformity with the Convention.

Ukrainian SSR (ratification: 1956)

The Government, referring to the previous observations of the Committee, states that the carrying over of annual holiday to the following year, which is authorised by section 80 of the Labour Code in exceptional cases (when the granting of a holiday to a worker during the current year may adversely affect the running of the enterprise) with the agreement of the worker and of the trade union committee, is not regarded under the law and practice of the Ukrainian SSR as a cancellation of the worker's right to annual leave but rather as an exception constituting an additional guarantee for the observance of this right. Though it notes these explanations, the Committee recalls that, under the Convention, the persons it covers are entitled to a holiday of at least six working days every year and that only a fraction of the holiday exceeding this minimum can therefore be carried over (Article 2, paragraphs 1 and 4). It therefore again requests the Government to take the necessary measures to bring the legislation into harmony with the Convention on this point.

USSR (ratification: 1956)

The Committee notes the explanations given by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1977 and also the information provided in the report in reply to its previous comments.

1. With regard to the postponement of the annual holiday to the following year in exceptional circumstances (under section 74(2) of the Labour Code of the Russian SFSR and similar provisions in the codes of the other Republics of the Union) and the right to accumulate holidays, in whole or in part, for a maximum of three years in the Far North and assimilated areas (under section 251 of the Labour Code of the RSFSR) the Government repeats its statement that it considers these provisions to be in conformity with the Convention and to the advantage of the workers, and adds that the conditions regarding holidays that apply to workers in the USSR are superior to those laid down by the Convention.

---

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
The Committee wishes to point out that the Convention provides only for a minimum period of holidays but that this minimum period of six working days must be taken every year. Any other interpretation would conflict with the intention of the Conference, which, when it adopted the Convention, removed from the draft the very provision laying down, as an exceptional measure, the postponement of the annual holiday to a later year (see International Labour Conference, 20th Session, 1936: Record of Proceedings, page 633). The Committee therefore hopes that the Government will re-examine the question and take suitable steps to bring the legislation into conformity with the Convention on these points.

2. The Committee notes with interest the statement by the Government that on the occasion of the drafting of Soviet legislation concerning holidays, it might propose the inclusion of a similar provision to that already existing in the legislation of certain republics to allow the division of the annual holiday only in exceptional cases and on condition that each part is not shorter than seven days. This provision would ensure conformity with Article 2, paragraph 4, of the Convention.1

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Chad, Ivory Coast, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya.

Convention No. 53: Officers' Competency Certificates, 1936

Mauritania (ratification: 1963)

Article 4 of the Convention. Further to its previous comments, the Committee notes that, under section 90 of the new Merchant Shipping Code of 1978, the conditions governing the acquisition of certificates of competency will be fixed by order. It trusts that the order to be issued under the Code, which was already provided for by the old Merchant Shipping Code of 1962, will be adopted in the near future.

Panama (ratification: 1970)

Article 3 of the Convention. Further to its previous comments, the Committee notes with regret that no provision has yet been adopted to ensure that no person shall be engaged to perform or shall perform on board a vessel the duties listed in this Article, unless he holds the corresponding certificate of competency. The Committee notes the draft texts mentioned by the Government in its last two reports and trusts that it will shortly take the necessary steps to give effect to the Convention, possibly calling on the technical co-operation of the ILO, as it expressed the intention of doing at the 61st Session of the Conference in June 1976, with a view to drawing up legislation in conformity with the provisions of the maritime conventions that it has ratified.

Article 5. The Committee notes with interest the creation of the Maritime Security Department, responsible for the inspection of vessels

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
sailing under the Panamanian flag, which will shortly verify the professional qualifications of seamen. It therefore hopes that the necessary legal provisions, mentioned above, will be adopted in time to fix the criteria required for the verification in question.

Furthermore, the Committee again requests the Government to provide the text of any regulations issued under section 6 of Act No. 39 of 8 July 1976 in order to ensure the efficient functioning of the inspection service.

* * *

In addition, a request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to the Libyan Arab Jamahiriyaa.

Convention No. 55: Shipowners' Liability (Sick and Injured Seamen), 1936

**Greece** (ratification: 1968)

Article 11 of the Convention. With reference to its earlier comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that Act No. 451 of 11 October 1976 amends section 83, paragraph 1, of the Code of Private Maritime Law so as to ensure equality of treatment, in the event of accident or illness, between national and foreign seamen without any condition of reciprocity, as provided by the Convention.

**Liberia** (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes with regret that for the third year in succession the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation, which read as follows:

Article 1. paragraph 2, of the Convention. The Government indicates that an amendment of section 290, paragraph 2(a), of the Maritime Law of 1964 is being prepared for the purpose of extending the scope of the Act to cover vessels of 20 tons or more (under the present wording of section 290 of this Act only vessels of 75 tons and more are covered), and that it is hoped that this amendment will come into force before the end of 1976.

The Committee notes the statement with interest and hopes that the Government will be able in its next report to indicate the adoption and entry into force of this amendment.

Article 2, paragraph 1. The Committee notes the Government's view that section 336 of the Maritime Law provides for payment of the wages, maintenance and medical care of the seaman in case of sickness while he is off the vessel by the authority of the Master. However, this section refers to only a seaman who is "off the vessel pursuant to an actual mission assigned to him by, or by the authority of, the Master" and it seems clear that this wording is intended to cover only seamen who are off the vessel pursuant to a mission assigned to them either by the Master or by some other person acting with the authority of the Master. The Committee therefore hopes that advantage will be taken of the steps currently being taken to amend the Maritime Law to amend also section 336, so as to provide that the shipowner will be liable in all cases of sickness and injury occurring between the date specified in the
articles of agreement for reporting for duty and the termination of the engagement, in accordance with Article 2 of the Convention.

Article 6, paragraph 2(d). In its previous observations and direct requests, the Committee called the Government's attention to the fact that section 342, subsection 1(b), of the above-mentioned law does not provide for the necessity of obtaining the competent authority's approval when repatriation has to be made to a port other than where the sick or injured person was engaged or the voyage commenced. The Committee duly notes the Government's statement that although this matter had not been the subject of complaints, these comments were being considered at present. Since this point has been raised since 1969, the Committee hopes that section 342, subsection 1(b), of the Maritime Law of 1964 will be amended in the near future, when the amendment to section 290 mentioned above is effected, for example, in order to give full effect to this provision of the Convention.

Peru (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes that the report of the Government for the period ending June 1978 introduces no new element in reply to its previous comments. It is thus bound to refer again to points raised in its comments:

Article 1 of the Convention. The Committee asks the Government to state whether the provisions respecting the articles of agreement of crew members (trabajadores embarcados) contained in Chapter XIV, sections 663 to 696 of the Regulations concerning Harbour Masters and the Mercantile Marine (approved by Presidential Decree No. 21 of 1951) also cover workers employed on board fishing vessels (other than coastwise boats, which can be excluded from the application of the Convention). If not, the Government is asked to indicate the provisions under which the protection provided for by the Convention is guaranteed to these workers.

Articles 4 and 5. The Committee asks the Government to state how a sick or injured seaman whose state of health calls for his being put ashore in a foreign port receives in practice - for at least 16 weeks from the beginning of his sickness or the day of his injury - medical care and cash benefits (wages in whole or in part if there are dependants or sickness benefit under various insurance schemes) provided for by the Convention.

Article 7. The Committee asks the Government to indicate the measures taken or under consideration to establish the liability of a shipowner to defray burial expenses in case of death occurring on board or on shore where the seaman does not meet the qualifying conditions for entitlement to these benefits under the social insurance legislation (Acts No. 8433 of 1936 and No. 13724 of 1961 respectively). In its previous reports, the Government has referred to its intention of introducing a national health insurance scheme that would contain provisions to this effect, but the scheme does not yet seem to have been adopted.

Article 8. The Committee asks the Government to state whether steps have been taken to introduce in the above-mentioned Regulations

---

1 The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session.
concerning Harbour Masters and the Mercantile Marine an express provision laying down - in conformity with the Convention - that the shipowner or his representative shall take measures for safeguarding property left on board by sick, injured or deceased seamen.

The Committee hopes that the Government will provide the information it has requested and indicate the measures adopted to ensure the full application of the above-mentioned provisions of the Conventions.¹

**Tunisia** (ratification: 1970)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with interest the statement by the Government in its last report to the effect that the comments in question have been referred to the Ministry of Transport and Communications, which has been invited to take the necessary steps to ensure that the national legislation is in harmony with the provisions of the Convention. The Committee hopes that these measures will be adopted in the near future and that they will ensure the full application of Articles 4, 5 and 11 of the Convention to seamen engaged by the voyage and to non-resident foreign seamen from the time when they are landed at a Tunisian or foreign port. In particular, the scope of social insurance should be widened to cover this group of seafarers or the liability of the shipowner should be extended to at least 16 weeks from the day of the accident or the beginning of the sickness of the persons concerned.

The Committee requests the Government to indicate any progress made in this connection.

**In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Mexico, Panama, Spain.**

**Convention No. 56: Sickness Insurance (Sea), 1936**

**Peru** (ratification: 1962)

Article 3 of the Convention. See under Convention No. 24, Article 4.

Article 6. In reply to the previous comments of the Committee concerning the need to provide for the maintenance as of right of the benefit of compulsory insurance for the seaman after the termination of his last engagement for a period to be fixed by national laws or regulations in such a way as to cover the normal interval between successive engagements, the Government has stated, in its reports for 1976 and 1977 and before the Conference Committee in 1976, that the preliminary draft legislative decree respecting the institution of a national social security system provides that assistance benefits shall be granted to the insured person, his wife and his children, for a period of three months after the payment of the last contribution. In its report received in 1978 the Government confirms this information.

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
but does not state whether the above-mentioned text has been adopted and put into effect. The Committee can therefore only reiterate the question and ask the Government to state whether the national social security system has been established, and if so, to supply the relevant text.¹

* *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Panama, Spain.

Information supplied by France in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 58: Minimum Age (Sea) (Revised), 1936

Liberia (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes with regret that for three consecutive years the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observations which read as follows:

Under section 290(2)(a) of the Maritime Law (as amended by the Merchant Seamen's Act, 1964), the provisions laying down the minimum age for admission to employment at sea do not apply to vessels of less than 75 net tons and that, under section 326 of the same Law, those provisions apply only to vessels engaged in foreign trade. These exclusions are not in conformity with the Convention, which applies to all ships and boats, of any nature whatsoever, engaged in maritime navigation.

The Government has stated since 1973 that a new Labour Code would ensure the full application of the Convention. The Committee regrets, however, that the report due this year has not been supplied and that no information is accordingly available on the progress made in eliminating the above-mentioned discrepancies. It trusts that the necessary provisions will be adopted at an early date.

Convention No. 62: Safety Provisions (Building), 1937

Colombia (ratification: 1967)

The Committee notes that the Government intends to incorporate the provisions of the Convention into national legislation. Since, as the Committee has already pointed out, there are no legally binding standards to give effect to the Convention, it trusts that laws or regulations will be adopted shortly which will ensure the application of all the requirements of the Convention.

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
Guinea (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes with regret that for the second consecutive year the Government’s report has not been received. It recalls that it has been drawing attention since 1968 to the need to ensure the application of Article 7, paragraphs 1, 2 and 5-8; Article 8, paragraph 1(a); Article 9, paragraph 3; Article 10, paragraph 5; and Articles 12-16 of the Convention. It further recalls that in 1973 the Government stated its intention to adopt provisions to give effect to these requirements of the Convention. The Committee hopes that appropriate measures will be taken in the near future.

Honduras (ratification: 1964)

The Committee notes with satisfaction that, as a result of direct contacts which took place between the competent national services and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO, regulations concerning safety provisions in the building industry have been issued on 20 April 1978, which give effect to Articles 3(a), 7, paragraphs 1, 2 and 7, Article 10, paragraph 3, Articles 11 and 13, paragraph 2, and Article 14 of the Convention.

Mauritania (ratification: 1963)

The Committee notes with regret from the Government’s report that no measures have yet been taken to prescribe a minimum age for crane operators and signallers as required by Article 13, paragraph 2, of the Convention. It trusts that the necessary measures will be taken shortly.

Mexico (ratification: 1941)

In previous observations, the Committee had noted that effect was given only to certain provisions of the Convention through the Regulations for the Prevention of Occupational Accidents of 1934 and a limited number of provisions adopted at the state level.

The Committee therefore notes with satisfaction that the General Occupational Safety and Health Regulations of 5 June 1978, applicable throughout the national territory, and the Construction Regulations for the Federal District of 14 December 1976, introduce provisions which, together with the 1934 Regulations which remain in force, give a very substantial effect to the requirements of the Convention. A number of specific questions are being pursued in a direct request.

Peru (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes that the Ministry of Housing and Construction is working out safety rules for building operations. It recalls that the question of the revision of the National Building Regulations has been mentioned by the Government since 1975 and once again expresses the firm hope that measures will be taken in the near future to ensure the application of Articles 3, 10, 13(2), 15(1), 16, 17 and 18 of the Convention.

The Committee once again requests the Government to supply information on the system of labour inspection by which the enforcement is ensured of the legal provisions in force concerning safety in building work (Article 4) as well as the statistical data on the number
and classification of accidents to persons employed on types of work covered by the Convention (Article 6).

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Denmark, Guatemala, Mexico.

Convention No. 64: Contracts of Employment (Indigenous Workers), 1939

Guyana (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes with interest that the Government has decided to take steps to provide the protection foreseen in the various provisions of the Convention for all indigenous workers covered by it. The Committee accordingly hopes that legislation will be soon adopted to give effect to the Convention on the following points: medical examination (Article 7), minimum age (Article 8), repatriation (Article 13), transport (Article 15) and the responsibilities of various authorities (Article 19).

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Lesotho, Mauritius, New Zealand.

Convention No. 65: Penal Sanctions (Indigenous Workers), 1939

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Mauritius, Tanzania (Tanganyika).

Convention No. 67: Hours of Work and Rest Periods (Road Transport), 1939

Cuba (ratification: 1953)

Article 18, paragraph 3, of the Convention. The Committee notes that the report of the Government contains no new information in reply to its previous comments.

The Committee noted in its previous comments the Government's statement that the provisions of the Convention relating to controls are applied in practice. It recalls that the persons covered by the Convention should have in their possession during working hours, the individual control books prescribed by the competent authority, in accordance with the requirements of this provision of the Convention. It therefore hopes that the Government will in the near future take the necessary steps to give effect to this provision of the Convention.

* * *

In addition, a request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to Peru.
Convention No. 68: Food and Catering (Ships' Crews), 1946

Argentina (ratification: 1956)

The Committee notes with regret that the necessary legislative measures proposed by the Government since the entry into force of the Convention for Argentina have not yet been taken. It recalls that following direct contacts between the competent government authorities and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO, a special commission was set up to prepare these measures in 1973. The Committee notes that the latest information supplied by the Government refers to a preliminary draft of a text respecting the status of seagoing personnel containing provisions to give effect to the Convention.

Since at present only very limited effect is given to the Convention by the statutory provisions and collective agreements mentioned in recent reports, the Committee trusts that the Government will shortly take the necessary measures to secure the full application of the Convention.

Panama (ratification: 1970)

The Committee trusts that the Government will shortly take the necessary measures to give effect to the Convention, possibly calling on the assistance of an ILO expert, as it expressed the intention of doing in its previous report.

Articles 6, 9, 10 and 11 of the Convention. With regard to the inspection system to supervise the application of the relevant provisions, see under Convention No. 53, Article 5.¹

Spain (ratification: 1971)

Article 2(a) of the Convention. The Committee notes the statement by the Government that the work on the special annex to the General Ordinance on Occupational Safety and Health in the Merchant Shipping Sector is not yet finished. It also notes that the general collective agreement for merchant shipping provides for the setting up of a study committee to review and bring up to date the labour standards in force in this sector and to propose such amendments as it thinks fit. The Committee expresses once more the hope that suitable regulations will be adopted shortly in respect of the construction, location, ventilation, heating, lighting, water system and equipment of galleys.

Article 2(d) and Article 12. The Committee notes the information supplied in the report. It points out that, under these provisions of the Convention, various measures must be taken concerning research and the collection and dissemination of information in respect of food and catering. The Committee hopes that the next report will indicate the progress made in this connection.

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
Convention No. 77: Medical Examination of Young Persons (Industry), 1946

Algeria (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes with interest that Act No. 78-12 dated 5 August 1978 concerning the general status of the worker provides for the pre-employment medical examination of workers, for their preventive medical supervision and for special measures for handicapped workers, thus giving partial effect to the Convention with regard to workers employed in the public and socialist sectors. The further measures necessary for the full application of the Convention in these sectors are indicated in a direct request.

Philippines (ratification: 1960)

The Committee has noted that the legislation which gave effect to the Convention has been repealed following the adoption of the Labour Code of 1974 and its implementing regulations, but that the latter contained only very limited provisions concerning the medical examination of young persons in certain classes of establishments.

In its latest report, the Government again refers to section 9, Rule I, Book IV of the Rules and Regulations implementing the Labour Code, according to which physicians engaged by employers pursuant to that rule are required to conduct free pre-employment medical examinations for the proper selection and placement of workers and free annual physical examination of the workers. As has already been pointed out by the Committee, the provision only applies to workplaces employing more than 200 workers, so that no provision is made for the medical examination of young persons employed in workplaces with fewer than 200 workers (except apprentices who are covered by sections 11 and 14, Rule VI, Book II of the implementing Rules and Regulations).

The Committee notes with interest however that Policy Instruction No. 23, referred to in the Government's report on Convention No. 90, contains regulations which give effect to certain provisions of Convention No. 77 as regards young workers in industrial undertakings irrespective of their size, by providing that no young persons under 18 years of age shall be admitted to employment in such undertakings unless they have been found fit for the work on which they are to be employed by a thorough pre-employment medical examination, as required by Article 2, paragraph 1, of the Convention, and by providing that the physician engaged by an employer shall, as well as the pre-employment medical examination, conduct a free annual physical examination of the workers, as required by Article 3, paragraph 1. The Committee refers on this matter to its observation under Convention No. 9 concerning the publication of these regulations in accordance with section 5 of the Labour Code.

The Committee is addressing a direct request to the Government with a view to clarifying the manner in which effect is given to certain other provisions of the Convention, and hopes that in its next report the Government will be able to provide information confirming that the Convention is fully applied to young workers in all industrial undertakings, whatever their size.

In so far as supplementary measures may still be necessary, it recalls that, within the framework of the direct contacts referred to in its observation of 1978, suggestions were made in a technical memorandum sent to the Government in September 1977 as to the measures
which might be taken to ensure the full application of the Convention. The Government may wish to bear these suggestions in mind in determining any further measures which may need to be taken to give full effect to the Convention.  

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Algeria, Bolivia, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Spain, Tunisia.

Convention No. 78: Medical Examination of Young Persons (Non-Industrial Occupations), 1946

**France** (ratification: 1951)

Further to its previous comments the Committee notes with satisfaction that Decree No. 75-882 dated 22 September 1975 has introduced regulations concerning the medical supervision of domestic employees and caretakers of blocks of flats employed on a full-time basis.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Bolivia, Ecuador, France, Honduras, Iraq, Israel, Peru, Spain.

Convention No. 79: Night Work of Young Persons (Non-Industrial Occupations), 1946

Information supplied by Guatemala in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 81: Labour Inspection, 1947

**Chad** (ratification: 1964)

1. The Committee regrets to note that since 1971 no report has been provided by the Government and that consequently the Committee does not have available to it sufficient information to measure the application of Articles 7, paragraph 3; 11, paragraph 2; 12, paragraph 2; and 13, paragraph 2(b) of the Convention.

The Committee is bound therefore to raise these points again in a fresh direct request and hopes that the Government will not fail to provide the information requested.

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
2. **Articles 20 and 21 of the Convention.** The Committee has noted that the last annual report of the Department of Labour, Manpower and Social Welfare received in the ILO related to 1970. It hopes that the Government will take all necessary measures to ensure the publication and communication to the ILO of the annual inspection reports, that they will contain all the information specified in Article 21 of the Convention and that in future the time limits prescribed by Article 20 of the Convention will be respected.

**France** (ratification: 1950)

The Committee notes the comments of the CGT Social Affairs General Union, the CFDT Labour and Employment National Union and the General Confederation of Labour on the application of the following Articles of the Convention: Article 3, paragraph 2 (duties entrusted to inspectors); Article 6 (stability of employment and independence of the inspectors); Article 9 (collaboration of technical experts and specialists with the labour inspectorate); Article 10 (number of labour inspectors); Article 11 (material facilities for the inspectorate); Article 17 (prompt legal proceedings against offenders); Article 18 (penalties for violations of the legal provisions). The reply of the Government to the comments by the above-mentioned organisations has arrived during the present session of the Committee, which is obliged to postpone its examination to the next session.

2. **Articles 20 and 21.** The Committee notes with interest the information provided by the Government in reply to its previous comments. It also takes note of Circular No. 6-78 of 13 March 1978 intended to facilitate the preparation, starting with the year 1977, of an annual report on the work of the labour inspection services in accordance with the provisions of this Convention. The Committee therefore hopes that the Government will now be able to publish and transmit regularly to the ILO, within the periods laid down, annual inspection reports containing all the information mentioned in Article 21 of the Convention. The Committee also hopes that statistics relating to the work of the inspection services in the overseas departments will in future be included in the annual inspection report for the metropolitan territory, unless the Government prefers to publish this information separately.

**Guinea** (ratification: 1959)

The Committee notes with regret that for the second year in succession no report has been received. Consequently, it can but renew its previous comments:

**Article 13, paragraph 2(b) of the Convention.** The Committee recalls that the national legislation contains no provisions empowering labour inspectors to make or to have made orders requiring measures with immediate executory force in the event of imminent danger. It hopes that appropriate provisions will be adopted soon.

**Article 20.** The Committee notes with regret that, despite its repeated observations, no annual report on the work of the labour inspectorate has been published since the Convention was ratified. It can only stress once again the importance of publishing an annual report on the inspection service, which constitutes a summing up of the Government's activities for the protection of the workers, and it urges the Government to take, in the near future, the necessary steps to apply Article 20 of the Convention.
Haiti (ratification: 1952)

Article 6 of the Convention. The Committee notes that the report of the Government contains no information in reply to the comments that it has been making since 1955. It is therefore bound to request the Government once again to communicate the text of all provisions – other than section 366 of the Act of 18 September 1967 and section 496 of the Labour Code – that govern the status and conditions of service of the staff of the labour inspectorate.

Articles 20 and 21. The Committee has examined the inspection report for the year 1976-77, which has been communicated by the Government. It wishes, however, to point out that this report, like the previous reports, does not appear to be in full conformity with the Convention for the following reasons. Firstly, the report does not appear to have been published and cannot therefore be considered to give effect to Article 20 of the Convention. This Article provides for the publication (and not only the preparation) by the central inspection authority of an annual report on the work of the inspection services and for its transmission to the ILO within prescribed periods. Secondly, the report transmitted by the Government, contrary to the provisions of section 505 of the Labour Code and section 379 of the above-mentioned Act of 1967, does not contain the following information prescribed by Article 21 of the Convention: (a) a list of the legislation relevant to the work of the inspection service; (b) the size of the inspection staff; (c) statistics of workplaces liable to inspection; (f) statistics of industrial accidents; (g) statistics of occupational diseases. The Committee would be grateful if the Government would take the necessary measures so that in future the annual inspection report shall be regularly published and transmitted to the ILO and shall contain all the information laid down in Article 21 of the Convention.

Ireland (ratification: 1951)

With reference to its previous observation, the Committee notes the information provided by the Government in its report for 1976-77, which arrived too late to be examined at the 1978 Session; this information was provided in response to the comments of the Irish Congress of Trade Unions. The Committee also notes the new comments made by the Congress on certain points and the statement by the Government in its last report, for 1977-78, to the effect that these comments are under study and will be dealt with in the next detailed report. In these circumstances, the Committee would be grateful if the Government would provide detailed information for examination at its next session on the points that it is raising in a direct request.

Madagascar (ratification: 1970)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction the adoption of Decree No. 78/225 of 24 July 1978, which abolishes the limitation on the number of women in the labour inspectorate provided for by section 5, subsection 2, of Decree No. 61/226 of 19 May 1961 respecting the special status of inspectors of labour and social legislation.

Mauritania (ratification: 1963)

1. With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in respect of Articles 7, 9 and 10 of the Convention.
2. **Article 19 of the Convention.** In reply to the previous comments of the Committee, the Government states that this provision of the Convention is applied through section 19, Title III, Book V, of the Labour Code and that, furthermore, Order No. 10578 of 31 October 1964 requires employers to supply certain information called for by the Convention. The Committee considers that the laws and regulations mentioned by the Government are not sufficient to ensure the application of Article 19 of the Convention. The above-mentioned section 19, Title III, Book V, of the Labour Code concerns rather the preparation by the central authority of an annual general report on the activity of various services and bodies working for the application of the social legislation. As to Order No. 10578 of 31 October 1964, it provides, according to the Government, for the obligation of employers to communicate certain information. Article 19 of the Convention, however, deals with the obligation of labour inspectors or local inspection offices to submit periodical reports on the results of their activities. The Committee is aware of the administrative difficulties referred to by the Government in its report, but again expresses the hope that the Government, in accordance with the assurance given in its report for 1972-74, may be able to take the necessary steps, in accordance with this provision of the Convention, to ensure the submission to the central inspection authority of periodical inspection reports by the inspectors or local inspection offices.

3. **Articles 20 and 21.** The Committee notes with interest the statement by the Government that it will shortly transmit to the ILO the reports required by Article 20 of the Convention. Since no inspection report, however, has been transmitted since the ratification of the Convention, the Committee hopes that such a report, containing all the information required by Article 21 of the Convention, will shortly reach the ILO and that in future annual inspection reports will be published and transmitted regularly within the periods laid down by Article 20.

4. Furthermore, the Committee calls the attention of the Government to certain points that it raises in a direct request.

**Paraguay** (ratification: 1967)

*Articles 20 and 21 of the Convention.* In reply to the previous comments of the Committee, the Government has provided statistics concerning the complaints received. The Committee notes these statistics, but observes that the report of the Government contains no information on measures taken to publish and transmit to the ILO, within the periods laid down by Article 20 of the Convention, annual inspection reports containing all the information laid down in Article 21. Since no inspection report has been received since the ratification of the Convention, the Committee can only urge the Government to take the necessary measures to give effect to these Articles of the Convention.

**Portugal** (ratification: 1962)

Referring to its general observation of 1976 with respect to Article 3, paragraph 1(c), of the Convention, the Committee has noted with satisfaction the adoption of Legislative Decree No. 48/78 of 21 March 1978, section 3(1)(c) of which gives full effect to this provision of the Convention.

The Committee wishes to draw the Government’s attention to certain points which it is raising in a direct request.
Sri Lanka (ratification: 1956)

Article 12, paragraphs 2 and 3, of the Convention. With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction the adoption of the Factories (Amendment) Law (No. 12 of 1976), section 44 of which provides for the right of labour inspectors to have orders made requiring measures with immediate executory force in accordance with this Article of the Convention.

Tanzania (ratification: 1962)

Tanzania

The Committee notes with regret that for the second year in succession the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

Article 20 and 21 of the Convention. The Committee notes that no annual inspection report has been published since 1963. It recalls that the Government in its report on the application of the Convention for the period 1974-75 stated that the reports on the activity of the labour inspectorate were being prepared. Since no information on this matter has since been received, the Committee again expresses the hope that the Government will soon take the necessary measures to ensure the publication and transmission to the ILO of annual inspection reports in accordance with the provisions of the Convention.

Yugoslavia (ratification: 1955)

Yugoslavia

The Committee has noted the information supplied by the Government in its report on the organization of the inspection services in the various federated republics and autonomous provinces. In particular, it has noted the Government's reply regarding Article 3, paragraph 2, and Article 12, paragraph 1(c)(i) and (iv). It nevertheless wishes to draw the attention of the Government to the following points:

Article 12, paragraph 1(a), of the Convention. The Committee has noted the Government's statement that inspectors are empowered to enter establishments at any time when the workers are working. The Committee would be grateful if the Government would specify the statutory provisions by which these powers are granted to inspectors in each republic and province. The Government is also requested to indicate whether these provisions give inspectors the right to enter establishments outside working hours when they have reasonable cause to believe that the workers are working in contravention of the statutory provisions or to satisfy themselves that the prescribed safety measures are being observed when the machines are not in operation.

Article 14. The Committee notes the statement of the Government that the legislation of all the republics and provinces obliges undertakings to notify the labour inspectorate of serious cases of occupational injury. The Committee would be grateful if the Government would state what are the relevant legal provisions and clarify whether the term "occupational injury" also covers occupational disease.

Article 15(c). The Committee notes from the information provided by the Government that only the legislation of the republics of Slovenia and Serbia applies these provisions of the Convention. In the other republics and autonomous provinces the obligation to treat
complaints from workers as confidential has not been introduced into the legislation, since it has been considered that the efficiency of labour inspection is best served by publicity. In this respect the Committee wishes to stress the importance of this provision of the Convention, the purpose of which is to protect the authors of complaints against possible reprisals; the absence of such protection may lead the workers - or at least certain workers - to refrain from drawing the attention of the supervisory bodies to defects in undertakings. In this connection the Committee has nevertheless noted the Government's statement that the Federal Labour and Employment Committee, in consultation with the competent bodies in the republics and provinces, will co-ordinate the search for uniform solutions to the questions raised by the Committee of Experts and the adoption of a common stand on the need to take measures to secure the full application of a ratified Convention. The Committee accordingly hopes that the Government will be in a position to re-examine the situation and that the necessary steps will be taken to remind inspectors, for example by means of written instructions, that they must treat the source of all complaints as confidential and refrain from revealing to the responsible persons in the undertakings that an inspection has been made following a complaint.

Zaire (ratification: 1968)

Articles 20 and 21 of the Convention. In its earlier observations the Committee drew the Government's attention to the fact that no inspection report had been sent to the ILO since the Convention was ratified. Since the Government's report contains no information on this question, the Committee feels obliged once again to urge the Government to take the necessary steps to ensure the regular publication of annual inspection reports containing all the information required under Article 21 of the Convention, and to communicate them to the ILO within the time limits fixed by Article 20.

* *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Australia, Burundi, Chad, Colombia, Denmark, France, Haiti, Iraq, Ireland, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritania, Paraguay, Portugal, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Syrian Arab Republic, Tanzania (Tanganyika), Upper Volta, Yugoslavia, Zaire.

Convention No. 84: Right of Association (Non-Metropolitan Territories), 1947

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Fiji, Mauritania, Somalia, Zaire.

Convention No. 87: Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise, 1948

One member of the Committee, Mr. Gubinski, stated that he did not associate himself with the comments regarding the observance by the Byelorussian SSR, Czechoslovakia, Bulgaria, Cuba, Hungary, Mongolia, Poland, Romania, the Ukrainian SSR and the USSR of the provisions of
Convention No. 87 because in his view sufficient account had not been taken of the economic and social conditions existing in these countries. He considered that, if the Committee took account of the fact that there existed in the world today different social and economic systems and that consequently the manner in which the life of society was organised was not everywhere the same, it would reach the conclusion that the situation in these countries was not in conflict with the provisions of the Convention.

Another member of the Committee, Mr. Tunkin, stated that he could not agree with the observations of the Committee in relation to the USSR, the Ukrainian SSR, the Byelorussian SSR and several other socialist countries. He emphasised that in the world of today characterised by the existence of different social, economic, political and legal systems, norms of universal international Conventions, which were generally democratic in their social nature, might engender in the course of their implementation norms of municipal legal systems which might be socialist or capitalist. This meant that social realities produced as a result of the implementation of international labour Conventions or social realities with which these Conventions were confronted might be different in the capitalist and socialist countries although in both cases these realities might be in conformity with the Conventions. It was especially true of those Conventions that touched upon fundamental principles and structures of the existing social systems, as Convention No. 87. In this situation there was a tendency to assume that the methods and results of the implementation of these Conventions in the capitalist countries were the only ones which were in conformity with the Conventions. This approach to the implementation of these Conventions made itself felt on some occasions and in particular in the Committee's observations relating to the application of Convention No. 87 in several socialist countries. Mr. Tunkin stated further that such an approach was incompatible with the very foundation of contemporary international law, which was peaceful coexistence of States with differing social and economic systems. In this particular case, it resulted in an entirely wrong evaluation of the legislation and practice of several socialist countries.

Another member of the Committee, Mrs. Bokor-Szegő, noted that in interpreting any international instrument, in order to ascertain its goal, consideration must be given also to its preamble. The preamble to Convention No. 87 affirms, inter alia, that freedom of association is a means of improving conditions of labour and of establishing peace. Certain observations relating to the interpretation of the Convention have not taken due account of this fundamental aim of the Convention and have not duly appreciated the fact that the social and economic system of the socialist countries enables the trade unions to carry out activities which meet the goal fixed in the preamble of the Convention. Furthermore, in carrying out these activities, the trade unions elect their representatives freely and take decisions on all matters within their competence in full freedom, without any interference from the public authorities.

In this regard, having noted the statements mentioned above, the Committee wishes to repeat the comments it made in its report of 1977, which cover the issues raised in these statements.

The Committee recognises that social realities in countries based on different social and political systems, although differing from one another, may be in full conformity with particular ILO Conventions. Divergencies between national legislation or practice and a ratified Convention may, however, occur in any country. In compliance with its terms of reference, while noting the various political, economic and social conditions existing in different countries, the Committee has to
examine and has examined, from a legal point of view, to what extent countries which have ratified Conventions give effect in their legislation and practice to the obligations which derive therefrom, irrespective of their political, social or economic systems. The Committee's observations are the conclusions drawn by it from a uniform application of this objective approach.

The Committee has made no assumptions about capitalist, socialist or Third World countries. It applies to all, impartially, the same test of conformity to the obligations undertaken by each country under ratified Conventions. Furthermore, the Committee has no indications which might lead it to consider that its observations concerning socialist countries did not reflect the actual situation.

Algeria (ratification: 1963)

The Committee notes the provisions of several new texts (Act No. 78-12 of 5 August 1978 relating to the general status of the workers; Constitution of 1976). The Committee notes that these texts expressly reinforce the single trade union system imposed by law and designate the General Union of Algerian Workers (UGTA) as the only workers' organisation. Moreover, both the Constitution and the Charter place mass organisations, in particular workers' organisations, under the care and control of the Party. These provisions, which appear not to allow the establishment of workers' organisations independent of the UGTA and of the Party, are not in conformity with the principles of the Convention, which provides that workers have the right to form and join organisations of their own choosing (Article 2) and that these organisations have the right to draw up their rules as well as to organise their activities and formulate their programmes without interference from the public authorities which would restrict that right (Article 3).

Furthermore, the Committee refers to its previous observation, which read as follows:

1. In its previous direct requests, the Committee had noted section 2 of Ordinance No. 71-75 of 16 November 1971 on collective labour relations in the private sector, dealing with the establishment of union sections by the General Union of Algerian Workers (UGTA). The Committee had observed that according to the Government, organisations or federations affiliated to the UGTA would be responsible under the law for establishing a union section in every unit, undertaking or holding employing more than nine permanent workers. Also, according to section 3 of the above-mentioned Ordinance the election procedure, conduct of business and the number of officers of a union section are laid down by the UGTA statutes. The Committee considers that this situation is not compatible with Articles 3 and 6 of the Convention, under which workers' organisations and their federations have the right to draw up their constitutions and organise their activities, and the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof.

2. The Committee also pointed out that, if the purpose of section 2 and other provisions of the Ordinance was to authorise the establishment in each undertaking of only one trade union body affiliated to the UGTA, these provisions would be contrary to Articles 2 and 11 of the Convention.

The Committee had noted in this connection that it is in pursuance of the Ordinance that the union sections are to be established by the UGTA in every undertaking covered by section
2 thereof, and are given the main union functions in the undertakings, in particular the negotiation of collective agreements and the presentation of individual or group grievances. The Committee still considers that the establishment by law of such a monopoly in favour of trade union sections of a specific central organisation deprives the workers of the free exercise of their right to association and conflicts with the above-mentioned Articles of the Convention.

The Committee notes the Government's statement that the law has established no monopoly in favour of trade union sections which would restrain the free exercise of trade union rights, and that on the contrary it is only a logical development forcefully reinforcing and reaffirming the historically recognised and established trade union freedom.

The Committee points out that the law expressly confers on the UGTA an exclusive role (for example, as regards collective agreements, Ordinance No. 75-31 on general conditions of work in the private sector, sections 85 et seq.), and thus confers a monopoly on the UGTA.

The Committee considers that the explicit establishment and maintenance by law of a single trade union is contradictory to the Convention's principles.

3. The Committee notes that Decree No. 72-177 of 27 July 1972 containing common statutory provisions for associations, provides in section 24 for the succession to the property of an association, in particular in case of its dissolution by the public authorities. The Committee requests the Government to specify in what cases the public authorities may proclaim the dissolution of a trade union, and recalls that Article 4 of the Convention provides that workers' organisations shall not be liable to be dissolved or suspended by administrative authority.

The Committee requests the Government to re-examine its legislation in the light of the considerations set forth in paragraphs 1 and 2 above in order to bring it into conformity with the Convention.

Argentina (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 and that contained in its last report, and has examined the reports of the Committee on Freedoms of Association on Case No. 842 concerning Argentina and the complaint concerning the observance by that country of Convention No. 87 submitted by several delegates to the 63rd (1977) Session of the International Labour Conference under article 26 of the Constitution of the ILO.

Although it appears from the latest information available that trade union activities have increased in practice, the Committee observes that the restrictions imposed by law since 1976 on trade union elections and meetings, collective bargaining and the right to strike, and also on the free administration of a number of trade union organisations that have been placed under the control of the authorities, have not yet been lifted. These various restrictions on trade union life leave workers' organisations without the possibility of effectively furthering and defending the occupational interests of their members, and are incompatible with the provisions of the Convention.

The Government states, however, that the legislation in force will be revised in accordance with the international commitments deriving from the Conventions of the ILO. In this regard the Committee refers to the Government's previous statements that a new trade union law was to be adopted during the first four months of 1978.
The Committee trusts that the Government will rapidly take the necessary measures to lift the present restrictions. It also expresses the hope that the amendments the Government is considering introducing to the trade union legislation will be adopted shortly and that they will permit the free exercise of trade union rights both in law and in practice.

Belgium (ratification: 1951)

In a previous direct request, the Committee had noted that a trade union had to be affiliated to an organisation represented on the National Labour Council in order to be considered representative in the private sector (Act of 5 December 1968) and especially to be able to sit on a joint committee. It observed that a similar condition in the public sector (Act of 19 December 1974) governed the participation of a trade union in the work of the general bargaining committees. Under the Act of 29 May 1952 to set up the National Labour Council, the members of this Council include members of representative workers' organisations appointed by the King from among the candidates presented by the inter-occupational organisations, which are federated at the national level.

The Committee had considered that the above-mentioned legislation might result in practice in preventing a trade union that appeared to be the most representative in a given branch of economic activity but did not belong to one of the major national trade union movements, from carrying out as important a trade union activity as collective bargaining and denying it the place on the corresponding collective bargaining body (a joint committee or a general bargaining committee), that was as much its due, for this branch, as that of the organisations belonging to these major movements. It had asked the Government to re-examine the above-mentioned provisions in the light of these considerations.

The National Confederation of Senior Staff (Confédération nationale des cadres) has since made certain observations on this aspect of Belgian legislation. The Government has made comments on this point in its latest report. The Committee notes, however, that the Committee on Freedom of Association of the ILO has before it a complaint from the National Confederation of Senior Staff on the same matter. The Committee of Experts intends to continue the study of this question in the light of the conclusions of the Committee on Freedom of Association on this matter.

Bolivia (ratification: 1965)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 and that contained in its last report.

The Committee notes with interest from the Government's statements that elections took place in most unions at the beginning of 1978.

With regard to the General Labour Act that is now in force, the Committee made comments earlier on the following matters: denial of the right to organise to civil servants, exclusion from the scope of the

---

1 The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session and to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
Act of homeworkers and casual workers, requirement of prior authorisation for the establishment of a trade union, impossibility of establishing more than one union in an undertaking, supervision of the activities of trade union committees by the labour inspectorate, possibility of trade union organisations being dissolved by administrative authority and the possibility of the executive power prohibiting a strike by resorting to compulsory arbitration.

The Committee notes that the draft Labour Code has not yet been formally approved. It points out that comments on certain provisions of this draft are being addressed to the Government in a direct request.

The Committee hopes that the Government will take the necessary measures to give full consideration to its comments concerning the General Labour Act and the draft Labour Code. It hopes that the new legislation will be adopted in the near future and that its provisions concerning the points mentioned above will be in conformity with the Convention.

*Bulgaria* (ratification: 1959)

The Committee notes the information provided by the Government in reply to its previous direct request.

The Committee has commented on the role as guiding force in society and the State conferred on the Bulgarian Communist Party by section 1(2) of the Constitution.

According to the Government's report, this provision does not constitute a legal basis for interference by the Bulgarian Communist Party in the establishment and activities of trade unions or a legal hindrance to the establishment of unions in addition to existing unions. The Government also refers to the rules of the Bulgarian trade unions, under which the unions willingly accept the guiding role of the Bulgarian Communist Party.

The Committee notes these statements by the Government. It observes, however, that under section 52(3) of the Constitution, organisations directed against the established socialist system are prohibited. Furthermore, compliance with the provisions of the Constitution is mandatory (section 8(2)). The Committee also observes that under section 7 of the Labour Code, as amended, the Central Directorate of Trade Unions, the individual unions and the occupational trade union organisations have legal personality. Other trade union groups acquire legal personality by decision of the central directorate of the occupational trade union concerned.

The Committee considers that these provisions could make it impossible to establish legally an organisation independent of the trade unions already existing and of the Communist Party. It therefore requests the Government to state whether the granting of legal personality to an organisation is conditional on its recognising the rules of the Bulgarian trade unions and, in particular, of the rules concerning the guiding role of the Communist Party. The Committee also requests the Government to provide the text of the 1977 rules of the Bulgarian trade unions.

With regard to the trade union rights of members of co-operative farms, the Government states that the trade union rules, by providing for the joining not only of manual and non-manual workers but also of "other workers" make it possible for members of co-operative farms to
join. The Government also states that a very large number of members of co-operative farms have joined trade unions and that the rate of union membership of persons engaged in the rural economy is very high. The Committee notes this information. It requests the Government to indicate the legal basis on which trade unions of these workers can function to further and defend the interests of their members.

Belorussian SSR (ratification: 1956)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in its last report. This information refers to texts or situations similar to those of the USSR. The Committee therefore invites the Government to refer to the comments it makes on this country under Convention No. 87.

Chad (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes with regret that once again the Government's report has not been received. The Committee is obliged, therefore, to repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

In its previous observations, the Committee had made comments on section 36 of the Labour Code, which prohibits trade unions from undertaking any political activities. The Committee had, in particular, stated that a wide interpretation of this provision could lead to the conclusion that trade unions were going beyond their statutory competence if they ventured to make suggestions or criticisms concerning the Government's economic and social policy, for instance, the Government's wages policy. The Committee considered that it would be desirable not to prohibit completely any activity which, while directed essentially to the defence of members' interests, might have some political aspects, and to leave it to the courts to repress any abuses by occupational organisations which might attempt to transform unions into political instruments.

In addition, the Committee takes note of Ordinance No. 001 of 8 January 1976. This Ordinance provides that the exercise of trade union rights is exclusively reserved for the private sector and is prohibited in regard to public officials and equivalents. The Committee recalls in this connection that under Article 2 of the Convention, workers, without distinction whatsoever, included public officials, have the right to establish and to join organisations of their own choosing.

The Committee has also taken note of Ordinance No. 30 of 26 November 1975. This Ordinance provides that by reason of the overriding necessity to maintain order and in view of the abuses which characterise freedom of association, all strike activity on the entire national territory is suspended until further order. The Committee considers in this connection that, to be permissible, a prohibition based on special circumstances for all workers to strike, should not last longer than is strictly necessary. In addition, the Committee recalls that a general prohibition to strike restricts considerably the possibilities of trade unions to further and defend the interests of their members (Article 10 of the Convention) and to organise their activities (Article 3).

The Committee trusts that the Government will take, in the very near future, the action necessary to modify the legislation in the light of the comments made above.

In addition, in its previous direct requests, the Committee has noted the statement of the Government that trade unions may affiliate
with organisations provided these have African allegiance. The Committee again requests the Government to indicate whether organisations of workers and employers have the right to affiliate with international organisations of workers and employers, in general, as provided in Article 5 of the Convention.¹

**Costa Rica** (ratification: 1960)

The Committee takes note of the information provided by the Government in its last report.

The Committee had previously made comments on a provision of the Bill for the amendment of Title V of the Labour Code which might be interpreted as authorising the authorities to intervene in the administration and operation of trade unions. The Committee notes that this Bill has not been approved by the Legislative Assembly.

The Committee recalls that in its previous observation it noted with regret that the Bill designed to protect the right to hold trade union meetings on plantations had not been adopted by the Legislative Assembly. The Committee notes that the Government's last report contains no new information on this matter. In these circumstances, it can only refer to its previous comments and insist again that the Government should take steps to guarantee trade union officers' right of access to plantations and the right of workers to meet on plantations.

The Committee also refers to its observation under Convention No. 11.

**Cuba** (ratification: 1952)

The Committee has examined the information communicated by the Government in its latest report.

It notes with interest the abrogation of Act No. 962 on trade union organisation on which it had previously made comments. It also notes the Government's statement that the only present legislation applicable to the exercise of trade union rights is contained in Legislative Decree No. 3 of 1977.

The Committee points out that this Legislative Decree recognises in section 1 the right of all workers, without previous authorisation, to associate freely and to establish trade union organisations. However, section 3 of the same Decree refers to the Cuban Workers' Central with which unions can affiliate freely as an expression of the unity of Cuban workers. According to the Constitution (section 7), the State recognises, protects and encourages mass social organisations such as the Cuban Workers' Central.

The Committee considers that these provisions appear to institute and maintain a single union system. Such a monopoly imposed by legislation is contrary to the principles of Convention No. 87. The Committee has already had occasion to stress that although the aim of the Convention is not to make diversity of trade unions obligatory, the Convention requires that there should be at least the possibility of diversity in every case.

¹ The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session and to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
The Committee requests the Government to indicate on what legal basis the workers could establish, if they so wished, an organisation of their choice, independent from the Central specified in the legislation.

Czechoslovakia (ratification: 1964)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in its last report.

Right of workers to establish organisations of their own choosing

The Committee has previously observed that, under article 5 of the Constitution, Act No. 37 of 1959 and the Labour Code of 1965, the only trade union organisations that appear to be recognised are the Revolutionary Trade Union Movement and its constituent units. The Committee has pointed out that, even if workers could establish, as the Government has explained, other trade union organisations, these could not exercise any trade union function, since the legislation allocates such functions exclusively to the Revolutionary Trade Union Movement and its basic units. Any other trade union organisation that might be legally established could not function as such, since it would be unable - under the law - to further and defend its members' interests.

The Government stresses, in its report, that the unification of the unions is not imposed by law; owing to the most representative character of the Revolutionary Trade Union Movement, which includes over 90 per cent of all wage earners, the law accords it certain prerogatives going beyond the furthering and defence of the interests of workers within the meaning of Article 10 of the Convention. The possibility of freely establishing other trade union organisations is guaranteed by law. The Government considers the argument that any other trade union organisation that might be established could not further and defend the interests of its members and of the workers in general to be hypothetical and not proved in practice; it is of the opinion that any other trade union organisation that might be established could further and defend the interests and rights of the workers within the meaning of Article 10 of the Convention.

The Committee considers, nevertheless, that the role expressly conferred by the legislation on the Revolutionary Trade Union Movement - which is indicated by name in article 5 of the Constitution and in various legal provisions - and on the organisations coming under it is such as to stand in the way of the exercise of similar functions by other workers' organisations. For example, Act No. 37 of 8 July 1959 establishes works committees of the basic organisations of the Revolutionary Trade Union Movement. Similarly, the Labour Code of 1965, as amended, provides, for example, that the participation of the Revolutionary Trade Union Movement in the labour relations governed by the Code is implied in the relevant provisions (tenth basic principle); it also provides that collective agreements shall be concluded on behalf of the workers by the bodies of the Revolutionary Trade Union Movement.

A situation of this kind does not appear to guarantee to the workers the right to establish organisations of their own choosing able to carry on trade union activities. The Committee wishes to point out again that, even if it may be in the interest of the workers to avoid a multiplicity of trade union organisations, unity imposed by legislation is contrary to the principles of the Convention. The
Committee requests the Government to provide additional information on any measures it may take with a view to ensuring the full application of the Convention.

The right to organise of members of collective farms

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes that members of collective farms are not covered by the provisions of the Labour Code concerning trade union bodies (sections 3 and 267(a)).

The Government states that the possibility for members of collective farms to establish trade union organisations outside the Revolutionary Trade Union Movement is guaranteed under law. Under the rules of this movement, the members of collective farms cannot join it; for this reason it is impossible to apply to these workers the provisions of the Labour Code which concern the Revolutionary Trade Union Movement.

The Committee notes this information. It again invites the Government, however, to consider the possibility of adopting legal provisions enabling members of collective farms to establish trade unions, should they so desire, and enabling these unions to function effectively to represent, further and defend the interests of their members.

Dominican Republic (ratification: 1956)

The Committee has taken note of the last report communicated by the Government as well as the information it supplied to the Conference Committee in 1978, and it notes the conclusions formulated in February 1979 by the Committee on Freedom of Association in its 190th Report, on several cases concerning the Dominican Republic.

Within the framework of this latter procedure, a representative of the Director-General undertook a direct contacts mission to the country in November 1978. He also discussed the Committee of Experts' comments on the application of the Convention.

The Committee on Freedom of Association noted favourable progress in the trade union situation in the country. The Committee notes these developments with interest. In addition, it wishes to make the following comments regarding points it has raised previously.

1. In the first place, it notes that the refusal of the Ministry of Labour to register a union can, like all administrative action, be the subject of judicial appeal.

2. In another connection the Government has stated that it intends to repeal Resolutions Nos. 13/74 (relating to the presence of labour inspectors at certain trade union meetings) and 15/64 (requiring a minimum number of organisations to establish a federation or confederation). The Committee hopes that these measures will be taken in the near future and requests the Government to provide information on any progress in this regard.

3. The Committee had also pointed out that the Labour Code only permits strikes within very narrow limits (see sections 373, 374 and 377 as well as the provisions relating to arbitration procedure). From the information available it seems that the Government intends to revise its legislation on this point. The Committee takes note of this intention and invites the Government to provide detailed information on the measures that it envisages taking to this end.
4. As regards the trade union rights of agricultural workers, the Committee had observed that under section 265 of the Code it does not apply to agricultural, agro-industrial, stock-rearing and forestry undertakings which do not employ more than ten workers on a continual and permanent basis. During the direct contacts mission, the national authorities stressed that this provision relating to undertakings and not to workers signifies that the workers cannot create a union within an undertaking (under section 298 of the Code, trade unions must have more than 20 members); however nothing prevents workers from forming occupational unions (crafts). The Committee notes this information and invites the Government to introduce more explicit provisions into the Labour Code on this point during the announced revision of the Labour Code.

5. In addition, civil servants and other workers employed by the State are, with some exceptions, excluded from the labour legislation (section 3 of the Labour Code and Act No. 2059 of 19 July 1949) and therefore are deprived of the guarantees provided for therein concerning freedom of association. Furthermore, Act No. 56 of 24 November 1965 prevents all trade union propaganda and proselytism within public and municipal administrations or autonomous institutions of the State. Finally, while public servants do have the right of association on the basis of Act No. 520 (regarding non-profit associations), this law contains provisions the application of which could be contrary to the Convention (such as section 13 which refers to the dissolution of an association by the executive authorities).

Nevertheless the Government has expressed its intention to propose new public service regulations which will recognise trade union rights in this sector. The Committee asks it to provide detailed information on the measures it intends to take in this regard.

Ecuador (ratification: 1967)

1. Further to its earlier comments, the Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in its report. Moreover, it takes note of the Labour Code of 1978 and must note with regret that this text contains no amendment in relation to the points previously raised, that is:

(a) the prohibition of trade unions to engage in party political activities (section 443 (11)): the Committee notes the Government's statement that this provision does not prevent a trade union from publicly taking a position to defend its members' economic and social interests; however, it considers that the responsibility of restraining any abuses which might be committed by trade union organisations whose basic objective is the economic and social advancement of their members, should be left to the judicial authorities;

(b) the composition of the managing committee of a workers' organisation (section 445): the Committee considers that this question should be governed by the internal administrative arrangements of trade unions and not be regulated by law;

(c) compulsory arbitration (section 466): the Committee notes that, according to the Government, amendments are to be made to the Code as soon as the new Constitution, which guarantees the right to strike, comes into force;

(d) powers regarding representation of workers (in particular section 457): the Committee notes that, according to the Government, the
fact that in practice the trade unions cover the majority of workers in undertakings means that they enjoy the rights of representation attributed by law to the works committee. Nevertheless, the Committee is of the opinion that these rights ought to be expressly recognised by law. In addition the Committee considers that federations and confederations ought to have the right to represent workers, to bargain collectively and to strike;

(e) refusal to register an occupational organisation (sections 441 and 455); the Committee notes that according to the Government the Ministry approves the rules solely on the basis of the legal requirements (section 443) and that no complaints have been made on this point. The Committee considers that the possibility of appealing against a refusal to register ought to be clearly established in the legislation and that the courts ought to have the power to re-examine the substance of the matter.

2. The Committee requests the Government to supply information on the trade union rights of public officials and of employees in public undertakings who are not considered to be manual workers.

3. The Committee notes that the works committee, considered by the legislation to be an occupational organisation, registered and endowed with legal personality, can be dissolved by administrative action when the number of its members is less than 25 per cent of the total number of workers. This provision is not in conformity with Article 4 of the Convention.

4. The Committee notes Legislative Decree No. 105 of 1967 and of Supreme Decree No. 1475 of 1977. The provisions in these texts imposing sanctions in cases of strike seem to authorise a general prohibition of strikes contrary to Article 3 of the Convention.

5. The Committee requests the Government to undertake a new examination of the questions mentioned above with a view to ensuring conformity of the legislation with the Convention and to supply information on the measures adopted or contemplated in this regard.

Egypt (ratification: 1957)

With reference to its earlier comments, the Committee notes the information supplied by the Government.

1. It notes the information supplied by the Government according to which the amendment of the trade union legislation is under study and that the comments of the Committee will be taken into account. It trusts that the opportunity will be taken to adopt measures bringing the legislation into full conformity with the Convention, particularly in respect of the following questions raised by the Committee in its comments of 1977 and 1978: the right of workers to establish organisations of their own choosing (the single trade-union structure imposed or maintained by legislation is incompatible with the principles of the Convention); the right to organise of certain higher categories of workers (the Convention covers all workers without distinction); the right of trade unions to organise their administration and activities (guaranteed by Article 3 of the Convention); the right of trade union organisations to further and defend the interests of their members, by means including strikes (compulsory arbitration may considerably restrict this possibility).

2. The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government on the trade union rights of persons sentenced for certain
offences. In this connection, it considers it useful to recall that, although restrictions can be placed on the eligibility of these persons for trade union office, depending on the nature of the offence, all workers should be able to join a trade union as members.

**Ethiopia** (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes the statement made by the government representative to the Conference Committee in 1978 and regrets that the Government's report contains no information on the questions raised in its observations.

The Committee's comments concerned the following points:

1. The Labour Proclamation of 1975 establishes the system of a single trade union (section 51(3); 52(3)(b); 50(4) and (7) and 49(2)).

The Committee again points out that, though it fully appreciates the concern of the Government to promote a trade union movement free from division, it is desirable in such a case to encourage trade unions to combine voluntarily in order to form united organisations. The Committee considers that trade union unity should not be established or maintained by legislation, which would be contrary to Article 2 of the Convention.

In this connection, the Committee notes the statement by the government representative that a study is being undertaken with the participation of the trade unions to examine the Proclamation and to carry out the necessary amendments.

The Committee hopes that the Government will take the necessary measures, as part of the examination to which it has referred, to bring its legislation into conformity with the Convention.

2. Certain categories of workers (public service employees, management personnel and domestic servants) are not covered by the Labour Proclamation. The Committee notes that, according to the government representative, the Proclamation is not the only text governing the right of association, and access to conciliation and arbitration procedure is open to these workers. The Committee requests the Government to indicate the legislative provisions governing the trade union rights of the workers in question.

With regard to employees of the public service, the Committee notes the statement by the government representative that the possibility of organising in trade unions for public servants is being studied. The Committee points out that the Convention ensures the right to organise of workers and employers without distinction whatsoever (Article 2 of the Convention) and requests the Government to provide information on developments in the matter.

3. The Committee has already pointed out that the Proclamation (sections 106 and 99(3)) places serious restrictions on the right to strike. It notes the statement of the government representative, which repeated information already provided, namely that the Proclamation does not prohibit strikes and that the procedures it laid down do not prevent workers from exercising their rights.

The Committee points out that under the legislation strikes are illegal if the collective dispute has not been brought before the Labour Division of the High Court, or if the dispute has been brought
before the Labour Division, strikes are still illegal until the expiry of 50 days if no decision has been taken. Moreover, a strike is illegal if it is started in opposition to a decision of the Labour Division of the High Court, before which the case may be brought by either party to the dispute, and whose decision is final. Although the provisions in question do not place an absolute prohibition on striking, the Committee considers that the conditions laid down may well make it very difficult, indeed practically impossible, to declare a strike. This results in a serious limitation of the possibilities open to trade unions to further and defend the interests of their members (Article 10 of the Convention) and their right to organise their activities (Article 3).

The Committee requests the Government to consider measures, on the occasion of the examination of the Proclamation, to bring the legislation into full conformity with the Convention.

4. Only the All-Ethiopia Trade Union can affiliate with an international organisation; the right of international affiliation of trade unions is submitted to certification by the Minister (Labour Proclamation, sections 51(3) and 109(13)). The Committee notes in this connection that the government representative had stated that the right of international affiliation of trade unions was unlimited and that the certification exercised by the Minister was intended to ensure that the unions conform to their own constitutions, drawn up by themselves.

The Committee again points out that the provisions in question do not appear to be compatible with the principle of the free and voluntary affiliation of trade unions with international organisations embodied in Article 5 of the Convention, and requests the Government to provide information on any development in the matter.

5. The Committee notes the statement by a government representative in reply to its previous observation on the dissolution of the employers' federation. According to the government representative there was not a dissolution but rather a change in organisation resulting from the nationalisation of certain sectors. Managers in the public and private sectors can now, according to the government representative, set up their own organisations under the Chamber of Commerce. In this connection the Committee has examined the Chamber of Commerce Proclamation (No. 140 dated 1978). It does not appear that the organisations mentioned in this text constitute employers' organisations in the sense of the Convention, that is to say organisations to further and defend the interests of the employers (Article 10). According to the Proclamation their functions seem to be intended mainly to apply the programme of the Revolution, and the Secretary-General of the National Chamber is appointed by the Minister. The Committee considers it necessary to recall that under Article 11 of the Convention, the Government undertakes to take all necessary and appropriate measures to ensure that workers and employers may exercise freely the right to organise.

6. Lastly, the Committee notes from the statement by a government representative that the possibility of establishing direct contacts may be considered. The Committee is of the opinion that such contacts would be of great value in examining the questions raised concerning the application of the Convention.  

---

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
Ghana (ratification: 1965)

The Committee notes with regret that the Government's report has not been received.

In its previous observation, the Committee commented on the following points:

- sections 11(3) and 12(1)(d) of the Trade Unions Ordinance, 1941, allow the registrar of trade unions to refuse to register a union where observations or objections have been made in relation to an application for registration;
- section 3(4) of the Industrial Relations Act, 1965, under which a union cannot be registered if another union representing the same category of employees or a part of such category already holds a certificate of registration;
- the lack of provisions concerning the right to join federations and confederations or the right to join international organisations of workers or employers.

Regarding the first two points, the Committee would point out that the provisions concerning the powers conferred on the registrar to refuse registration of a union are so wide that they might be used in a manner contrary to Article 2 of the Convention, by preventing workers from establishing organisations of their choosing without previous authorisation. As regards the impossibility of granting a registration certificate when a union is already registered, the Committee recalls that it is not necessarily incompatible with Article 3 of the Convention to provide for the granting to the majority union of a given unit, a certificate of registration recognising it as sole bargaining agent for that unit. However, determination of the majority union should be based on objective and predetermined criteria. Furthermore, the legislation should provide that if another union becomes the majority one, it should have the right to receive the certificate as sole bargaining agent. The Committee hopes that the Government will take the necessary measures to bring these points of the legislation into full conformity with the Convention.

The Committee again requests the Government to supply information on the right to establish and join federations and confederations as provided for in Article 5 of the Convention.

In addition, the Committee requests the Government to indicate the scope of the Emergency Powers Decree of 7 November 1978, which includes in its definition of "emergency" any action taken or immediately threatened which is likely to deprive any substantial proportion of the community of the essentials of life or to interfere in any way with government services.

Greece (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes the information communicated by the Government in its last report.

In its previous observations, the Committee had made certain comments regarding the system of financing workers' organisations and federations (Legislative Decree No. 891 of 1971, amended by Legislative Decree No. 42 of 1974) and had requested the Government to adopt legislation permitting trade unions who so wished to collect dues from their members according to a check-off system established by collective agreements. The Government refers in this regard to an announcement...
made in 1977 by the Minister of Labour, according to which the Government is ready to propose the adoption of legislation on contributions to workers' organisations which does away with the present system, as soon as suggestions in this sense are made by the organisations concerned. As no request or suggestion has been made to the Government up till now, the present system continues to function provisionally. Moreover, the Government points out that the check-off system is already used in important branches of activity. The Committee hopes that the discussions between the Government and trade union organisations will lead to the elimination, in the near future, of the present system of financing organisations and to its replacement by a check-off system established by collective agreements.

The Committee had requested the Government to supply information on the manner of holding elections within the seafarers' trade unions. The Committee notes the Government's statement that the organisations concerned have held elections with a view to appointing their governing bodies.

In addition, the Committee is addressing a request directly to the Government concerning other questions relating to seafarers, journalists and public employees, as well as on the penal sanctions applied in case of illegal strikes.

Guatemala (ratification: 1952)

The Committee notes the statement made by the Government representative to the Conference Committee in 1978 and the information supplied by the Government in its report.

The Committee notes in particular that the draft of a new Labour Code is still being examined by the Congress of the Republic and that the Labour and Social Insurance Committee of the Congress is assembling further reports on the matter. The new legislation will include regulations concerning the right of association of civil servants for occupational purposes. The Government states that no other draft is under examination at present.

The Committee recalls that a draft text containing amendments to the Labour Code was prepared during the direct contacts in November 1975 to bring the legislation into conformity with the Convention on the points raised by the Committee. This draft was intended to amend or repeal certain provisions of the Labour Code concerning the prohibition of the re-election of trade union leaders, the control of the unions by the Government, the prohibition on establishing minority trade unions within enterprises, the dissolution of unions that had been active in questions of electoral and party politics and the rights of workers in decentralised, autonomous and semi-autonomous state enterprises in union matters. The Committee also noted that the application of section 63 of the Civil Service Act, which recognises the right of association of civil servants, was not regulated by any provision.

The Government states that this draft is among the elements that Parliament will take into consideration in reforming the Labour Code.

The Committee also notes the Government's statement that any provision adopted by Congress will be communicated immediately.

The Committee trusts that the legislation will very shortly be amended in accordance with the comments it has made on several
Honduras (ratification: 1956)

The Committee notes the information communicated by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978, as well as in its report.

The Committee observes that, according to the Government's report, five draft decrees intended to amend certain provisions of the Labour Code have been submitted to the competent authorities; moreover a Government representative had stated that the text of an act to amend the Code had been submitted to the head of State who, with the Council of Ministers, must decide on its adoption.

However, the Committee recalls that it has for several years been making comments on the following points:

1. Amendment of section 2 of the Labour Code so as to extend the right of association explicitly to workers in agricultural and stockbreeding undertakings not regularly employing more than 10 workers, so as to bring this section into line with Article 2 of the Convention.

2. Action to bring sections 475 and 504 of the Labour Code into line with Article 2 of the Convention, so as to abolish the condition that 90 per cent of a union's membership must be Honduran citizens.

3. Amendment of section 472 of the Labour Code, which is inconsistent with Article 2 of the Convention by providing that there shall be only one plant union in a given enterprise, institution or establishment and that, where more than one union already exists, only the union embracing the greatest number of workers shall continue.

4. Amendment of section 510(c) of the Labour Code, which is inconsistent with Article 3 of the Convention by requiring union officers, at the time of their election, to be persons regularly carrying on the occupation or craft represented by the union and who have regularly carried it on for more than six months in the preceding year.

5. Action to bring the following sections into line with Article 4 of the Convention under which workers' and employers' organisations shall not be liable to be dissolved or suspended by administrative authority, namely:
   (a) sections 570 and 571, permitting the Minister of Labour and Social Welfare to make an order imposing penalties which may include dissolution of a union that has initiated or supported a strike declared without the required majority of votes;
   (b) section 500(2)(b), which provides for possible administrative suspension of union officers who have been responsible for infringements of the Code;
   (c) section 500(2)(c), permitting the Ministry of Labour and Social Welfare to withdraw for the time being an organisation's corporate status where it has been responsible for an infringement of the Code.

6. Action to bring the following two sections of the Code into line with Article 6 of the Convention, namely, section

---

The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session.
under which federations or confederations are not entitled to declare a strike, and section 541 which requires union officers to have carried on the occupation or craft represented by the union for more than one year before election.

Amendment of section 500(5) of the Labour Code, which provides that any member of a union's managing committee who has been the cause of a penalty involving dissolution of the union may be deprived for three years of the right of association in any form in union affairs, since this provision is not compatible with Article 2 of the Convention.

The Committee trusts that the modifications to the legislation, announced by the Government, will be promulgated very soon. It requests the Government to supply information on any developments in this connection.

Hungary (ratification: 1957)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in its report in reply to its previous comments, which related to the following points:

The Committee had understood from the explanations given by the Government and from the provisions of the Labour Code (sections 13(1) and 14(3)) that all works committees constituted in the same undertaking may exercise the right to bargain collectively and to formulate grievances. The Committee notes the Government's statement that this explanation is correct. It also notes that according to the Government the legal rules make no distinction in respect of the date of creation of a trade union organisation. Thus a recently created organisation has the same rights as a previously existing organisation. In these circumstances, since the Labour Code refers expressly and exclusively to the works committee and the National Council of Trade Unions, the Committee considers that it would be useful to introduce into the legislation amendments designed to avoid any doubt as to the interpretation of the provisions in question. More particularly, it would be useful if the legislation would clearly recognise in particular the right of workers to establish organisations of their own choosing for furthering and defending their economic and social interests (Articles 2 and 10 of the Convention) and these organisations should receive the protection provided for by the Convention.

As regards the right to organise of members of co-operatives, the Committee notes that the information supplied by the Government indicates that a legislative decree (No. 9 of 1977) to supplement the Act on co-operatives has been issued. Certain sections of this legislative decree provide for the establishment of various committees, namely supervisory committees, arbitration committees and women's committees, whose general function is "to assure the defence of the interests of the members, the promotion of the validity of their rights of self-management, of control ...". In addition, according to the Government, no legal rule prohibits members of co-operatives to establish trade union committees if they request them. While noting this information, the Committee observes that there is no express provision to guarantee the right of collective farm members to establish trade unions. It requests the Government to examine the possibility of adopting provisions to this end.

1 The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session.
Ireland (ratification: 1955)

The Committee has noted the information communicated by the Government in its report and the comments made by the Irish Congress of Trade Unions.

The Committee notes in particular that, according to the Government, consultations have been held with the Irish Congress of Trade Unions on the amendment of the Trade Disputes Act, 1906, which has been the subject of previous comments by the Committee.

According to the Irish Congress of Trade Unions an Industrial Relations Commission was set up in May 1978 but no proposal for the amendment of the 1906 Act has been made so far.

The Committee notes that work on the amendment of the Act is under way, and requests the Government to provide information on developments in the situation.

Jamaica (ratification: 1962)

The Committee takes note of the information provided by the Government in reply to its previous direct request.

The Committee notes that under section 28 of the Labour Relations and Industrial Disputes Act, 1975, banking services (by Order dated 25 May 1976) and the air transport and civil aviation services (by Order dated 5 July 1976) have been added to the list of essential services. This list, as the Committee has already pointed out, includes activities such as public passenger transport in general, the loading and unloading of ships and services connected with oil refining. The Government states that there have been strikes in all the services on the list and that the tribunal empowered to deal with industrial disputes invariably requests the resumption of work before starting the arbitration and conciliation procedures.

Furthermore, the Committee has taken note of a document submitted by the Government to Parliament in 1978 to amend the Labour Relations and Industrial Disputes Act. Under this text, the Minister may refer any dispute to compulsory arbitration, which would lead to the possibility of a general prohibition of strikes.

The Committee takes the view that compulsory arbitration places considerable restrictions on the possibilities open to the trade unions of furthering and defending the interests of their members and on the right of unions to organise their activities (Articles 3 and 10 of the Convention), and points out, as it has already done in its previous comments, that the prohibition of strikes should be confined to services that are essential in the strict sense of the term.

The Committee requests the Government to re-examine its legislation with a view to bringing it into conformity with the Convention and to provide information on the amending text of 1978 mentioned above.

Japan (ratification: 1965)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in its reports and to the Conference Committee in 1978, the comments communicated by the Japanese General Council of Trade Unions (SOHYO) and the Government's reply to these comments.
The Committee notes that the Bills it referred to in its previous observation have been adopted. It notes with satisfaction that Act No. 80 of 21 June 1978 provides for the registration of trade unions in the public sector with the competent authorities and that the registration certificate confers legal personality on the unions concerned. It also notes with satisfaction that a provision has been introduced in Act No. 79 of 21 June 1978 to the effect that the cancellation of the registration of a trade union can take effect only after the expiry of the period for appeal or after the decision of the court if an appeal has been disallowed. However, the Committee requests the Government to give details on the permissible grounds for cancellation.

The Committee notes that the definition of managerial, supervisory and confidential staff (which, under the legislation, cannot belong to the same unions as the rest of the staff) has been laid down in Act No. 79 of 21 June 1978. The Committee requests the Government to indicate the interpretation given in practice to the expression "persons making important administrative decisions" or who participate in making such decisions (section 108-2, paragraph 3).

With regard to its previous comments on the right to organise of fire-fighting staff, the Committee notes the observations submitted by the SOHYO. The Committee refers to its previous comments and notes with interest the statement by the Government to the effect that it has no intention of interfering with the activities of the National Council of Firemen, unless this Council should carry on illegal activities and, more generally speaking, that it would continue carefully to study in a longer-term perspective the question of the right to organise.

With regard to strikes in certain public sectors, the Committee notes that, according to the Government, a proposal is under study with a view to placing certain sectors under private management (part of the railway services, and those of the tobacco and alcohol monopolies), which would make it possible to recognise the right to strike of the staff concerned.

The SOHYO, in its comments, refers to disciplinary penalties imposed for striking, particularly in teaching and the postal services. The Committee notes the statement by the Government that it hopes for a normalisation of relations with the trade unions, that strikes are legally prohibited in the sectors concerned, that the penalties have been imposed flexibly and that proposals have been made by the Government to limit the negative effects on wages of certain penalties but that no agreement has been concluded with the unions concerned. In this connection, the Committee wishes to point out the importance it attaches, where strikes in the public services or essential services in the strict sense of the term are prohibited or subjected to restrictions, to the existence of adequate guarantees to the workers concerned for the safeguarding of their interests; in the past it has made special reference to adequate and speedy conciliation and arbitration procedures in which the parties can participate at all stages and in which the awards are binding and fully and promptly implemented. Moreover, the Committee is of the opinion that these procedures should be both impartial and considered to be so by the parties, for it is on the confidence of the parties that their true success depends.

The Committee asks the Government to indicate in its next report any development occurring in any of the above-mentioned matters.
Kuwait (ratification: 1961)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government to the effect that a draft text to amend the Labour Law (Private Sector) has been referred to the competent authorities and that it takes account of the Committee's comments.

These comments related to the formation of trade unions, the membership of national and foreign workers, the denial of the right to vote to foreign trade union members, the inspection of the books and registers of trade unions, the disposal of union property in the event of dissolution, the prohibition of political activity by unions and restrictions on the formation of federations and confederations of unions.

The Committee trusts that the draft amendment to this Labour Law, referred to by the Government for many years, will be adopted in the near future and that the legislation will be brought into conformity with the provisions of the Convention. It requests the Government to supply a copy of the text as soon as it is adopted.

Liberia (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978. It regrets that the report has not been received.

In its previous observations, the Committee has pointed out that certain provisions of the Labour Practices Act are not in conformity with the Convention. These provisions relate to the following matters: the ban on unions having both industrial and agricultural workers as members and on these workers' joint membership of a national central trade union organisation; the absence of statutory provisions guaranteeing the right of workers in the public sector to organise; and the supervision of union elections by the Labour Practices Review Board. The Committee noted that the ban on the joint membership of industrial and agricultural workers had been omitted from the draft of the new Labour Code. It noted that this draft was still under examination, that it had been submitted to the Ministry of Justice, and that it was hoped that decisions would be taken on the new Code before the 1978 Session of the Conference.

As the draft of the new Code has been under study for some years, the Committee considers it desirable that section 4601-A of the Labour Practices Act banning the joint membership of industrial and agricultural workers should be repealed as soon as possible. Its repeal would be in conformity with the statement made by the President in 1976 regarding the formation of a national central trade union organisation on a voluntary basis.

The Committee noted that the Government did not refer in its statements on the draft Labour Code to the other questions raised by the Committee. It considered that the Government should adopt the necessary legislative measures to take account of the comments that it has been making for many years on the right to organise in the public sector and the supervision of union elections. It therefore requested the Government to state what measures it intended to take to this end.

The Committee hopes that the new Code, which has been under consideration for some years, will be promulgated very shortly and that it will take into account the comments of the Committee, so as to bring
the legislation into conformity with the requirements of the Convention.1

**Malta** (ratification: 1965)

The Committee notes the information provided by the Government in its report and also the comments by the Confederation of Trade Unions and the reply of the Government to these comments, which deal with the following points among others: the delay in the setting up of the Joint Negotiating Council for the Public Sector and of the Industrial Tribunal (sections 25 and 26 of the Industrial Relations Act, 1976); the right of a minority union to represent its members and to bargain; the right to strike and to bargain in certain public sectors.

The Committee is addressing a direct request to the Government on these and other questions.

**Mauritania** (ratification: 1961)

In its previous observations, the Committee has commented on sections 1 and 7 of Book III of the Labour Code in respect of the right of workers' organisations to elect their representatives in full freedom (Article 3 of the Convention). The Committee notes the statement of the Government that under section 4 of Book III of the Code persons who no longer work in the occupation covered by the trade union may nevertheless belong to it and, consequently, be among its leaders.

The Committee has also noted that, under section 1 of Book III of the Labour Code, as amended by Act No. 70-030 of 23 January 1970, persons carrying on the same trade, similar crafts or allied trades associated with the preparation of specific products or the same profession may set up only one trade union. The Committee considered that this provision was incompatible with Article 2 of the Convention, under which workers and employers have the right to establish and to join trade union organisations of their own choosing. The Government stated that the provision was intended to prevent the setting up of unions on an ethnic and linguistic basis but that this aim had been realised and that there was nothing in the way of repealing it. The Committee requests the Government to supply the repealing text as soon as it has been adopted.

Finally, the Committee noted that, under sections 40 and 48 of Book IV of the Code, the Minister of Labour could, at his discretion, prohibit a strike or lock-out and submit a collective dispute to an arbitration procedure. The arbitration award or the judgement of the Supreme Court hearing the appeal against it is enforceable under section 45. The Committee noted that these provisions could result in a general prohibition of strikes and so place serious restrictions on the freedom of action of trade unions, which would be incompatible with Articles 3 and 8, paragraph 2, of the Convention.

The Committee notes the statement by the Government in its last report to the effect that the possibility of amending section 1 of Book III and sections 40 and 48 of Book IV of the Labour Code in accordance with the comments of the Committee was under study and that a draft amendment had been drawn up.

---

1 The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session and to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
The Committee hopes that the Government will take steps to bring its legislation into conformity with the provisions of the Convention. It requests the Government to communicate information on any progress made.1

**Mexico** (ratification: 1950)

The Committee notes the information communicated by the Government in its last report.

The Committee has carefully examined the explanations given by the Government in reply to the comments which it has been making for many years. It notes with regret that the Government does not provide any new information which would enable it to modify its previous conclusions, namely that the Federal Law on State Employees contains a number of provisions (sections 68, 69, 71, 72, 73, 75, 79 and 84) which are contrary to the provisions of the Convention.

In these circumstances, the Committee can only re-emphasise its conclusions. It hopes furthermore that any new developments will be brought to its attention by the Government, and expresses its readiness to examine further the questions raised.

**Mongolia** (ratification: 1969)

Following its earlier comments, the Committee notes the information communicated by the Government in its report.

1. The Committee had asked the Government if a trade union organisation other than the "trade union committee" referred to in the Labour Code (sections 4 and 185 in particular) could exist. It notes that according to the Government the legislation does not prohibit the creation of organisations different from those which exist at present but that no proposals to this end have been made.

The Committee requests the Government to indicate the legislative basis on which a trade union organisation other than those which exist at present could, if the situation arose, be constituted and function so as to promote and defend the interests of its members.

2. As regards the right of association of managers of undertakings, the Committee notes that according to the Government the legislation does not limit their right to constitute organisations to protect their interests; such organisations have not however been set up in practice.

3. As regards the members of agricultural co-operatives, who are excluded from the Labour Code (section 3), the Government states that these workers can constitute their own trade unions or join existing unions. Both manual and non-manual workers from the agricultural sector have, according to the Government, joined agricultural workers' unions.

The Committee again requests the Government to indicate whether the trade union committees of the agricultural co-operatives represent only the workers employed by these co-operatives or whether they also

1 The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session and to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
represent the members of the co-operatives. The Committee also again requests the Government to communicate the text in Russian of the regulations relating to the rights of trade union committees to which the Government referred in a previous report.

4. The Committee had made comments on article 82 of the Constitution, which provides that the People's Revolutionary Party of Mongolia is the avant-garde and guide of all state organisations and other mass organisations of the working population.

According to the Government, article 82 of the Constitution does not contemplate that trade union activities should in any way be limited by the Party, which on the contrary tends to strengthen the unions.

The Committee still considers that if the above-mentioned constitutional provision had the effect of preventing the creation of a trade union independent of the Party it would lead to a limitation, resulting from a legislative provision adopted by the State, on the rights guaranteed by Articles 2 and 8, paragraph 2, of the Convention. Moreover, the Committee must observe that the constitutional rule which assigns a leading role to the Party has the same legal value as the rule which guarantees workers' right to organise. Consequently, even if workers can form a trade union without the authorisation of the Party, it does not seem possible for these organisations to function without being subjected to the guidance or directives of the Party.

Nicaragua (ratification: 1967)

The Committee notes that according to the Government's report, which arrived during its present Session, a draft Trade Union Act is being discussed in Parliament, and that the Government hopes it will be approved soon.

In this connection, the Committee considers it useful to refer to the comments it made previously, in particular in 1974, on Conventions Nos. 87 and 98, and which relate to the following points:

- trade union rights of persons excluded from the Labour Code, that is, public officials, those working in family workshops, independent workers in the urban and rural sectors (sections 2, 3, 9 and 175 of the Code);

- the excessively high minimum number of members required to establish a trade union in an undertaking or a departmental trade union (section 8 of the Trade Union Regulations);

- in the case of refusal to register a trade union by the administrative authority (sections 13 and 46 of the Regulations), an appeal to judicial authorities should be available and its effect ought to be suspensive;

- the legislative provisions according to which only employed workers can be candidates (sections 23 and 24 of the Regulations), the election of the Executive Committee is limited to two successive terms (section 35) and Committee members can be dismissed by administrative action, without appeal (sections 39 and 41), are not in conformity with Article 3 of the Convention;

- also in conflict with Article 3 of the Convention are certain provisions which provide for representation of the labour administration in constituent meetings and general meetings of
trade unions (sections 10 and 31 of the Regulations), the presentation of registers and other documents to the authorities at any moment (section 36), the allocation of certain percentages of union dues to specific objectives (section 20), rules on the automatic loss of membership (section 23) and the general prohibition of political activity (section 204);

- restrictions on the right to strike provided for in sections 225, 226 and 314 of the Code are not compatible with Articles 3, 8(2) and 10 of the Convention;

- also not compatible with the Convention are certain conditions and limitations imposed by the legislation (sections 43 and 62 of the Regulations) on the right to form federations and confederations;

- the number of trade union delegates to a federation congress is limited by section 52 of the Regulations;

- the right of federations to collective bargaining is not recognised in section 44 of the Regulations and the power of federations and confederations to intervene in collective disputes is limited (section 63).

The Committee hopes that the draft legislation to which the Government referred will soon be adopted, and that it will take into account the Committee's above-mentioned previous comments. It requests the Government to supply information on developments in this connection.

The Committee also requests the Government to provide information on the following points: (a) the possibility of creating national trade unions; (b) the possibility for rural federations to join urban confederations; (c) legislative provisions governing the right of association and freedom of expression.1

Nigeria (ratification: 1960)

The Committee has noted the information communicated by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1977 and in its latest report. The Committee has taken note of Decrees Nos. 21 and 22 of 1978.

Further to its comments, the Committee notes that the restructuring of the trade unions has now been completed and that the functions of the administrator have come to an end.

In its previous comments, the Committee recalled that the imposition of a single central trade union organisation by legislation or regulation is not compatible with Articles 2, 5 and 6 of the Convention under which workers have the right to establish the organisations of their own choosing. As the Committee pointed out, while there may be an advantage in the unity of the trade union movement, this unity should be the spontaneous outcome of the free development of the trade unions and should not be imposed by state intervention through legislation or regulations.

In this connection, the Committee must note that Decree No. 22 of 1978, amending the Trade Unions Decree, 1973 (No. 31), imposes a single

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
trade union system: the Central Labour Organisation is designated by name as the sole confederation; registered trade unions are compulsorily affiliated to the confederation; a number of listed trade unions are automatically registered, while the registration of all existing trade unions under the 1973 Decree is automatically cancelled without right of appeal; in addition, only one trade union may exist for each category of workers.

The Committee recalls its previous comments referred to above. It recalls, in addition, that the cancellation of the registration of trade unions by decree is equivalent to their dissolution by administrative authority and constitutes a measure contrary to Article 4 of the Convention.

In these circumstances, the Committee requests the Government to re-examine the whole issue in the light of the Convention's principles, and to examine the measures which might be taken to adapt the legislation to these principles.

Pakistan (ratification: 1951)

The Committee has noted the information supplied by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 and in its last report.

As regards the right to organise of civil servants, the Committee had noted in its previous comments that associations had been established in the civil service. The Committee again requests the Government to communicate the text of the instructions issued which authorise government employees to form associations without restrictions.

The Committee notes that, according to the Government's report, the position of civil servants above grade 16 is being examined; it requests the Government to supply information on developments in the situation.

The Committee has examined the Industrial Relations Regulations which were communicated by the Government in response to a previous direct request concerning a point raised by the Pakistan National Federation of Trade Unions. According to the Government, these Regulations are binding. The Committee notes that Regulation 8 confers very broad supervisory powers on the Registrar, who can have any document produced to him at any time. It considers that inquiries into the administration of trade unions should be limited to exceptional cases in which they are justified by special circumstances, such as presumed irregularities revealed by the presentation of the annual financial reports or complaints from members of a union.

The Committee therefore requests the Government to consider amending the above-mentioned provision of the Industrial Relations Regulations so as to bring it into conformity with Article 3 of the Convention.

Panama (ratification: 1958)

The Committee takes note of the information provided by the Government in its last report.

The Government states that it has noted the Committee's comments concerning the following sections of the Labour Code: section 344 (a minimum number of members for the creation of an occupational
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organisation); section 346 (prohibition on creating more than one union per undertaking); section 359 (loss of office of a union officer who is dismissed from employment); and section 376(4) (the authorities' power to inspect unions' records containing minutes and accounts at any time); and section 347, which requires that 75 per cent of the members of a trade union should be Panamanians. As regards this last provision, the Government indicates that foreign workers can join the organisations of their choice and that there is no restriction on this point in the Code. The Committee considers, however, that the fixing of a minimum percentage of national workers within a union could constitute an obstacle to the creation of organisations of their own choosing by foreign workers or to their joining such organisations.

The Committee again expresses the hope that the Government will re-examine all the provisions mentioned above, and that it will on this occasion take account of the comments made by the Committee.

The Committee also notes that the staff regulations for persons in the public sector are still under study. It hopes that this draft will be adopted in the near future and that it will enable workers in the public sector to enjoy the protection provided for in the Convention.

Paraguay (ratification: 1962)

The Committee takes note of the information communicated by the Government in its last report concerning sections 284 to 320 of the Code of Labour Procedure, which establish a system of compulsory conciliation and arbitration and thus result indirectly in a prohibition of strikes.

The Government indicates in this respect that the question is being examined with a view to providing the information requested as soon as possible.

The Committee expresses the hope that the Government will amend the legislation in the near future so as to give full effect to the Convention, and requests it to provide information on any developments in this matter.

It notes with regret that the Government has not replied to the requests for information in its previous direct requests which read as follows:

1. In particular the Committee notes that civil servants can form associations under sections 45 or 46 of the Civil Code. It requests the Government to indicate the manner in which these associations can defend the interests of their members and to state the activities that may be exercised by such associations.

2. The Committee requests the Government to provide information on the procedures applicable to labour disputes in a public undertaking.

Peru (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes the statement made by a representative of the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978; however it notes with regret that the Government's report has not been received.

The Committee notes that there have been no new developments. It is therefore bound to refer to the points raised in its previous observation, which read as follows:
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The Committee notes that the fundamental labour law has not yet been promulgated and that the Committee's comments have been brought to the attention of a multisectoral committee which is preparing this law.

Regarding the right to organise in the public sector, the Committee notes that the draft Supreme Decree on the right to organise of workers in state enterprises, prepared during earlier direct contacts, has also been submitted to the multisectoral tripartite committee. It notes also that, in practice, several categories of workers in the public sector already have the right to organise. The Committee recalls in this connection that the Convention applies to all workers without distinction whatsoever and that the only categories which may be excluded from the safeguards of the Convention are the armed forces and police. The Committee is of the opinion that the Government should bring legislation and practice into conformity and adopt as soon as possible, provisions recognising the right to organise of state employees and workers in the public sector, as recently requested by the Committee on Freedom of Association in a case relating to Peru (see 172nd Report of the Committee, Case No. 870, paragraphs 307-330).

The Committee recalls that in addition to its comments on right to organise in the public sector, it has for several years made comments on the following matters:

- the trade union rights of workers in welfare institutions, hospitals and similar occupations;
- the right of workers to set up more than one union, if they so wish, in the same undertaking;
- the right of workers to choose as trade union representatives persons who are not workers or employees of the undertaking in question;
- the desirability of lifting the prohibition on trade unions engaging in political activities;
- the need to bring into conformity sections 5 and 9 of Decree No. 009, under which unions may be established only for an undertaking or occupation, with Article 2 of the Convention and the practice announced by the government, under which industrial unions may be established;
- the right of unions belonging to different branches of activity to form federations.

The Committee hopes that the Government will take all these comments into account in the amendments to the legislation which are being prepared at present.

Philippines (ratification: 1953)

The Committee notes the information provided by the Government in reply to its previous direct request.

1. The Committee has noted that the Labour Code (section 246) deprives managerial staff of the right to organise. In its report, the Government states that the staff in question is the staff that exercises decision-making functions concerning the policy of the undertaking or the recruitment, transfer and suspension of employees. The Committee considers that these persons should be defined restrictively so that only those directly representing the interests of

---

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
the employers are covered. It requests the Government to state the provisions defining this class of staff. The Committee also considers not only that the legislation should recognise these persons' right to establish their own organisations but also that they should have the right to organise their activities. It requests the Government to supply information on any development in the matter.

2. The Committee has made comments on several legal provisions concerning administrative decisions relating to the registration of a trade union (sections 231, 235, 239 and 240 of the Code) and the removal from office of a trade union officer (section 242). The Committee notes from the report of the Government that an appeal lodged with the Supreme Court against an administrative decision refusing or cancelling registration has a suspensive effect: the trade union continues to enjoy the rights of a registered union. The Committee requests the Government to state whether this procedure also applies to the removal from office of a trade union officer, as provided for under section 242 of the Code.

3. The Committee has noted that under section 234(c) of the Code, a union can be registered only if it includes at least 50 per cent of the workers of a bargaining unit. The Government states that this provision, and also that laying down that a federation, if it is to be registered, must comprise at least ten unions of the same region and the same industry, are transitory measures taken to reorganise and unify the trade union system, which under the former legislation had reached the number of 7,000 registered trade unions, of which some had ceased their activities and others were controlled by employers.

The Committee considers that it is sometimes in the interest of the workers to avoid a multiplicity of trade union organisations. However, although it understands the desire of the Government to promote a vigorous trade unionism, free from the imperfections caused by an excessive multiplicity of small rival organisations, it considers that a reorganisation imposed by law on basic trade unions and federations is incompatible with Convention No. 87, Articles 2 and 6 of which provide that workers shall have the right to establish organisations of their own choosing, on the sole condition that they conform to the rules of the organisation concerned. Furthermore, the provisions in question are contrary to Article 7 of the Convention, which provides that the acquisition of legal personality by these organisations shall not be made subject to conditions of such a character as to restrict the application of the principles of the Convention.

4. With regard to the powers of inquiry conferred on the Secretary for Labour in respect of the financial management of unions (section 275 of the Code), the Committee notes the statement of the Government that this provision is intended to prevent the existence of trade unions under the control of employers and ephemeral trade unions.

The Committee notes this information and points out that it has asked the Government to consider, at the next revision of the legislation, adapting it to the normal procedure followed in this field which consists of limiting inquiries to cases where a complaint has been submitted.

5. The Committee has made comments on the restriction by various legal provisions of the right to strike. According to the information supplied by the Government, a certain number of strikes have in fact taken place in the last three years, of which most have ended in conciliation and a few in compulsory arbitration. The Committee also notes that, in more than half the cases of strike notice, the disputes have been settled by conciliation and that, in about one-quarter, they have been submitted to compulsory arbitration.
The Committee has noted that the industries regarded as vital, in which strikes are prohibited, are defined very broadly by the legislation. It considers that the prohibition against strikes should be confined to services that are essential in the strict sense of the term. According to the Government's report, the list of vital industries contained in Letter of Instruction No. 368 is under study and is to be submitted to a tripartite conference. The Committee requests the Government to communicate the text of Letter of Instruction No. 368 and to supply information on the re-examination of the list of industries regarded as vital.

Furthermore, the Committee has asked for information on various other points:

(a) the status of security staff: the Committee notes the statement by the Government that on account of the state of emergency and the risk represented by this category of personnel for national security, it is excluded from the right to organise. The Committee notes, however, that security staff is employed by bodies - which appear to be private bodies - under official licence. The Committee considers that, if this staff comes neither under the armed forces nor under the police, it should be able to organise to defend its own interests before its employers;

(b) exercise of the right to organise by employees of the State: the Committee notes the statement by the Government that the formation of a separate system of labour relations for employees of the State is at present under study. It recalls that the guarantees provided by the Convention apply to workers without distinction whatsoever, including civil servants and employees of the public sector;

(c) rights of meeting and of expression in the trade union field: the Committee notes the statement by the Government that the rights of meeting and expression in the trade union field, under martial law, are specified by Presidential Decree No. 823, as amended, and that the Government has no intention of restricting these rights.

Poland (ratification: 1957)

The Committee has noted the information supplied by the Government in its latest report.

1. In reply to earlier comments, the Government states, inter alia, that members of agricultural production co-operatives may join the Agricultural Workers' Union. The Committee notes this information. However, it must recall the more general issue it raised earlier in connection with the Trade Unions Act of 1 July 1949 - namely the right of all workers, irrespective of their branch of activity, to establish organisations of their own choosing without previous authorisation.
The Committee considers that the Labour Code of 1974 - which in several instances (see in particular section 240), concerning collective agreements) mentions by name the Central Council of Trade Unions - likewise does not appear to leave open to workers the possibility, should they so wish, of forming trade union organisations outside the Central Council of Trade Unions, nor to offer any such organisations the guarantees provided for in the Convention.

2. The Government further states that directors of undertakings may join the trade union corresponding to the branch of activity to which the undertaking under their management belongs; in practice, no application has been made so far by directors to form their own union. The Committee, as it has already done previously, nevertheless requests the Government to specify whether such directors are authorised by law to establish organisations separate from those to which employees of the undertaking belong, should they so wish, in order to further and defend their own interests.

3. The Government states once again that work on the preparation of the new Trade Unions Act is continuing. The Committee trusts that this work will be completed in the very near future and that the provisions adopted will take into account the above comments and the observations made previously by the Committee concerning points which were not in conformity with the Convention.

Romania (ratification: 1957)

The Committee notes the Government's report in reply to its previous observation, and in particular the information that the competent authorities are still involved in drafting the new Trade Union Act, and that this draft will deal, amongst other things, with certain aspects of the constitution and functioning of trade unions.

The Committee recalls that it had expressed the hope that to avoid any doubts regarding the scope of the provisions of section 164 of the Labour Code, the new Trade Union Act which was being drafted should clearly establish the possibility for workers, if they so wish, to establish unions, federations and confederations which can freely elaborate their own regulations and exercise their activities in complete independence from the General Union of Trade Unions. In this regard, the Committee considers that trade union unity imposed by law is contrary to the principle of formation by workers of organisations of their own choice and that, in all cases, diversity of trade unions ought to remain possible.

With regard to members of collective farms, who are excluded from the Labour Code, the Committee, whilst noting the statement contained in the Government's previous report according to which members of collective farms can establish organisations which they consider appropriate under provisions of the Constitution and of Law No. 52 of 1945 relating to trade unions, had expressed the hope that they would be covered by the new Trade Union Act so that they could establish or join trade union organisations if they so wished.

The Committee asks the Government to communicate further information concerning the draft Trade Union Act.

Sweden (ratification: 1949)

The Committee notes the information communicated by the Government in its last report as well as the comments of a number of trade union organisations and employer associations in the public and private sectors which were annexed thereto.
The Committee had noted in its previous comments that under the 1976 Act concerning co-determination at work, all workers' organisations possess the general right to negotiate work conditions, salaries, etc. (section 10). Trade unions which have entered into a collective agreement with an employer in addition enjoy more extensive rights, particularly in regard to bargaining: employers must enter into discussions before deciding on important changes in their activities (section 11) and these unions possess a wider right to information on questions relevant to bargaining (section 19).

It was, however, pointed out in the comments of the Swedish Dockers' Union that that organisation - apparently the most representative dockers' trade union - had sought without success the possibility of negotiating the terms of a separate collective agreement with the employers concerned.

From the information available, it appears that the above-mentioned organisation - like other unions not affiliated to the Swedish Confederation of Trade Unions - enjoys the right of collective bargaining with employers, but that the latter cannot be obliged to sign a collective agreement; in case of disagreement, it is open to the organisation concerned to call a strike to try to obtain satisfaction of its demands.

In the light of this information, the Committee is of the opinion that the situation described above cannot be considered incompatible with the principles laid down in the Convention. However, it remains that the tendency noted in several recent Swedish laws to grant increasingly numerous advantages only to unions which have signed collective agreements, may lend to a growing sense of injustice among other union organisations which may not be favourable to a harmonious climate of industrial relations.

Switzerland (ratification: 1975)

The Committee notes with interest the information supplied by the Government in reply to its comments. It also notes the observations of the Swiss Trade Union Organisation on the Government's report.

The Committee requests the Government to supply detailed information relating to the practical application of the Convention, and in particular on all judicial decisions taken in relation to its application.

Syrian Arab Republic (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes the information communicated by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 and in its report. It notes in particular the Government's statement that a draft law was submitted on 22 May 1978 to the competent legislative authorities. The draft takes into account the Committee of Experts' observations, and aims at bringing Legislative Decree No. 84 of 1968 (on trade union organisation) into conformity with the Convention.

1. The Committee recalls that its observations on the Decree dealt with the following matters: various provisions (sections 25, 32, 35, 49) limiting the trade union rights of foreigners and restricting free administration and management of unions; sections 2 and 8, requiring a minimum of 50 workers for the establishment of a trade union organisation; sections 32 and 36, concerning the deposit and compulsory allotment of trade union funds; section 49(c), under which
the General Federation may dissolve the executive committee of any union on various grounds; system of unified structure imposed by law (sections 2 and 7).

The Committee hopes that the draft law mentioned by the Government will be adopted in the very near future and that the new provisions will be in conformity with the Convention. It requests the Government to supply information on any developments in this regard.

2. Some of the points raised in the above paragraph have also been raised by the Committee in connection with sections 2, 6 and 12 of Legislative Decree No. 250 concerning small employers and artisans. The Committee has also referred to the prohibition of strikes by the Agricultural Labour Code (section 160) and arising from section 19 of the Economic Criminal Code. It had recalled in this connection that the direct or indirect prohibition of strikes may considerably restrict trade unions' possibilities of action, contrary to Articles 3 and 8 of the Convention.

The Committee requests the Government to indicate what measures it proposes to take with a view to bringing these various provisions in conformity with the Convention.

3. The Committee also asked the Government whether all agricultural workers, whether or not members of co-operatives, could join trade unions under general legislative provisions and what provisions governed the right of state employees freely to form trade union organisations, in conformity with the Convention.

4. The Committee invites the Government to supply detailed information on the points raised in the foregoing paragraphs.¹

Trinidad and Tobago (ratification: 1963)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in its report, and that presented to the Conference Committee, concerning the amendment of certain legal provisions (section 24 of the Civil Service Act, section 72 of the Education Act, sections 27 and 28 of the Fire Service Act, and section 26 of the Prison Service Act) with a view to bringing the legislation into conformity with the Convention.

In this connection, the Committee has noted that the tripartite commission has submitted its report to the Government and that discussions between the specially appointed working group and the Labour Congress have been going on. The Committee has also noted the observations of the Employers Consultative Association on this point.

The Committee trusts that the Government will be able to adopt the amendments under consideration in the near future and requests the Government to continue to supply information on developments in the situation.

Ukrainian SSR (ratification: 1956)

The Committee has taken note of the information communicated by the Government in its last report. As this information refers to texts

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
or situations similar to those in the USSR, the Committee requests the Government to refer to the comments made for this country under Convention No. 87.

USSR (ratification: 1956)

With reference to its previous observation, the Committee notes the statements made by the government representative to the Conference Committee in 1977 and the information provided by the Government in its last report.

Right to organise of members of collective farms

The Committee has previously noted that section 225 of the Labour Code of the RSFSR respecting the operation of trade unions does not apply to members of collective farms, who are excluded from the scope of this Code. It noted in its previous observation that, according to the Government, members of these farms may establish their own unions pursuant to the Constitution and Civil Code and that they may join the already existing union which, by its nature, is closest to them. In support of this information, the Government cited the resolution adopted in September 1976 by the All-Union Central Trade Union Council.

The Committee has examined this resolution, appended by the Government to its last report. The resolution recommended that the Union of Agricultural Workers and the Union of Workers in the Food Industry take measures to enrol members of collective farms who wished to become trade union members. This was to be carried out progressively during the period 1976 to 1978 on the basis of strict compliance with the principle of free choice. The Government states in its report that, following this resolution, the number of members of the Agricultural Workers' Union increased from 3.2 million in 1976 to 11.3 million at the end of 1978 and that at present 87 per cent of the permanent workers of collective farms are members of this union.

The Committee notes this information with interest. It also notes that the text that has led to the joining of members of collective farms is a resolution of the central trade union body enabling them to join, on a voluntary basis, two existing unions mentioned by name.

The Committee requests the Government to provide information on the activities that can be carried on within collective farms by the unions representing these workers, on the legal provisions governing these activities and on the practical situation in the matter. It also requests the Government to supply information on any provision it may adopt on the functioning of trade unions that members of collective farms might wish to set up independently of the already existing organisations.

The right of workers to establish organisations of their own choosing

The Committee had noted that provisions of the Labour Code of the RSFSR, such as section 7 concerning collective bargaining and section 230 concerning the rights of trade union committees, and also the 1971 Regulations on the Rights of Factory, Works or Local Trade Union Committees, do not contemplate the possible existence of another trade
union organisation established by workers of the group represented by
the trade union committee referred to in the legislation and that, by
bestowing trade union functions solely on the trade union committee
concerned, these provisions seem to preclude the possibility of setting
up another organisation representing workers of the same category. The
Committee considered that such a situation was incompatible with
Article 2 of the Convention, which provides for the right of workers to
establish organisations of their own choosing. It therefore considered
that the Government should amend the legislation in force.

The government representative stated to the Conference Committee
in 1977 that the interpretation of the Committee was a misunderstanding
due, no doubt, to the translation from the Russian text. He stated
that the text in question meant "any trade union committee", that is to
say any committee that might exist in an undertaking. The legislation
therefore extended trade union rights to any committee that might be
set up. A newly set up trade union committee would enjoy all the
rights recognised by the Labour Code and the 1971 Regulations.

The Committee takes note of this statement. It points out,
however, that in its report for 1975 the Government stated that the
legislation merely reinforced the unity of the Soviet trade union
movement, a unity that was the result of an historic process. The law,
the Government added, confirmed an existing state of affairs and there
was no reason why it should not be amended if practice changed or if
the workers themselves wished to set up other unions besides those
already existing. Similarly, in its report for 1976, the Government
stated that the practice established in the USSR and a number of other
countries of setting up trade unions on the basis of the production
principle, under which all the workers in one undertaking belonged to
one trade union, was more favourable to the workers and that it saw no
necessity for changing the legislation in force.

The Committee still considers, in these circumstances, that the
Labour Code of the RSFSR, by attributing trade union functions solely
to the trade union committee concerned, seems to rule out the
possibility of establishing another organisation representing the
workers of the same category. The Committee therefore considers it
desirable that the legislation in force be amended in order to
recognise clearly the right of workers to establish, should they so
wish, an organisation enjoying the guarantees of the Convention outside
the trade union committee.

Role of the Communist Party in trade unions

The Committee had made comments in its previous observations on
section 126 of the Constitution of the USSR, which provided that the
Communist Party was the leading core of all workers' organisations.

The Government refers in its report to the new Constitution of
the USSR adopted on 7 October 1977. It also states that the Communist
Party does not replace the organisations but that it carries on its
policy through party members acting within these organisations.

Having examined the text of the new Constitution, the Committee
notes that, under article 6, the Communist Party is "the leading and
guiding force of Soviet society and the nucleus of its political
system, of all state organisations and public organisations". The term
"public organisations" used in this provision seems to cover workers'
organisations. If so, the Committee can only observe that the law (in
this case the Constitution of the State) establishes a link between the
Communist Party and the workers' organisations, in which the leading
role falls as of right and permanently to the Party. Thus, even if the policy of the Party is carried out through workers’ organisations in accordance with procedures laid down in their rules, the legal system does not seem to accord these organisations the full right to organise their activities and formulate their programmes, as provided in Article 3 of the Convention.

Other questions

With regard to other questions on which the Committee has commented on earlier occasions (including, in particular, the right to hold meetings without previous authorisation), the Committee remains prepared to consider the situation further in the light of any new factor that may be brought to its attention.

Uuguay (ratification: 1954)

The Committee notes the information communicated by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 as well as in its latest report, and also notes the reports of the Committee on Freedom of Association concerning the case of Uruguay (Case No. 763).

The Government supplied the text of a draft Bill on occupational associations. This document recognises to trade unions certain fundamental rights such as the right to organise without previous authorisation, and the right to form second and third degree organisations. However, several provisions in the Bill do not appear to be in conformity with the principles established by the Convention: the obligation imposed upon trade union leaders to make a declaration of “democratic faith” or to have worked for at least two years in a branch of activity that the union represents, the obligation of first degree unions to organise themselves on the plant level, the detailed regulation of several questions relating to the internal administration of trade unions. According to the draft Bill, the aims of the organisation must be purely occupational and must not refer to political activities; in view of the difficulty of clearly drawing a line between political questions and economic and social problems, this provision, by its general character, may lead to abuses. In the same vein there are several sections in the Bill according to which organisations and their members must respect the provisions of national legislation; according to Article 8 of the Convention, the law of the land shall not be such as to impair, nor shall it be so applied as to impair the guarantees provided for in the Convention.

The Committee invites the Government to take the necessary measures to bring the draft Bill into full conformity with the Convention. Moreover, it trusts that the text will be adopted and applied in the very near future and that trade unions will again be able to benefit from a juridical existence that enables them legally to represent the workers.¹

* *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Algeria, Australia.

¹ The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session and to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
OBSERVATIONS CONCERNING RATIFIED CONVENTIONS  

Convention No. 88: Employment Service, 1948

Dominican Republic (ratification: 1953)

The Committee notes that the Government is taking steps toward the creation of an advisory committee, including representatives of employers' and workers' organisations, for the national employment service, as required by Articles 4 and 5 of the Convention. It recalls that the establishment of such an advisory committee, as one of the immediate steps which could be taken toward revitalising the national employment service, was recommended in the final report of the inter-organisation mission set up under the World Employment Programme to study a programme to promote full employment in the country.

The Committee hopes that the Government will continue to take steps to give full effect to these and other Articles of the Convention, in the light in particular of the recommendations of the abovementioned mission, and that detailed information on legislative and practical measures taken in this regard will be included in its reports.1

Egypt (ratification: 1954)

Articles 4 and 5 of the Convention. The Committee has examined Presidential Order No. 560 (1963), which created the Supreme Advisory Committee for Employment, and Presidential Order No. 795 (1976), which created the Supreme Manpower and Vocational Training Council. It does not appear that either committee has hitherto exercised any responsibilities in regard to the employment service.

As the absence of a national advisory committee for the employment service has been the subject of comments since 1961, the Committee trusts that the Government will be able to indicate in its next report that responsibility for advising on the organisation and operation of the employment service has been entrusted to an appropriate national committee.2

Ireland (ratification: 1969)

The Committee notes with satisfaction that, following its previous comments and comments made by the Irish Congress of Trade Unions, the Government has established a Manpower Consultative Committee to which the principal organisations of employers and workers have been invited to nominate representatives in equal numbers, in conformity with Article 4 of the Convention. The Committee also notes

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.

2 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
that consultation at the regional and local levels will be discussed by the Manpower Consultative Committee, which was to have begun meeting before the end of 1978. The Committee requests the Government to provide further information on the operation of the Manpower Consultative Committee, and to indicate the progress achieved in establishing consultative bodies at the regional and local levels.

Malta (ratification: 1965)

Articles 1 and 6(a) of the Convention. The Committee notes that for a two-year period starting on 1 May 1977 Maltese migrant workers returning to Malta may not register for employment with the national employment service. The Committee trusts that this restriction will be discontinued so as to permit all workers without distinction to register for employment, as required by Article 6, and so as to permit the employment service to fulfil its essential duty as defined in Article 1, namely, "the best possible organisation of the employment market as an integral part of the national programme for the achievement and maintenance of full employment and the development and use of productive resources."

Spain (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes the receipt of a communication of 9 March 1978 from the National Federation of Independent Trade Unions of Officials of the Employment and Training Service (SEAF/PPO) of the Ministry of Labour stating that various provisions of this Convention and of Conventions Nos. 2 and 142 were not being fully applied. This communication stated in particular, as regards the employment service, that the collaboration of employers' and workers' organisations in the functioning of the employment service, as required by the Conventions, had not materialised; that officials of the SEAF/PPO - in particular fixed-term and short-term employees - did not enjoy the stability of employment and status as public officials required by Article 9(1) of this Convention; that measures to collect, analyse and disseminate information on the status of the employment market and to encourage the full use of the employment service facilities had not been taken; and that officials of the SEAF/PPO did not have available to them the coercive measures necessary to put a stop to fraud in the procurement of unemployment benefits.

In its comments on this communication, the Government has reported that it had not yet been able to incorporate representatives of employers and workers into the employment service structure because it had to await the outcome of trade union elections in the context of democratisation, but that it would issue regulations to provide for representation in the near future. Legislation already existed in most cases, but implementation was needed. The Committee hopes the Government will provide information on the measures taken in this regard.

In regard to the status of officials of the SEAF/PPO, the Government has reported that the regular staff are officials of the social security administration on the same basis as other public officials; and that legislative provisions taken before and after the receipt of the communication provide for workers engaged as temporary officials to acquire regular posts through selective examinations, thus

---

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
achieving the necessary status and stability of employment. The Committee requests the Government to provide information on the number and length of service of such temporary employees, and on the progress in the regularisation of their situation.

The Government also reported that it published a series of regular and ad hoc compilations and analyses of employment market data and had introduced a public information programme; that it had undertaken public information campaigns on the employment service in 1976 and on employment promotion in 1978, and that employment service officials regularly visited undertakings to prospect for vacancies and training needs; and that by Royal Decree No. 656/1978 of 30 March 1978, it introduced new measures to combat fraudulent claims for unemployment benefits.

The Committee notes that the Government has replied to the points raised by the Federation, referring to measures which had already existed, which were being taken, or which have been taken since the Federation sent its communication. It hopes the Government will provide information in its future reports on any further measures taken.1

Tanzania (Tanganyika) (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation, which read as follows:

**Article 3 of the Convention.** The Committee notes with interest that the network of employment offices grew from 14 to 27 between 1969 and 1977, and that more are planned for the financial year 1977-78. It hopes that the Government will continue to provide information on the growth of the network of offices.

**Articles 6 to 11.** The Committee notes that the National Employment Service Bill, to which the Government referred in its report for 1970-71, is still being considered by the Government. It observes that no information is available on the current application of these Articles, and trusts that the Government will provide details on the way in which effect is given to them, together with a copy of the regulations currently governing the employment service.

Zaire (ratification: 1969)

The Committee notes that no progress has been made in expanding the network of employment offices. It hopes the Government will be able to take steps to develop the work of the employment service, so as to enable it to undertake all the functions set out in Articles 6, 7 and 8 of the Convention, and will supply information in particular on the following points:

**Article 3 of the Convention.** The Government is requested to indicate whether any further placement offices have been opened or are planned in addition to those in Kinshasa and Lubumbashi.

**Article 4.** The Government is requested to provide particulars of any consultations which have taken place in the National Labour

---

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
Council concerning the organisation and operation of the employment service. The Government is also requested to indicate how many provincial employment advisory committees have been set up under section 17 of Order No. 69/0021 of 10 August 1969 and provide particulars of their activities.

Point IV of the Report Form. The Government is requested to provide particulars of the number of applications for employment received, the number of vacancies notified, and the number of persons placed in employment by the placement offices. The Government is also requested to provide copies of the employment statistics collected through the regional employment offices and of any analyses of the employment market situation made by the National Employment Service.¹

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Colombia, Guinea-Bissau, Suriname.

Information supplied by Tunisia and Yugoslavia in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Constitution No. 89: Night Work (Women) (Revised), 1948

Philippines (ratification: 1953)

The Committee has observed that the legislation was not in conformity with the Convention on the following points:

1. Section 128(a) (now section 130) of the Labour Code prohibits the employment of women in industrial undertakings between 10.00 p.m. and 6.00 a.m., a period of only eight hours, whereas, under Article 2 of the Convention, the prohibition of night work should cover a period of at least 11 consecutive hours.

2. Under section 129(e) (now section 131(e)) of the Code and section 5(e) of Rule VII of Book III of the rules and regulations issued under it, the prohibition of night work by women does not apply where the nature of the work requires the manual skill and dexterity of women workers and the work cannot be performed with equal efficiency by male workers, or where the employment of women was already established practice in the undertakings concerned on the date when the rules and regulations came into force. These exceptions are not authorised by the Convention.

These questions were the subject of a technical memorandum sent by the Office to the Government in connection with the direct contacts established on the application of the Convention and were subsequently discussed by an ILO official with representatives of the national services concerned.

With regard to the first point, the Committee notes with interest the information supplied by the Government to the effect that, although in practice women normally have a rest period of more than 11 hours, the Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
consecutive hours, the revision of the Code that is now being carried out might make it possible to introduce suitable amendments. It hopes that these amendments will be introduced in the near future so as to ensure that women working in industrial undertakings have in every case a night rest period of at least 11 consecutive hours.

With regard to the second point, the Committee notes the explanations of the Government to the effect that to repeal the provisions in question would run counter to the employment development programme of the Government. It is hoped that the alternative measures under consideration by the Government will lead to the full application of the provisions of the Convention on the point raised by the Committee, which asks the Government to provide information on these measures.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Bolivia, Guinea-Bissau, Yugoslavia.

Convention No. 90: Night Work of Young Persons (Industry) (Revised), 1948

Guinea (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes with regret that once again the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

The Committee recalls that in its previous comments it pointed out that section 146 of the Labour Code provides for a rest period of 11 consecutive hours, whereas Article 2, paragraph 1, of the Convention requires at least 12 consecutive hours. The Committee noted a draft Order communicated by the Government covering night work of women and children. The adoption of this draft would bring about an extension of the Code provisions so as to ensure full application of the Convention. It repeats its hope that this draft will be adopted in the near future.

Philippines (ratification: 1953)

In previous observations, the Committee noted that the legislation giving effect to the Convention had been repealed as a result of the adoption of the 1974 Labour Code and the implementing rules and regulations, and that these contained no provision prohibiting night work by children.

In 1977, the Government requested that direct contacts be established in respect of this Convention, and the Office transmitted to the Government a technical memorandum concerning the measures required to give effect to the Convention, which was subsequently discussed in Manila by an ILO official with representatives of the national services concerned, who indicated that regulations to give effect to the Convention were envisaged.
In its report for 1976-77, which was received too late to be examined by the Committee at its last session, the Government refers to regulations promulgated under section 139(b) of the Labour Code by means of Policy Instruction No. 23 approved by the Secretary of Labor on 30 May 1977, a copy of which had been communicated to the Conference Committee in June 1977. The Committee notes with interest that these regulations prohibit the employment of young persons under 16 between 10 o'clock in the evening and 6 o'clock in the morning in accordance with Article 2, paragraph 2, of the Convention. It notes further the Government's statement that Policy Instruction No. 23 has been officially issued, and requests the Government to indicate the date on which the adoption of the regulations promulgated by it was announced in newspapers of general circulation in accordance with section 5 of the Labour Code, and to provide a copy of the published text of the regulations.

The Committee wishes to draw the Government's attention to the fact that these regulations do not yet ensure the application of the following provision of the Convention:

Article 2, paragraphs 1 and 3. The regulations prohibit the night work of young persons only between the hours of 10 o'clock in the evening and 6 o'clock in the morning, whereas under these provisions of the Convention the prohibition must cover a period of at least 12 consecutive hours. The Committee hopes the Government will take the necessary steps to bring the legislation into conformity with the Convention on this point.1

* * *

In addition, a request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to Burundi.

Convention No. 92: Accommodation of Crews (Revised), 1949

Panama (ratification: 1971)

Further to its earlier observation, the Committee trusts that the Government will shortly take the necessary measures to give effect to the Convention, possibly calling on the assistance of an ILO expert, as it expressed the intention of doing in its previous report.

Article 3, paragraph 2(d), and Article 5 of the Convention. With regard to the inspection system for supervising the application of the relevant provisions, see under Convention No. 53, Article 5.1

Convention No. 94: Labour Clauses (Public Contracts), 1949

Burundi (ratification: 1963)

The Committee notes with interest that a draft Presidential Decree aimed at giving effect to the Convention is being prepared with

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
the aid of an ILO expert. It hopes that the Government will shortly be able to indicate that this Decree has been adopted.¹

**Egypt (ratification: 1960)**

The Committee notes that the Government's report again states that the Convention is applied by the Labour Code (Act No. 91 of 1959). As the Committee has pointed out in comments dating from 1962, the fact that labour legislation is generally applicable to all workers, including those who are employed under contracts covered by the Convention, is not sufficient to ensure the Convention's application.

The Committee recalls that in its previous report the Government referred to a draft provision to be inserted in amendments to the Labour Code, which would lay down the general principle required for the application of the Convention (though, as the Committee pointed out, implementing regulations would still be necessary). However, the Government has not referred to this draft provision or the necessary implementing regulations in its latest report. In this connection the Committee recalls that the Government has been referring to such amendments since 1968.

The Committee has asked the Office to send to the Government a detailed note which will explain more fully the Convention's requirements. It hopes that in the light of these more detailed explanations the Government will be able to indicate in its next report that it has taken the measures necessary to apply the Convention.²

**Guatemala (ratification: 1952)**

The Committee notes with interest that the Government intends to undertake the amendments necessary to bring national legislation into conformity with the Convention's requirements, and that before determining the terms of the labour clauses to be inserted in public contracts, it will undertake consultations with the organisations of employers and workers concerned (Article 2(3) of the Convention). The Committee recalls, however, that it has been requesting amendments in the applicable legislation (Governmental Resolution of 5 October 1962) since 1966, and that in each of its reports since 1968 the Government had promised to take action on this question.

The Committee recalls that section 2(c) of the Resolution provides that the payment of wages shall be in the form and conditions agreed with the workers in individual or collective agreements. This is contrary to Article 2, paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Convention, which requires that conditions of labour under public contracts be not less favourable than those established in the trade or industry concerned, which would not permit individual agreements on conditions of labour unless these agreements met these standards.

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.

² The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
The Committee hopes the Government will soon take the necessary measures to apply the Convention.¹

Guinea (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes with regret that once again the Government's report has not been received. It recalls that the Government had previously indicated that the conditions for applying the Convention were not met, but that measures would be taken to bring the texts into conformity with the Convention.

The Committee once again expresses the hope that steps will be taken to provide for the insertion of appropriate labour clauses in public contracts and to ensure full compliance with the various provisions of the Convention.

Mauritania (ratification: 1963)

The Committee notes with regret that once again the Government has not replied to its direct requests. It notes, however, that Decree No. 75.147 has replaced the legislation which formerly was intended to apply the Convention, but that, like the earlier legislation it provides in section 20 that the labour clauses to be inserted in public contracts shall be determined by ministerial or inter-ministerial order. The Committee therefore hopes that the Government will indicate the measures taken to determine the terms of the labour clauses to be inserted in public contracts and will supply copies of the relevant orders. It also hopes the Government will furnish the information previously requested on the application of Articles 1, paragraph 3 (measures to ensure the application of the Convention to subcontractors and assignees), Article 2, paragraph 3 (consultations with organisations of employers and workers), and Articles 2, paragraph 4, and 4(a)(iii) (notification of parties concerned) of the Convention.¹

Mauritius (ratification: 1969)

The Committee notes that the Labour Clauses in Public Contracts Ordinance, No. 31 of 1964; has been repealed and that the Government has referred in its latest report to the Labour Act of 1975 as applying the Convention.

However, the Labour Act contains no provision (as did the Ordinance) implementing the basic requirement of the Convention: that labour clauses be inserted in public contracts ensuring to the workers concerned wages (including allowances), hours of work and other conditions of labour which are not less favourable than those established for work of the same character as provided in Article 2, paragraphs 1 and 2. While there are some special provisions concerning public contracts, they are much narrower than the previous legislation and do not fulfil the Convention's requirements on a number of points.

The Committee therefore requests the Government to take the necessary steps to apply the Convention once again (for instance, by the re-enactment of the 1964 Ordinance), and in particular Article 2 of the Convention (basic provision requiring labour clauses in public contracts), Article 1(3) (application of the Convention to

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
subcontractors and assignees), Article 4(a) (notification, definition of those responsible and posting notices), and Article 4(b)(ii) (labour inspection). 1

**Panama (ratification: 1971)**

The Committee notes that the Government has not yet taken the measures necessary for the application of the Convention.

The Committee recalls that this Convention applies to all contracts concluded by public authorities with private firms to carry out construction works, perform services or furnish supplies and equipment (Article 1(1)(c) of the Convention). It requires that clauses be inserted in all such contracts ensuring to the workers employed by private firms for the purpose of carrying out the contracts conditions of labour not less favourable than those established for work of the same character, by one of the methods listed in Article 2, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention. These clauses are to be drawn up after consultation with the organisations of employers and workers concerned (Article 2(3)), and other steps are to be taken under the remaining Articles of the Convention.

The Committee regrets that the Government has not yet furnished the standard forms of agreement used for public contracts of various sorts covered by the Convention, nor the collective agreement in force for the construction industry, which were previously requested.

The Committee has requested the International Labour Office to communicate once more to the Government the detailed explanatory note on the Convention. In view of the explanations contained in this note, and in its previous comments, the Committee hopes the Government will be able to indicate in its next report the measures taken to provide for the Convention's application, and that it will communicate the information referred to above. 1

**Philippines (ratification: 1953)**

The Committee notes that no reply was received to its previous observation. The Government is requested to indicate what measures have been taken or are contemplated to assure the application of the Convention as regards public contracts for the supply of materials and services (Article 1 (1)(c)(ii) and (iii) of the Convention). 1

**Rwanda (ratification: 1962)**

The Committee recalls that in its previous observation it noted with interest the Government's intention to draw up regulations and a standard contract for tenders in order to meet the requirements of this Convention. However, in its latest report, the Government has stated - as it had done previously - that the Convention is applied by the Labour Code of 1967.

As the Committee has already pointed out in its previous comments (in particular its direct requests of 1968 and 1971) the fact that general labour legislation applies without distinction to all workers does not free a government from the obligation under this Convention of

---

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
inserting appropriate labour clauses in public contracts covered by Article 1, paragraph 1, of the Convention. Normally, such general legislation respecting wages, hours of work and other conditions of employment merely establishes minimum standards which may be exceeded by collective agreements, arbitration awards or generally prevailing conditions of employment. In such cases, labour clauses of the kind referred to in Article 2 of the Convention are designed to ensure that the conditions of work of workers employed under public contracts are equal to the levels established in that trade or industry, and are not held to the minima required by law.

More detailed explanations of the Convention's requirements will be found in the explanatory note which is also being sent to the Government.

Somalia (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

The Committee notes with interest the Government's statement that, as a result of consultations with a representative of the Director-General of the ILO, draft amendments to the Labour Code which are to ensure the application of the Convention are now under consideration. The Committee hopes that these amendments will be adopted in the near future and will be communicated to the International Labour Office.

Turkey (ratification: 1961)

The Committee notes with interest that the Government has prepared a draft decree which is intended to apply the provisions of the Convention, and requests it to indicate whether this decree has been adopted, and to provide information on the points being raised in the request being addressed directly to the Government.1

Uruguay (ratification: 1954)

The Committee notes that the Government has again stated merely that the Ministry of Transport and Public Works does not conclude public contracts of the kind covered by Article 1, paragraph 1(c)(ii) and (iii) of the Convention (contracts for the manufacture, assembly, handling or shipment of materials, supplies or equipment, and contracts for the performance or supply of services).

The Committee must point out once again that the Ministry of Transport and Public Works is not the only central authority covered by the Convention, and that any central authority which concludes contracts for supplies to be manufactured, assembled, handled or shipped or for services to be performed, must include in these contracts labour clauses of the kind referred to in Article 2 of the Convention.

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
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Convention. For instance, whenever any government authority orders materials or supplies made to its specifications, the contract for these supplies must include such clauses.

The Committee hopes the Working Party of the Ministry of Labour and Social Security, which is responsible for recommending any changes which may be appropriate to bring national law and practice into conformity with international labour Conventions, will consider the Committee's comments, along with the more detailed explanatory note on the Convention which the Committee has asked the International Labour Office to forward to the Government. It also hopes that any relevant legislation will be communicated and that, if necessary, measures will be taken to bring the national legislation into conformity with the Convention.¹

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Brazil, Central African Empire, Ghana, Morocco, Philippines, Suriname, Syrian Arab Republic, Turkey, Uganda, Zaire.

Information supplied by Kenya and Spain in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 95: Protection of Wages, 1949

Afghanistan (ratification: 1957)

The Committee notes with interest the Government's statement that the enactment of new labour laws and regulations is receiving serious consideration, with a view to giving effect to all ratified Conventions. The Committee recalls that, following direct contacts in 1974 between the competent national services and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO, a draft decree was drawn up which was to ensure legislative conformity with Convention No. 95. It accordingly hopes that the draft text will be taken into consideration in the preparation of the legislation now being envisaged and that appropriate provisions will soon be adopted to ensure the full application of the Convention.

Austria (ratification: 1951)

The Committee refers to its observation of 1976 concerning the comments made by the Austrian Congress of Chambers of Labour, as regards practical difficulties in ensuring the payment of remuneration due to workers in the event of bankruptcy (Article 11 of the Convention). It notes with interest that under the Insolvency (Guarantee of Remuneration) Act of 2 June 1977, payment of workers' claims in case of insolvency of their employers is to be guaranteed by an Insolvency Compensation Fund set up under the Federal Ministry of Social Administration.

The Committee further notes with interest that a Guaranteed Remuneration Bill, which also contains provisions concerning the ____________

¹ The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
protection of wages, is under consideration. In this connection, the Committee notes the comments made by the Austrian Congress of Chambers of Labour (communicated by the Government's report) that national legislation is generally in harmony with the Convention and that the Guaranteed Remuneration Bill will enable the requirements of the Convention to be met in a manner consonant with the demands of social policy.

Costa Rica (ratification: 1960)

See under Convention No. 11.

Democratic Yemen (ratification: 1969)

Further to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that sections 64 and 65 of the new Labour Law No. 14 of 1978 contain provisions giving effect to Articles 6 and 13 of the Convention.

Egypt (ratification: 1960)

Article 2 of the Convention. Further to its previous comments, the Committee notes the Government's statement that the draft new Labour Code has been submitted to Parliament. It accordingly hopes that the draft text will soon be adopted and will extend the provisions concerning the protection of wages to temporary workers (certain categories of whom are excluded from the application of parts of the Labour Code by sections 20(a) and 88(a) thereof).

Article 4. The Committee also expresses the hope that the necessary provisions will be adopted to regulate payment of remuneration in the form of allowances in kind in conformity with the requirements of this Article.

Greece (ratification: 1955)

Article 4 and Article 7, paragraph 2, of the Convention. The Committee notes with interest the Government's statement that the forthcoming adoption of a Bill will bring the relevant legislation into conformity with the above provisions of the Convention (protective measures relating to the payment of wages in kind and to prices in stores or services established by the employer). It hopes that the Bill will shortly be adopted.

Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (ratification: 1962)

The Government's report not having been received, the Committee must repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

Article 2 of the Convention. The Committee recalls that the legislation concerning the protection of wages does not apply to agricultural workers. It notes that, in reply to previous requests on the subject, the Government indicates once again that the draft law extending the application of the relevant provisions to agricultural workers is still under consideration. As this question has been pending for a number of years, the Committee hopes that the Government will take early steps to ensure that agricultural workers are adequately covered, as required by the Convention.
Malaysia (ratification: 1961)

Peninsular Malaysia

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that the Employment (Amendment) Act, 1976, contains provisions giving further effect to Article 4 (remuneration in kind) of the Convention.

Syrian Arab Republic (ratification: 1957)

Article 2 of the Convention. The Committee refers to its previous comments, and notes that the draft legislation which is to extend to temporary workers (at present excluded under section 88(a) of the Labour Code) the application of protection of wages provisions in Book II, Chapter II, of the Code has not yet been adopted. It hopes that the necessary action will be taken at an early date to ensure the full application of the Convention.

Turkey (ratification: 1961)

Articles 2 and 13 of the Convention. The Government states in its report that the Agricultural Labour Bill, which is to extend wage protection to workers in agriculture, and the bill amending the Labour Act, which will extend such protection to workers in small trade and handicraft occupations and also regulate the time and place of payment of wages, are still being prepared and will be submitted to Parliament at the earliest possible date. The Committee notes once more with regret that no progress has been made concerning these matters which have been the subject of comments since 1964. As the Government has mentioned for many years its intention to adopt the above legislation, the Committee trusts that the bills in question will be adopted in the very near future and will ensure the full application of the present Articles of the Convention.¹

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Central African Empire, Colombia, Cyprus, Dominican Republic, Gabon, Guyana, Iran, Italy, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Malaysia, Mauritius, Philippines, Poland, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Sudan, Suriname, Uganda, Upper Volta, Zaire.

Information supplied by Argentina, Ecuador and Romania in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 96: Fee-Charging Employment Agencies (Revised), 1949

Pakistan (ratification: 1952)

The Committee notes that the Fee-Charging Employment Agencies (Regulation) Act 1976, has not yet been brought into force, but that

¹ The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session and to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
the Government plans to do so when rules for its application have been finalised. The Committee hopes the Government will take the necessary measures to implement the Act at an early date and to ensure the progressive abolition throughout the country of fee-charging employment agencies conducted with a view to profit, as required by the Convention.

Turkey (ratification: 1952)

The Committee notes with satisfaction the adoption, by Decree No. 7/15271 of 31 March 1978, of regulations for employment intermediaries in agriculture, on which the Committee has requested measures for many years. These regulations apply Part III of the Convention to fee-charging employment agents in agriculture.

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Bangladesh, Belgium, Pakistan, Turkey, Uruguay.

Convention No. 97: Migration for Employment (Revised), 1949

France (ratification: 1954)

In the comments which the General Confederation of Labour (CGT) presented on the application of this Convention, it pointed out that handicapped adults' benefit provided for in section 35 of Act No. 75-534 of 30 June 1975, is reserved for persons of French nationality or nationals of a country which has concluded a reciprocity agreement for granting benefits to handicapped adults. In its reply the Government stated that, in the absence of a reciprocity agreement, handicapped adults' benefit could not be granted to non-nationals under Convention No. 97 for the following reasons. The Government considers that, on the one hand, whilst the Convention is intended to apply to migrant workers and members of their families, in the absence of a definition of the latter term in the Convention, reference should be made to the criteria established by national law; and these criteria would not permit a person requesting handicapped adults' benefit to qualify as a member of a worker's family. In addition, it is the Government's opinion that handicapped adults' benefit is not a social security benefit within the meaning of the Convention, but should be considered as an assistance benefit.

Whilst the Committee observes that handicapped adults' benefit constitutes an autonomous right which accrues to the person receiving it, independently of the status of that person as a family member as it is of his status as a wage earner, the Committee considers that at this stage it would be more appropriate to examine this question in the framework of the Equality of Treatment (Social Security) Convention, 1962 (No. 118), which has also been ratified by France. It therefore refers to the comments addressed to the Government under that Convention.
Guatemala (ratification: 1952)

Article 8 of the Convention. In its previous comments, the Committee, referring to paragraph 31 of its general report for 1963 and to its general observation for 1970, requested the Government to take practical measures, in the absence of express legal provisions, to call the attention of all circles concerned to the provisions of Article 8 of the Convention, under which a foreign worker who has been admitted on a permanent basis and the members of his family cannot be returned to their country of origin or to the country from which they have emigrated because the worker is unable to follow his occupation by reason of illness contracted or injury sustained subsequent to his entry.

In its report, the Government again states that no case has been reported in which the authorities competent in respect of emigration have resorted to the provisions concerning the expulsion of workers whose stay has been judged to be undesirable for the country (section 78 of the Aliens Law (Decree No. 1781 of 25 January 1936)). The Committee notes this information. As it has already stressed several times, it must, however, recall the need, in the absence of provisions in national laws or regulations giving express effect to Article 8 of the Convention and in view of discretionary powers conferred on the Executive under the above-mentioned Aliens Law, for measures to be taken to draw the attention of all concerned to this provision of the Convention. In this connection, in its report for 1974-76 the Government has declared its intention of immediately undertaking appropriate consultations with a view to adopting the practical measures required. The Committee therefore hopes that the Government will not fail to indicate the measures adopted to ensure the widest publicity in the circles concerned (including workers, occupational organisations, the police and the courts) to the provisions of Article 8 of the Convention, which, according to the information supplied earlier, repeal any conflicting provisions in the national legislation.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Belgium, Italy, New Zealand, Upper Volta, Uruguay, Yugoslavia, Zambia.

Information supplied by the United Kingdom in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 98: Right to Organise and Collective Bargaining, 1949

Argentina (ratification: 1956)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in its last report and that given to the Conference Committee in 1977. It has also studied the reports of the Committee on Freedom of Association on Case No. 842 concerning Argentina (see also the comments of the Committee of Experts under Convention No. 87).

The Committee had previously expressed the hope that the new trade union legislation that is being prepared will conform fully to the provisions of the Convention concerning protection against acts of anti-union discrimination (Article 1 of the Convention) and acts of
interference (Article 2). According to the statements made by the Government to the Committee on Freedom of Association, the amendments that may be introduced to the trade union legislation will take into consideration the obligations deriving from ratified Conventions. The Committee requests the Government to provide information on any change that may occur in respect of the application of the above-mentioned Articles of the Convention.

Moreover, it appears, from all the information available, that collective bargaining is still suspended. The adjustment of the level of wages to increases in the cost of living is carried out by decrees fixing the compulsory minimum rates and authorising increases within a margin of flexibility that can at present be as much as 75 per cent; the wage rates provided for in the collective agreements signed in 1975 have been readjusted by these decrees.

The Committee had pointed out that the suspension of collective bargaining pursuant to a policy of economic stabilisation should not exceed a reasonable length of time. Article 4 of the Convention provides that appropriate measures shall be taken to encourage and promote the full development and utilisation of machinery for the voluntary negotiation of collective agreements.

The Committee requests the Government to re-examine the situation in the light of this provision and to supply information on any developments in this respect.¹

Brazil (ratification: 1952)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1977 and also in its reports.

1. In its previous observation, the Committee made comments on the application of the Convention to workers in public undertakings. The Government refers in this connection to section 566 of the Consolidated Labour Laws, which prohibits civil servants and officials of quasi-state institutions from joining trade unions, though it expressly recognises this right to workers employed in semi-public undertakings or in foundations set up or maintained by the public authorities.

The Committee considers that this provision results in the exclusion of certain categories of workers from the guarantees provided for by the Convention. It points out that the exception provided for by Article 6 of the Convention applies only to public servants engaged in the administration of the State. The Committee notes the statement by a government representative to the Conference Committee to the effect that a committee was to carry out a general re-examination of the Consolidated Labour Laws. It hopes that the Government will take this opportunity to consider the adoption of measures enabling workers who are not excluded from the scope of the Convention to benefit from the guarantees provided in it.

2. The Committee has also made comments on section 623 of the Consolidated Labour Laws, which provides that any clause in an agreement that directly or indirectly contravenes the guiding principle of the Government's economic and financial policy or relates to current

¹ The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session and to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
wage policy shall be null and void; and section 8 of Act No. 5584, which authorises the Government to enter an appeal, which has a suspensive effect, against court decisions on collective disputes where these decisions go beyond the index fixed in accordance with the wages policy. In its reports the Government states that it has no intention of restricting the right of collective bargaining but that higher interests require the observance of the anti-inflation legal provisions.

In this respect, the Committee considers that where, for the purposes of a policy of stabilisation, a government considers that wage rates cannot be fixed freely by collective bargaining such a restriction should be applied as an exceptional measure limited to the indispensable, should not continue beyond a reasonable period of time and should be accompanied by suitable guarantees to protect the standard of living of the workers.

The Committee requests the Government to provide information on any progress made in this connection.1

**Chad** (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes with regret that once again the Government has not supplied a report on the application of this Convention.

In its previous observation, the Committee had noted that sections 121 and 122 of the Labour Code require prior approval for the entry into force of collective agreements. The Committee pointed out that such provisions may constitute obstacles to the development and promotion of free collective bargaining.

The Committee trusts that a report will be supplied for examination at its next session and that it will contain information concerning the grounds for refusals to approve collective agreements and the reasons for and the frequency of these refusals.2

**Costa Rica** (ratification: 1960)

The Committee takes note of the statement contained in the Government's last report that the draft law concerning collective labour relations has not been approved by the Legislative Assembly. The Committee also notes that the procedure concerning this bill is held up at the executive and legislative levels.

In these circumstances, the Committee can only refer to its previous comments concerning protection against acts of employer interference in workers' organisations and protection against acts of anti-union discrimination.

The Committee requests the Government again to consider the adoption of measures to guarantee such protections as are provided for in Articles 1 and 2 of the Convention.

See also under Convention No. 11.2

1 The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session.

2 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
Ecuador (ratification: 1954)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in its report. It takes note of the text of the Labour Code of 1978 and notes that this text does not change the previous situation: the Code, in its 1978 version, does not contain an express provision prohibiting an employer from adopting measures restricting the worker's freedom of association at the moment of engagement. The Committee again asks the Government to include a specific provision on this point in its legislation.

Regarding the right to collective bargaining of aeronautical technical personnel engaged in commercial air transport, the Committee notes that the pertinent provisions in the area are still under study. The Committee requests the Government to supply full information on this subject.

Finland (ratification: 1951)

The Committee takes note of the information supplied by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1977 and in its last report.

The Committee notes in particular that the amendment of the Associations Act is under study in the Ministry of Justice. It also notes that a committee was set up in 1977 to review the provisions on basic rights; the work of this committee should also help to consolidate the rights to organise and to associate.

The Committee requests the Government to continue to supply information on any progress made in revising the legislation.

Ghana (ratification: 1959)

See under Convention No. 87.

Guatemala (ratification: 1952)

See under Convention No. 87 as regards workers employed by the State whose duties are not directly connected with the administration of the State.

Indonesia (ratification: 1957)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in reply to its direct request.

In regard to protection against acts of anti-union discrimination, the Government refers to Act No. 21 of 1954. This Act prohibits certain kinds of discrimination, including anti-union discrimination. However, the Committee considers that section 1 of the Act only declares null and void each stipulation in a collective agreement which obliges an employer to accept or refuse to engage a worker because he belongs, or does not belong, to a trade union.

The Committee considers that this protection is extremely limited and does not satisfy the requirements of the Convention.

The Committee again requests the Government to specify how a worker is protected against voluntary acts of the employer aimed at
Observations concerning ratified conventions

Making his engagement subject to the condition that he does not join a trade union or cease to be a member thereof and against acts aimed at dismiss or causing prejudice to him because of his trade union affiliation or activities, according to the guarantees provided for in Article 1 of the Convention.

Regarding protection of workers' organisations against acts of interference by employers, the Government refers to Regulation No. PER.01/MEN/1975. The Committee notes that section 1(b) of this Regulation concerns the definition of a trade union, made up by and for workers.

The Committee requests the Government to indicate precisely how workers' organisations are protected in practice against acts of interference, for instance by means of measures tending to bring about the creation of workers' organisations or to financially, or through some other means, sustain them with the object of placing them under the control of an employer or an employers' organisation (Article 2 of the Convention).

The Committee has also pointed out that according to Regulation No. 49 of 1954 concerning the elaboration and conclusion of collective agreements, only registered trade unions can conclude agreements (section 1(3)). According to Regulation No. PER.01/MEN/1975 on registration of occupational organisations, only federations covering at least 20 provinces and uniting 15 trade unions can be registered. Such provisions are incompatible with Article 4 of the Convention which provides that measures must be taken to encourage and promote the development of collective bargaining between employers' and workers' organisations, with a view to regulating by this method conditions of employment. The Committee requests the Government to re-examine its legislation to bring it into conformity with the Convention. It also requests the Government to supply the text, cited in its report, of the Ministry of Labour Regulation on Transmigration and Co-operatives, No. 02/78.

The Committee requests the Government to communicate information on the application of the Convention to public undertakings.

Japan (ratification: 1953)

The Committee notes the Government's report, the comments submitted by the Japanese General Council of Trade Unions (SOHYO) and the reply of the Government thereto.

In its comments, the SOHYO states that local collective agreements in the public sector and particularly in the postal services, are not effective, and that the questions submitted to collective bargaining are very limited.

In its reply to these comments, the Government states that the 1976 agreement on collective bargaining is to be replaced by a new agreement, which may include amendments. Moreover, the rules established jointly with the trade unions in 1978 define the matters for negotiation. These agreements provide that the rules shall be constantly re-examined with a view to the improvement of procedures and the extension of the matters to be negotiated. The Government also states that negotiations are carried on in the framework of laws applying to the various specific categories of staff and that it is inevitable that they should be limited by this framework.

The Committee notes that, according to the Government's report, certain questions are still under study (for example, what action is to
be taken on negotiations that have been unsuccessful). The Committee requests the Government to provide the text of the above-mentioned agreements and to continue to provide information on the development of collective bargaining in the public sector.

Liberia (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes the information communicated to the Conference Committee in 1978. It notes, however, that no report has been received.

The Committee notes that the Government sees no obstacle to the right to organise and bargain collectively of all employees in public undertakings who are not performing functions in autonomous or public institutions.

The Committee points out that the comments it has made for a number of years concern the recognition of the right to organise and bargain collectively of persons employed by the State who do not act as agents of the public authority and to workers in public undertakings or autonomous public institutions. The documentation supplied by the Government deals only with the solution of individual disputes by some officials, and does not touch upon either officials' right to organise or their right to bargain collectively.

The Committee's previous comments also referred to the protection of all workers against all acts of anti-trade union discrimination, both at the time of engagement and during the working relationship (Article 1(2)(a) and (b) of the Convention).

The Committee hopes that the new Labour Code, which has been under study for many years, will take account of the Committee's comments, and that it will be adopted in the near future.

Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It hopes that a report will be supplied for examination by the Committee at its next session and that it will contain full information on the matters raised in its previous direct request.

1. The Committee notes with regret that, according to the report, section 24 of the Workers' Unions Act (No. 107 of 1975), which replaces section 171 of the Labour Code to which the Committee's earlier comments referred, has not extended the protection against anti-union discrimination to workers at the recruitment stage (Article 1, paragraph 2(a), of the Convention). The Committee takes note of the Government's statement that this point will be examined when consideration is given to amending the new Act. It expresses the hope that this question will be re-examined in the near future.

2. The Committee has also noted that a new law, the Civil Service Act (No. 55 of 1976) applies to all employees of Government services. It requests the Government to specify whether this Act contains provisions giving effect to the Convention, and in particular whether it contains provisions against anti-union discrimination and on collective bargaining rights for categories of officials who are not agents of the State, such as post office clerks, office workers in the decentralised services and teachers.

3. As regards the group excluded from the coverage of
the Labour Code (such as seamen and agricultural workers), the Committee notes that the Government will take the Committee's comments into consideration. It requests the Government to send a copy of the regulations which indicate the categories of workers to which the Labour Code applies.

4. The Committee also pointed out that sections 63, 64, 65 and 67 of the Labour Code, concerning the validity requirements for collective agreements, were not in conformity with Article 4 of the Convention. It trusts that the Government will take steps to give full effect to Article 4 of the Convention.

The Committee requests the Government to send a copy of Act No. 107 of 1975 and of Act No. 55 of 1976.

**Malaysia** (ratification: 1961)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in its report and that submitted to the Conference Committee in 1977.

The Committee must recall that its comments for many years have concerned sections 13 and 15 of the Industrial Relations Act, 1967 (revised in 1976). These provisions remove from the field of collective bargaining a number of questions related to the conditions of employment and the dismissal of workers and prevent the collective agreements of certain enterprises specified by the law or by the Minister from stipulating more favourable conditions than those set out in Part XII of the Employment Ordinance, 1955, unless these have been approved by the Minister.

The Committee considered that provisions restricting and controlling collective bargaining in this way cannot be considered compatible with the measures provided for by Article 4 of the Convention "to encourage and promote the full development and utilisation of machinery for voluntary negotiation ... with a view to the regulation of terms and conditions of employment by means of collective agreements".

According to the Government's latest report, a tripartite commission has completed its work on the employers' and workers' proposals for the amendment of the Industrial Relations Act, and the question should now be put before the National Joint Labour Advisory Council for further consultation.

The Committee hopes that the Government will take measures in the near future to amend the above-mentioned provisions and to bring its legislation into conformity with the Convention. The Committee requests the Government to communicate information on any development in this matter.

**Malta** (ratification: 1965)

See under Convention No. 87.

**Nicaragua** (ratification: 1967)

See under Convention No. 87.
Pakistan (ratification: 1952)

The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 and in its last report.

1. The Committee has pointed out in its previous observations that the Wages Commission, set up for the banks and insurance companies under sections 36A et seq. of the Industrial Relations Ordinance, restricts collective bargaining in these sectors. The Government states that the question has been referred to a labour commission, which is examining the system and structure of industrial relations in the country.

The Committee again points out that the frequent or repeated use of arbitration procedures, which can be set in motion by the Government even without being requested by the parties, would constitute a violation of the principle established by Article 4 of the Convention, under which collective bargaining is to be promoted and encouraged. The Committee requests the Government to provide information on any developments in the matter.

2. With regard to the comments made by the Pakistan National Federation of Trade Unions, the Committee notes that acts of anti-union discrimination have been listed as unfair labour practices on the part of the employer (section 15 of Ordinance XXIII of 1969, the text of which has been supplied by the Government), and that they can lead to the imposition of penalties by a labour court, or, in certain cases, by the National Industrial Relations Commission.

Panama (ratification: 1966)

In its previous comments the Committee noted that section 2(2) of the Labour Code excludes, with certain exceptions, all public sector officials from its scope.

The Committee takes note of the information contained in the Government's last report according to which the draft service regulations for public sector officials are still under study. The Government's report does not provide any new information on the result of this study. The Committee hopes that the service regulations will be adopted in the near future and that they will recognise for workers in the public sector who are not engaged in the administration of the State the guarantees provided for in the Convention.

Paraguay (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes that the Government's latest report contains no new information concerning the point raised by the Committee in its previous comments regarding exclusion of personnel in public undertakings from the application of the Labour Code (section 2).

In these circumstances, the Committee again urges the Government to communicate the text of the specific provisions in the service regulations applying to workers in public undertakings which protect them against acts of anti-union discrimination or employer interference and which deal with collective bargaining. It also requests the Government to adopt, in so far as such provisions are lacking, specific rules to assure the workers the protection provided for in the Convention.
Peru (ratification: 1964)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It hopes that a report will be supplied for examination by the Committee at its next session and that it will contain full information on the matters raised in its previous direct request, which read as follows:

The Committee notes the report drawn up by the Committee on Freedom of Association at its February 1978 Session on Case No. 86, relating to Peru (177th Report, paragraphs 279 to 312).

The Committee notes that, under section 13 of Legislative Decree No. 21666 of 7 June 1977, as amended by Legislative Decree No. 21899 of 2 August 1977, collective agreements, administrative labour orders and arbitration awards will only be able to determine a general increase in remuneration in accordance with the economic and financial assessment of the undertaking.

This provision, which seems to exclude from collective bargaining questions relating to conditions of employment, is a measure unfavourable to the development and utilisation of machinery for voluntary negotiation between employers and workers' organisations for the regulation of terms and conditions of employment (Article 4 of the Convention). The Committee therefore requests the Government to re-examine the legislation with a view to enabling employers and trade unions to negotiate freely on wages and conditions of employment.

Portugal (ratification: 1964)

The Committee has noted the information supplied by the Government in its report. It has noted the observations of the Portuguese Confederation of Industry communicated by the Government as well as the latter's answer according to which protection of employers' associations from acts of interference (Article 2 of the Convention) is assured by article 46 of the National Constitution concerning freedom of association. The Committee also notes that amendment of Legislative Decrees Nos. 215-B and 215-C concerning employers' associations is planned, and requests the Government to communicate further information on any developments in this regard.

Singapore (ratification: 1965)

Further to its previous observations, the Committee regrets to note that the Government's report contains no information on the questions raised in earlier comments.

The Committee had noted a number of times that several provisions imposed serious restrictions on collective bargaining in regard to bonuses and wage increases (section 46 of the Employment Act, Ch. 122 of the Laws of Singapore; sections 24 and 25 of the Industrial Relations Act, Ch. 124). After examining the practical application of this legislation, the Committee had asked the Government to review these provisions with a view to making them more flexible and possibly to replacing them by a system of guidelines resulting from voluntary agreement.

The Committee had also pointed out that various questions concerning promotion, transfer, initial engagement, retrenchment, dismissal or appointment to specific functions were excluded from collective bargaining (section 17(2) of the Industrial Relations Act).
The Committee recalls that under Article 4 of the Convention measures should be taken to promote the full development and use of machinery for voluntary collective bargaining with a view to the regulation of conditions of employment.

The Committee once again expresses the hope that the Government will review its legislation and will take the necessary measures to bring it into conformity with the Convention.

Sweden (ratification: 1950)
See under Convention No. 87.

Tanzania (ratification: 1962)
The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which reads as follows:

In its earlier direct requests, the Committee had noted that the Permanent Labour Tribunal Act of 1967 (sections 16(b) and 23) empowered this Tribunal to undertake prior examination of collective agreements resulting either in their registration with or without amendment or in a refusal to register them. The Committee had requested the Government to state the reasons and the frequency of refusal to register.

In its most recent report the Government provides information on these points. The Committee notes, in particular, that an agreement is not registered if it is contrary to the wages policy set out in Government Paper No. 4 of 1967 and that 15 collective agreements were refused for registration between 1 July 1973 and 30 June 1975.

As a general rule, the Committee considers that it is not compatible with Article 4 of Convention No. 98 to require prior approval of a collective agreement to enable the latter to come into effect nor to permit that such an agreement be declared void because it runs counter to the Government's economic policies. Instead of making the validity of collective agreements dependent on prior approval, action should be taken to convince the parties to take into account in their negotiations the economic and social policy of the Government and the general interest on a voluntary basis. Further, objectives which should be considered as being in the general interest should have been the subject of broad prior discussion at the national level in a consultative body.

Another system might be adopted under which collective agreements would only come into force after being tabled for a reasonable length of time with the competent authority. If this authority considered that clauses of the proposed agreement were clearly not in harmony with economic policy objectives recognised as being of general interest, the case could be submitted for consideration and recommendation to an appropriate consultative body on which organisations of workers and employers would be represented. The final decision should, however, always remain with the parties to the agreement.

The Committee considers that one of the Government's important aims should be the guarantee of the right to free collective bargaining. It hopes that the necessary steps will be taken to this end in the light of the above comments. The Committee requests the Government to provide information on any developments in this field and to give particulars of the
frequency and reasons for refusals to register collective agreements which have been made up to the time of sending its next report.

Uruguay (ratification: 1954)

The Committee notes the latest report communicated by the Government, in particular the information it contains regarding the conclusion of collective agreements. However, it is of the opinion that genuine negotiation of collective agreements regarding conditions of employment, including salaries, cannot take place without the participation, as workers' representatives, of legally constituted trade unions. This question is examined in a more general manner in the comments that the Committee is making regarding the application by Uruguay of Convention No. 87.

In another connection, the Committee once more expresses the hope that the necessary measures will be taken to bring the national legislation in conformity with the provisions of the Convention concerning protection against acts of anti-union discrimination (Article 1) and acts of interference (Article 2). It asks the Government to communicate information on any development in this regard.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Bahamas, Bangladesh, Bolivia, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Jamaica, Kenya, Lesotho, Malta, Mauritius, Nigeria, Norway, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda, Zaire.

Information supplied by Austria in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 99: Minimum Wage Fixing Machinery (Agriculture), 1951

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Costa Rica, Gabon, Guinea, Malawi.

Information supplied by Zambia in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session.
Convention No. 101: Holidays with Pay (Agriculture), 1952

**Brazil** (ratification: 1957)

See under Convention No. 52.

**Cuba** (ratification: 1954)

See under Convention No. 52.

**Gabon** (ratification: 1961)

See under Convention No. 52.

**Peru** (ratification: 1960)

See under Convention No. 52.

* * *

In addition, a request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to **Ecuador**.

Convention No. 102: Social Security (Minimum Standards), 1952

**Greece** (ratification: 1955)

The Committee notes with interest from the information supplied by the Government to the 64th Session of the Conference, that the requirements of the Convention are met in respect of the amount of sickness and maternity benefits. The Committee also notes the new increases in pensions and hopes that the Government will continue to supply the necessary statistics in its future reports.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: **Barbados, Ecuador, France, Mauritania, Turkey**.

Information supplied by **Iceland** in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 103: Maternity Protection (Revised), 1952

**Bolivia** (ratification: 1973)

The Committee notes with satisfaction the establishment by Legislative Decree No. 15697 of 2 August 1978 of the **Seguro Social Campesino**, which is intended to bring about gradually the protection of the whole agricultural population of the country. The Committee also
notes that during the first phase this scheme will administer medical assistance, including maternity care. The Committee hopes that measures may be taken shortly to ensure that women workers in this sector may also receive cash benefits, in accordance with the provisions of the Convention.

The Committee also requests the Government to indicate the regions in which the above-mentioned scheme has already been brought into force.

Ecuador (ratification: 1962)


1. Article 1 of the Convention (scope). The Committee notes with interest the provisions of the new Constitution concerning the extension of coverage by social security, in particular to agricultural workers. It requests the Government to provide in its next report information on progress achieved with a view to giving effect to this provision in practice and ensuring maternity protection for all women workers not yet coming under insurance, including women employed on agricultural work.

2. Article 3. (a) Paragraphs 2 and 3 (duration of leave). The Committee notes that the new Labour Code does no more than maintain the duration of maternity leave at eight weeks. Since the Convention provides that this leave shall be of at least 12 weeks, the Committee can only come back to the question, expressing the hope that the Government will do everything possible to ensure the extension of the present duration of leave so as to apply this basic provision of the Convention.

(b) Paragraph 4 (extension of pre-natal leave when confinement occurs after the presumed date). The Committee notes that the Labour Code has introduced no provision corresponding to that of the Convention. It notes, however, the statement by the Government in its report that such a provision might be introduced shortly. The Committee requests the Government to indicate in its next report any progress achieved in this connection.

3. The Committee also hopes that the Social Security Code, whose coming into effect is still suspended, may shortly be applied and that it will take account of the above-mentioned points and of those mentioned in a new direct request.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Bolivia, Brazil, Ecuador, Hungary, Poland.

Convention No. 105: Abolition of Forced Labour, 1957

Chad (ratification: 1961)

The Committee notes with regret that for the fourth year in succession the report has not been received.
Article 1(d) of the Convention. In the comments that it has been addressing to the Government for some years, the Committee has noted that Ordinance No. 30/PE/CSM of 26 November 1975 has suspended all strikes until further notice throughout the whole country and that any person contravening this provision is deemed to be acting to the detriment of good order and treated accordingly. Furthermore, Act No. 15 of 13 November 1959 to punish acts of resistance, disobedience and breach of duty towards the administrative authorities prescribes that persons who refuse to comply shall be punished by imprisonment with the obligation to work. So far as these provisions make it possible to punish participation in any strike with penalties involving the obligation to work, they are contrary to the Convention. The Committee hopes that the Government will take the necessary measures to ensure the observance of the Convention in this respect.

Guinea (ratification: 1961)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It has noted, however, the Government's statement in the Conference Committee in 1978.

1. Organisation for Work Centres of the Revolution. By virtue of Decree No. 416/PR/6 of 22 October 1964, all persons between 16 and 25 years are placed at the service of the Organisation for Work Centres of the Revolution, which is aimed at overcoming rapidly the technical and economic underdevelopment of the Republic. In answer to the Committee's comments regarding the conflict between these provisions and Article 1(b) of the Convention (which provides for the suppression of any form of forced or compulsory labour as a means of mobilising and using labour for purposes of economic developement), the Government has stated to the Conference Committee in June 1978 that the question raised is purely formal, since the Decree has not been applied in practice.

The Committee hopes the Government will seize the occasion of a forthcoming amendment of the legislation to repeal formally the Decree in question, so as to ensure observance of the Convention in law and in practice.

2. Supply of legislative texts. The Committee notes with regret that the legislative texts repeatedly requested by the Committee since 1967 are still not available; these laws and regulations (other than the Penal Code, which is already available to the Committee) concern prison labour, the preservation of public order, the press and publications, meetings and associations, vagrancy and idle persons and the discipline of seamen. It once more urges the Government to supply the texts in question, as in their absence it is unable to satisfy itself as to the conformity of the legislation with the Convention.

Haiti (ratification: 1958)

1. In observations made for a number of years, the Committee noted that each year since 1960 a Decree giving full powers to the President of the Republic has been issued suspending for a period of six to eight months a considerable number of constitutional guarantees which present necessary safeguards for the effective observance of the Convention.

According to information communicated by the Government to the Conference Committee in June 1978 and in its last report, this is due to the fact that the Legislative Chamber has become accustomed to entrusting the President with the task of seeing to the application of constitutional guarantees.
The Committee notes, however, that the Decree of 25 September 1978 renewing the full powers of the Chief of State, in order in particular to increase the well-being of the populations and defend the general interests of the Republic, does not ensure the application of constitutional guarantees, but on the contrary suspends the legal guarantees provided in articles 17, 18, 20, 21, 31, 48, 112, 122 (second paragraph) and 125 (second paragraph) of the Constitution.

The Committee can only insist on the need for the Government to take the measures necessary to ensure observance of the Convention.

2. For several years, the Committee has pointed to the fact that provisions of the Legislative Decree of 19 November 1936 on communist activities, of the Penal Code, and of the Decree of 8 December 1960 concerning the obligation of workers to observe working hours prescribe penalties involving compulsory prison labour for the expression of political opinions and breaches of labour discipline, contrary to Article l(a) and (c) of the Convention.

The Committee notes with interest the statement of a Government representative in the Conference Committee in 1978 that section 44 of the Labour Code of 1961, coming after the Decree of 1960 concerning the obligation to observe working hours, repeals all incompatible provisions and contains no penal sanctions for a worker's unjustified absence. It also notes that the committee to redraft the Penal Code has before it the Committee of Experts' comments, with a view to amending the provisions in question.

The Committee hopes that the necessary measures will be taken as regards the points raised above to ensure observance of the Convention.

**Paraguay (ratification: 1967)**

See under Convention No. 29.

**Sudan (ratification: 1970)**

With reference to its previous comments concerning the Punishment of Corruption Act, 1969 under which sentences involving compulsory prison labour could be imposed on certain persons for offences connected with the press or expression of opinion, contrary to Article l(a) of the Convention, the Committee notes with satisfaction that this text has been repealed by the Miscellaneous Amendments Act No. 33 of 1974.

It also notes with satisfaction that the Apprenticeship Ordinance, 1908, under which sentences involving compulsory prison labour could be imposed on apprentices for breach of contract of apprenticeship, which it has mentioned in its previous comments relating to Article l(c) of the Convention, has been repealed by the 1974 Apprenticeship and Vocational Training Act.

It is addressing a direct request to the Government on certain other points.

---

1 The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session.
Syrian Arab Republic (ratification: 1958)

In its previous observations and direct requests, the Committee has referred to certain provisions of the Economic Penal Code, the Penal Code, the Agricultural Labour Code and the Press Act, under which terms of imprisonment with the obligation to work may be imposed for acts coming under Article 1(a), (c) and (d) of Convention No. 105.

The Committee notes with interest the information contained in the last report of the Government to the effect that the Prime Minister's office has been requested to instruct the Minister of Justice to draw up a legal text repealing the last sentence of section 51, paragraph 3, of the Penal Code and amending section 45 and other sections of the Code, so that no compulsory labour can be imposed in the cases mentioned in the first Article of this Convention.

The Committee hopes that the next report will mention any progress made in this connection.

Zambia (ratification: 1965)

The Committee notes with regret that for the fourth year in succession no report has been received.

In previous comments, the Committee had referred to various provisions of the Societies Act (read together with article 4 of the Constitution), the Preservation of Public Security Regulations, the Industrial Relations Act, 1971 and the merchant shipping legislation, under which penalties involving compulsory labour may be imposed in circumstances falling within Article 1(a), (c) and (d) of the Convention.

The Committee is again addressing a direct request to the Government on these various points. It hopes that information on the measures taken to ensure the observance of the Convention will be supplied at an early date.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Benin, Chad, Guinea, Iceland, Jordan, Sudan, Zambia.

Convention No. 106: Weekly Rest (Commerce and Offices), 1957

Cyprus (ratification: 1968)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes that the draft Employment Law, which the Government has been referring to for some years, has been revised and sent back to the Labour Advisory Board for a fresh reading. It hopes that the Government will not fail to take the necessary steps so that this draft, which is to ensure the full application of the Convention, is adopted very shortly, and it asks the Government to communicate the text.

The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
Egypt (ratification: 1958)

The Committee notes that the report contains no new information in reply to its previous observations. It again requests the Government, therefore, to indicate the measures adopted or under consideration:

- to guarantee a weekly rest period to persons employed on preparatory or complementary work or as caretakers, cleaners, etc., who are at present excluded by section 123 of the Labour Code from the right to a weekly rest period (Article 7 of the Convention); and

- to guarantee the granting of a compensatory rest period irrespective of any extra remuneration to persons who, in the cases set out in section 120 of the Labour Code, are occasionally employed on the weekly day of rest (Article 8 of the Convention). 1

Kuwait (ratification: 1961)

The Committee notes that the Labour Bill that the Government has been referring to for some years has not yet been adopted. It again expresses the hope that suitable measures will be taken shortly to give effect to the following provisions of the Convention.

Article 2 of the Convention. It is necessary to provide for a weekly rest period of 24 consecutive hours in each period of seven days for temporary workers employed for a period of not more than six months and for workers in undertakings employing fewer than five workers in establishments covered by the Convention, since section 2 of the Labour Law (Private Sector) of 1964 excludes these classes of workers from its scope.

Article 8, paragraph 3. It is necessary for persons covered by the Convention, when they work on the weekly day of rest under section 15 of the Labour Law (Public Sector) of 1960 or section 35 of the Labour Law (Private Sector) of 1964, to be able in every case to take a compensatory rest period of 24 consecutive hours during the following seven days, irrespective of any compensatory wage. 1

Syrian Arab Republic (ratification: 1958)

Article 8, paragraph 3, of the Convention. The Government states that the Bill it has been referring to since 1966, which should guarantee a compensatory rest period to workers who have worked on the weekly day of rest by virtue of exceptions laid down in section 120 of the Labour Code, has not yet been issued. The Committee hopes that the necessary measures will be taken shortly to bring the national legislation into conformity with this provision of the Convention. 1

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Bangladesh, Bolivia, Colombia, Cuba, Denmark, Indonesia, Morocco, Suriname.

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
Information supplied by France, Gabon and Pakistan in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 107: Indigenous and Tribal Populations, 1957

The Committee has noted several cases in which governments have recently enacted legislation clarifying the status and situation of indigenous populations living in their countries, or have strengthened the powers of the competent central authorities to co-ordinate activities concerning these populations. In some cases, governments have indicated that they are envisaging such legislation.

In other cases, however, the governments concerned have not complied with their obligation under the Convention with regard to "developing, co-ordinated and systematic action for the protection of the populations concerned and their progressive integration" (Article 2 of the Convention) or to "create or develop agencies to administer the programmes involved" (Article 27).

In the absence of such a central body, these governments have not been able to communicate the detailed information on the situation of the indigenous populations and the measures taken concerning them, which is necessary to enable the Committee to determine the degree to which the Convention is applied.

The Committee suggests that recent legislative and practical measures taken in several countries might serve as guidelines for the creation of the necessary bodies and for developing the required co-ordinated and systematic action in this field. This may be an appropriate subject for consultations with the International Labour Office, which could provide examples of measures taken in other countries.

Argentina (ratification: 1960)

The Committee refers to its general observation under this Convention concerning the need to establish central authorities for dealing with indigenous populations, which have the powers necessary to plan and co-ordinate action in this field.

In general, the Committee hopes the Government will be able to provide more detailed information on the situation in each of the provinces in which indigenous populations live, concerning the legislative and practical measures which have been taken in their regard. More particularly, it hopes information will be furnished on the number and location of indigenous reserves in each province, or on other arrangements to ensure that these populations enjoy the right to possession or ownership of lands. 1

Bolivia (ratification: 1962)

The Committee notes that no report was received for examination this year. It recalls that a very brief report was received in 1977 and that the last detailed report dates back to 1974.

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
In the direct request addressed to the Government in 1978, the Committee raised a number of questions with important implications for indigenous populations. Among them were the absence of any government agency with over-all responsibility for indigenous populations, which the Committee suggested might be an appropriate subject for consultations with the International Labour Office; the delegation of authority over forest-dwelling indigenous populations to religious missions in some areas, with no reported supervision by the Government; the proposed policy to encourage the large-scale settlement of white immigrants in areas presently inhabited by forest-dwelling indigenous populations; and the absence of government supervision of the conditions of recruitment and labour of these populations.

The Committee is again raising these matters in a direct request. It trusts that the Government will provide a comprehensive report for examination at the Committee's next session concerning the conditions of life and work of the indigenous populations, and the measures taken to ensure the application of the Convention.

Brazil (ratification: 1965)

The Committee has noted the detailed reports furnished by the Government in 1977 and 1978. It notes, however, that these reports do not reply to many of the points raised in the previous request, and hopes that the Government will base its next report both on the terms of the Convention and on the detailed request addressed to it again this year.

The Committee notes that isolated Indian groups are being exposed to accelerating contact with non-Indian society, which is affecting their health and cultures. It considers that as the pace of development of the Amazon region in particular increases, there are likely to be an increasing number of instances in which forest-dwelling indigenous groups are affected by the proximity of roads, settlements and industrial projects (e.g. timber-cutting and mineral extraction) to the lands which they occupy. The Committee also notes the continuing debate in the country over the speed with which and the manner in which the Indians should be integrated into the national society.

The Committee accordingly hopes that the Government, in planning activities for the development of the Amazon, will do everything possible to minimise the effect of these activities on the indigenous populations until they are prepared for increased contacts with the national society. In planning the direction of the integration policy for the Indians, the Committee hopes that consultations will be carried out with representatives of the Indians themselves as well as with anthropologists and with the National Indian Foundation.

In particular, the Committee hopes that the procedures under consideration for the emancipation of Indian communities from tutelage will provide for preliminary studies by anthropologists before decisions are made in each case, for an effective consultation with the community concerned, for the provision of technical and other assistance, and for measures to ensure their continued possession of the lands necessary for their development.1

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
Costa Rica (ratification: 1959)

In addition to the request addressed directly to the Government, see the observation under Convention No. 11.

Mexico (ratification: 1959)

The Committee has noted with interest the continuing improvements in the protection of indigenous populations, particularly in regard to legal services, social security coverage, health care and education. It recalls that, following a suggestion by the Committee, the Government stated in a previous report that it had communicated copies of its reports on the application of the Convention to groups of indigenous populations for their information and comments. It notes with particular interest the Government's statement that the comments received from these groups on the application of the Convention have been a decisive factor in the establishment of the General Co-ordination of the National Plan for Depressed Areas and Marginal Groups (COPLAMAR), which will co-ordinate the development programmes being carried out by various bodies.

Paraguay (ratification 1969)

The Committee has examined the information communicated by the Government, from which it appears that over the last few years the Government has made some progress in improving its programmes for the benefit of indigenous peoples and in the co-ordination of these programmes. However, the Committee has also taken note of two resolutions adopted by the Inter-American Human Rights Commission at its May 1977 Session, in which it is stated that Paraguay had not replied to a series of allegations of serious human rights abuses in connection with the Indians of the country. The Committee hopes the Government will furnish detailed information on the matters raised in these allegations, to the extent that they have a bearing on the application by Paraguay of the present Convention.

In addition, the Committee recalls that title to a number of indigenous settlements has been vested in religious missions and other bodies with a view to the administration of the Indians living in these areas. It would appear from the information so far received that the arrangements for co-ordination and supervision of the activities carried on in these settlements by these bodies do not always enable the Government to be informed in detail of how these areas are administered. The Committee therefore hopes that the Government will be able to increase its supervisory activities over all aspects of the administration of these areas, and that it will include in its reports more detailed information on the situation of the Indians living there.  

*   *   *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Egypt, Mexico, Panama, Paraguay.

---------

1 The Government is requested to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1980.
Convention No. 108: Seafarers' Identity Documents, 1958

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Barbados, Romania, Spain.

Information supplied by Malta in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 111: Discrimination (Employment and Occupation), 1958

Afghanistan (ratification: 1969)

The Committee notes with interest the Government's statement that the enactment of new labour laws and regulations is receiving serious consideration, with a view to giving effect to all ratified Conventions. It accordingly hopes that appropriate provisions will soon be adopted to ensure the full application of the Convention.

With reference to its previous direct request, the Committee would appreciate receiving information on measures taken or contemplated to promote equal development of practical facilities for training, employment and productive activities in the various regions of the country inhabited by populations of different ethnic origins.

The Government is asked to indicate what has been the development of participation by women in vocational training and in employment at various levels (in the industrial, commercial and public service sectors) and what specific measures have been taken by it to promote equality of the sexes in this connection (independent of the question of equal pay, examined in connection with Convention No. 100).

Argentina (ratification: 1968)

The Committee notes the information provided by the Government in reply to its previous comments, particularly the decisions of the Supreme Court referred to in the report. It regrets, however, that the validity of Act No. 21274 respecting dismissal (Ley de prescindibilidad) has been extended again, up to 31 December 1978, and it hopes that the Government will shortly be able to state that all emergency provisions of this nature have ceased to be in force.

Bulgaria (ratification: 1961)

Further to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction from the report provided by the Government that, with a view to eliminating any provision that might be interpreted as establishing distinctions or preferences based on political opinions or activities, the new Ordinance on the placement and employment of young specialists, published on 15 April 1977 does not mention political opinion among the criteria to be taken into consideration, in contrast to the previous Ordinance.

Chad (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes with regret that, this year again, the Government's report has not been received and that therefore it still
has no replies to the points referred to in its previous observation and in its direct requests repeatedly made since 1969. Therefore it urges the Government to supply full information as regards: (a) measures taken or contemplated to ensure in practice the promotion of equality in matters of training and employment opportunities of the various groups of the population distinguished by ethnic, racial or social origin, etc.; (b) the policy followed with a view to allowing women to benefit in practice from equality of opportunities in matters of vocational training and employment; (c) posts from which women are excluded under article 9 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Chile (ratification: 1971)

With reference to its previous observation and to the information given by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 and that provided in its report, the Committee notes with interest that Legislative Decree No. 2200 of 15 May 1978 on the contract of employment and the protection of workers has reaffirmed the principle of no discrimination in employment on the various grounds covered by the Convention and that the constitutional provisions are being reviewed. The Committee points out that it has in particular stressed its concern regarding the present constitutional provisions that declare the dissemination of doctrines held to be contrary to the established régime to be illegal and detrimental to the constitutional order, in view of the effect that these provisions might have on the protection provided for by the Convention against discrimination on the basis of political opinion. It hopes that the new provisions will furnish a clearer guarantee of the application of the Convention in this respect.

Moreover, having noted that section 5 of Legislative Decree No. 2345 of 17 October 1978 enables the Government to terminate the functions of any person employed in the administration or public enterprises irrespective of any other requirement or legal provision, the Committee requests the Government to indicate what guarantees exist or will be introduced to ensure the observance of the Convention in the exercise of this power.

With regard to the present situation of persons dismissed under the emergency measures that were in force between September 1973 and March 1975, the Committee notes in particular that the Government has stated its willingness to examine in a spirit of equity the cases that could be reasonably alleged to constitute injustices of the past. It would be grateful if the Government would provide information on any measures taken to make this known at the national level and on any arrangements by means of which the persons concerned may apply for the re-examination of their case and the use made of these arrangements in practice.

Czechoslovakia (ratification: 1964)

The Committee notes that, after examination of a representation submitted by the International Confederation of Free Trade Unions in virtue of article 24 of the Constitution, the Governing Body, at its 208th Session (November 1978), did not consider to be satisfactory the reply of the Government concerning reasons for the dismissal of workers who had signed or supported a document criticising the policy of the Government taken against them on the grounds that they had endangered
the security of the State or failed to meet the requirements of their employment or of labour discipline (sections 46 and 53 of the Labour Code). The Governing Body therefore decided, in virtue of article 25 of the Constitution, that the representation and the reply should be published together with the report of the committee it set up to consider the representation.¹

In the light of this examination of the matter, the Committee, referring to its previous observations, recalls that the measures authorised by the Convention in connection with the security of the State or the requirements of certain jobs should not be applied in such a way as to conflict with the basic protection provided by the Convention against discrimination in respect of employment on the grounds of political opinion. The Committee therefore asks the Government to indicate the measures taken or contemplated to ensure that all sanctions previously imposed for reasons incompatible with this protection be duly reconsidered in the light of the provisions of the Convention. The Committee also hopes that the Government will take all suitable measures to guarantee more effectively that the provisions of national laws in this field may not be applied for reasons that would be incompatible with the protection laid down by the Convention in respect of the elimination of discrimination on the basis of political opinion.²

Egypt (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes with satisfaction the promulgation of Order No. 19 of 1978 modifying Order No. 64 of 1960 to prescribe the types of work on which it is unlawful to employ women and reducing, in the light of scientific progress and increasing participation of women in the labour market, the number of prohibited types of work.

The Committee hopes that the Government will also supply the information requested in 1978 concerning the results of the work of the committee established by Ministerial Order No. 761 of 1976 to review and amend all the laws concerning journalists, taking into account previous comments made on this subject in connection with the elimination of discrimination based on political opinion. In addition, the Committee would appreciate receiving information on the contents and effects of new principles adopted by referendum on 21 May 1978 concerning the exclusion of persons advocating certain opinions from certain posts, particularly in the public sector and in the information media, and on the measures taken to ensure the application of the Convention in this connection.

Federal Republic of Germany (ratification: 1961)

While thanking the Government for its detailed report, the Committee recalls that it has suspended its examination of the questions mentioned in its previous observation, since a representation on the same subject in virtue of article 24 of the Constitution has been presented to the Governing Body of the International Labour


²The Government is asked to supply full particulars to the Conference at its 65th Session and to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
Office. The Committee notes that at the time of its present session, the procedure relating to this representation is going on and it therefore makes no new comments on the matter.

Guinea (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes that the Government’s report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

The Committee notes with regret that the Government’s report, which arrived too late to be examined in 1977, refers again to section 41 of the Constitution (concerning freedom of religion and the separation of State and Church), to section 2 of the Labour Code (concerning equality amongst workers without distinction on grounds of sex or nationality), and section 6 of the Public Service Statute (concerning equality amongst state employees without distinction on grounds of sex), but contains no new information in reply to its earlier comments concerning the elimination of discrimination in employment on the basis of political opinion. In this connection, the Government had previously stated, on the one hand, that access to employment in the public service and in private undertakings is obtained through specialised services set up by decision of the Party and carried out by the Government under the supervision of the Party, and on the other hand, the principles of the Party against discrimination include equality without any distinction on the basis of race, colour, sex, religion, region or nationality. In the light of this supervision by the Party and the fact that these principles do not include political opinion, the Committee again requests the Government to indicate what steps have been taken or are contemplated to ensure also the elimination of any discrimination in employment, within the meaning of the Convention, based on political opinion.

India (ratification: 1960)

The Committee thanks the Government for the detailed information supplied in reply to its previous comments. It notes with interest that a commission consisting of a chairman and four members including the Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, has been set up on 27 July 1978 to investigate all matters relating to the safeguards provided under the Constitution for these communities and to report to the President. The Committee notes also that, to solve difficulties experienced in finding suitably qualified candidates belonging to the Scheduled Castes/Tribes for filling posts in the services where technical, special or professional qualifications are required, further measures have been taken which include age relaxation and relaxation of standards, suitability and "experience" qualifications in the case of direct recruitment; fee concessions and travelling allowances for interviews; concessions in the posts filled by promotion, including relaxation of standards in departmental examinations. The Indian Institutes of Management also provide relaxation to Scheduled Castes/Tribes candidates in all stages of the selection procedure and conduct remedial courses for weaker students. The Committee would be glad if the Government would continue to supply information on further action taken and results achieved in connection with the matters mentioned above.

It appears from the report that several State Governments and Union Territories have constituted advisory committees under section 6
of the Equal Remuneration Act, 1976; the Central Government and certain State Governments/Union Territories have also appointed authorities for hearing and deciding claims and complaints under section 7 of the Act and the State Governments/Union Territories who have not done so have been reminded to take suitable action soon. The Committee hopes that the Government would be able to provide in its next report information on further results achieved in this respect.

Finally, having noted that a comprehensive programme of special legislative and administrative measures, known as the "National Plan of Action for Women" was formulated in 1977 with a view to providing safeguards for women against economic and social injustices, disabilities and discriminations, and that a blue-print of it has been circulated to State Governments, concerned departments, etc. The Committee would greatly appreciate information on measures taken or envisaged to ensure the effective implementation of the above-mentioned Plan of Action.

Israe (ratification: 1959)

The Committee refers to its previous comments and notes with interest from the Government's report that the Legislative Assembly has approved an amendment to the Employment Service Act to the effect that a breach of section 42 (prohibiting discrimination) constitutes an offence. It also notes that a bill on equality of opportunity for men and women in employment and vocational training has been submitted to the Assembly, and a re-examination of the regulations which prohibit women from undertaking certain work has been begun by the Government. The Committee will be interested to know what results from these actions, and it hopes that all other appropriate legislative or administrative measures will be taken as regards equality of opportunity and treatment for all categories of persons covered by the Convention. Moreover, the Committee hopes the next report will contain more detailed information on the practical measures taken and the results obtained within the action programmes which, according to the previous report, were undertaken to promote opportunities for access to and advancement in employment for citizens regardless of their different religions and ethnic origins and of their sex.

As regards the situation of Arab workers of the occupied territories, referred to in the previous observation, the Committee notes that it has been followed by measures taken by the Director-General as indicated in his Report to the 64th Session of the Conference; it has been informed that the Director-General has recently sent a second mission to Israel and the occupied Arab territories, and that the mission's report is to be presented to the Conference. In these circumstances, the Committee refrains from further comment on the situation.

Italy (ratification: 1963)

The Committee notes with satisfaction the adoption in December 1977 of the Act on equality of treatment of women and men in employment which applies to both public and private sectors and outlaws discrimination on the grounds of sex, marital and family status and pregnancy as regards recruitment, type of work, advertising, vocational guidance and training, promotion and career progression, and remuneration. The Act further provides that women can choose whether to retire at age 55 (former statutory retirement age for women) or whether to continue to work until age 60 like their male colleagues; that rights to leave of absence to look after a child less than a year
old or to take care of a sick child may be exercised also by the father and spells out the penalties for non-compliance and the forms of redress open to those complaining of discrimination. The Committee would greatly appreciate receiving information on the practical application of the above Act.

Liberia (ratification: 1959)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

Having noted, from the information supplied by the Government in reply to its previous observation that a measure has been already taken with a view to removing from the Public Land Law provisions (providing different conditions for aborigines and other citizens of the Republic in matters of rights to land) which are inconsistent with national unification and integration policy, the Committee would appreciate it if the Government would supply in its next report detailed information on the measure referred to above and on further action taken in this connection.

Since the Government indicates in its report that the new Labour Code in which specific provisions to prevent discrimination in employment are included has been submitted to the national legislature in early 1976, the Committee trusts that the new Labour Code will contain provisions designed to promote equality of opportunity and treatment for all workers without distinction within the meaning of the Convention and it hopes that the Government will be able to supply a copy of the new Labour Code with its next report.

Madagascar (ratification: 1961)

Further to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that section 5(2) of Decree No. 61-225 of 19 May 1961 has been repealed by Decree No. 78-225 of 24 July 1978. The former Decree laid down rules for labour inspectors which limited female inspectors to 10 per cent of the real total of inspectors on account of the special conditions of physical aptitude required for certain jobs. The Committee would be grateful if the Government would indicate in its next report whether section 8 of the General Civil Service Rules, which allows restriction of the employment of female personnel to 10 per cent in responsible posts, has also been repealed.

Malta (ratification: 1968)

The Committee thanks the Government for the information supplied in reply to its previous comments. It notes in particular with satisfaction that section 26A of the Conditions of Employment (Regulation) Act, 1952, as amended, which provided that where there occurs a vacancy in any employment previously occupied by a man, the vacancy shall be filled only by a man, has been repealed by Act No. XXVII adopted on 4 December 1978.

The Committee notes with interest that in the teaching and nursing professions where a considerable number of women are employed the proviso not to retain married women has been withdrawn. However, for a relatively small number of female clerks presently employed in the public service the requirement to resign their positions upon
marriage is still in force. The Committee trusts that the Government will be able to indicate in its next report that the legislation and practice have been brought into line with the Convention also in respect of female clerks employed in the public service.

Norway (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes with satisfaction, the adoption on 9 June 1978 of Act No. 45 on Equal Status Between the Sexes which provides, inter alia, that it is unlawful to discriminate between men and women in matters of recruitment, promotion, pay, termination of employment, education, training, association, etc., specifying, however, that it will be possible to give priority to one sex if it will help in the long term to regulate any imbalance between the sexes in the trade or profession in question; to enforce the Act, an Equal Status Ombudsman and an Equal Status Appeals Board are to be appointed. The Committee would greatly appreciate information on the practical application of this Act.

The Committee notes with interest the comments from the Norwegian Confederation of Trade Unions concerning the Working Environment Act and the Equal Pay Agreement between the Norwegian Employers' Confederation and the Confederation of Trade Unions in Norway for the implementation of the equality principle. Noting further that the Norwegian Labour Party and the Confederation of Trade Unions in Norway have appointed a committee whose task is to submit proposals for a solution to the problem of low pay which, as indicated by the Government, is of special importance for women since they are over-represented in the low-pay groups, the Committee will greatly appreciate information on any further developments in this respect.

Portugal (ratification: 1959)

Further to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction, from the information provided in the Government's report, that under Legislative Decree No. 485/77 adopted on 17 November 1977 "... the Government undertakes to assume its Constitutional responsibility to promote improvement of conditions for women in Portuguese society and eliminate any discrimination on the grounds of sex still subsisting in laws and social life ..."; and that the Commission on the Status of Women is placed on a formal footing and expected to make proposals for the creation of machinery necessary for the application of the new legislation. The Committee would be glad if the Government would supply in its future reports information on the activities of the Commission, in particular as regards the creation of adequate conditions with a view to promoting in practice a more equitable participation of women in the field of employment at all levels.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Austria, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Brazil, Bulgaria, Chad, Ethiopia, Finland, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Ghana, Guyana, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, Iraq, Ivory Coast, Jamaica, Jordan, Kuwait, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Mali, Mauritania, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Nepal, Netherlands, Niger, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Romania, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Sudan, Spain, Switzerland, Trinidad and Tobago, Turkey, USSR, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia.
Information supplied by Ecuador, Paraguay and Senegal in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 112: Minimum Age (Fishermen), 1959

Guinea (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

Referring to its earlier direct requests, the Committee regrets to note from the report for the period 1974-76 that the Government has not considered it indispensable to issue the draft Order to give effect to the Convention, since the Convention is widely disseminated and strictly applied in accordance with sections 150, 151 and 177 to 180 of the Labour Code.

The Committee observes that the above-mentioned provisions of the Labour Code fix a general minimum age of 14 years and provide for the possibility of prescribing a higher age for certain jobs by issuing Orders, but that no provision of this kind seems to have been adopted for employment on board fishing vessels.

In these circumstances, the Committee hopes that the Government will take the necessary measures to give effect to the provisions of the Convention, which prescribe a minimum age of 15 years for work on board fishing vessels.

Liberia (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes with regret that for three consecutive years the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

The Committee recalls that section 326 of the Maritime Law which lays down a minimum age applies only to vessels engaged in foreign trade and that Section 74 of the Labour Law, which prohibits the employment of children under 16 years of age during the hours when they are required to attend school, does not ensure that children under the age of 15 shall not be employed on work on fishing vessels, in accordance with Article 2(1) of the Convention.

The Government has stated since 1968 that a new Labour Code would ensure the full application of the Convention. The Committee regrets, however, that the report due this year has not been supplied and that no information is accordingly available on any progress made.

Convention No. 113: Medical Examination (Fishermen), 1959

Guinea (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes with regret that for two consecutive years the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:
Observations concerning ratified conventions

Article 3, paragraph 1, and Article 5 of the Convention.

In its report for 1971-73, the Government stated that a draft order to regulate the conditions of engagement of fishermen would shortly come before the Labour Advisory Committee before being submitted for signature to the Minister for the Public Service and Labour. The Committee trusts that this order will be adopted in the near future so as to ensure the application of all the provisions of the Convention, including Article 3, paragraph 1, and Article 5, concerning which appropriate clauses were to be included in the draft.

Liberia (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes with regret that for three consecutive years the Government’s report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

The Committee recalls that section 336(3)(d) of the Maritime Law (as amended), which provides that a seaman shall not be entitled to sickness or injury benefits if at the time of his engagement he refused to be medically examined, does not ensure the medical examination of persons to be employed on fishing vessels, in accordance with Articles 2 to 5 of the Convention. It notes moreover that, by virtue of section 290(2)(a), even the above-mentioned provisions do not apply to ships under 75 net tons.

The Government has stated since 1973 that a new Labour Code would ensure the full application of the Convention. The Committee regrets that the report due this year has not been supplied and that no information is accordingly available on any progress made. It trusts that the necessary provisions will be adopted at an early date.

Convention No. 114: Fishermen’s Articles of Agreement, 1959

Guinea (ratification: 1960)

Articles 4, 10 and 11 of the Convention. See under Convention No. 113 respecting the adoption of the draft Order to regulate the conditions of engagement of fishermen.

The Committee recalls again the information supplied previously by the Government to the effect that the above-mentioned draft Order would give effect to these Articles of the present Convention.

Liberia (ratification: 1960)

See under Convention No. 113.

Mauritania (ratification: 1963)

Article 3, paragraph 1, of the Convention. See the observation concerning Convention No. 22, Article 3, paragraph 1.

*  *
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In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: **Cyprus, Panama, Peru, Uruguay**.

### Convention No. 115: Radiation Protection, 1960

**Ecuador** (ratification: 1970)

The Committee notes that no regulations have yet been issued under the Health Code to apply the detailed provisions of the Convention. It notes however that the Occupational Safety and Health Regulations issued by the Ecuadorian Social Security Institute by Resolution No. 172 of 29 September 1975 contain general provisions on the protection of workers against radiation, and provide for the fixing of maximum permissible doses. It must nonetheless stress once again that the present legislation is not adequate for the application of the Convention and that specific measures will be necessary to give effect to Articles 3 to 9, paragraph 1, and Articles 11, 13 and 14 of the Convention. The Committee hopes that appropriate measures will be taken in the near future and will ensure the complete application of the Convention. It also asks the Government to indicate the particulars of the medical examinations provided for under s. 25 of the Regulations and the periodicity thereof (Article 12).

**India** (ratification: 1975)

The Committee notes with satisfaction that Notification GSR.756 dated 15 May 1976 prohibits the employment of young persons below the age of 18 years as radiation workers, thus giving effect to Article 7, paragraph 1(b), of the Convention.

**In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Barbados, Ghana, Guinea, Guyana, India, Iraq, Italy, Switzerland.**

### Convention No. 117: Social Policy (Basic Aims and Standards), 1962

**Costa Rica** (ratification: 1966)

See under Convention No. 11.

**Guinea** (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes that for the fourth year in succession the report of the Government has not been received. It hopes that a report will be provided for examination by the Committee at its next session and that it will contain information on the following points:

**Article 7 of the Convention.** The Committee notes that only expatriate European workers benefit by the measures taken to allow the partial transfer of earnings to their home area. It hopes that the
Government will indicate in its future reports any measures taken to extend these benefits to other classes of migrant workers than those coming from Europe.

**Article 10.** The Committee would be grateful if the Government would provide data on the minimum wage rates in force in the industrial sector and state whether these rates have been fixed in consultation with the employers' and workers' organisations, through the Labour Advisory Board or in some other way.

**Article 12.** The Committee hopes that provisions will shortly be adopted to regulate forms of savings and protect wage earners against usury.

**Article 15.** The Committee hopes that measures will shortly be taken to fix a school-leaving age in conformity with that required by the Convention.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Brazil, Central African Empire, Ghana, Jamaica, Panama, Paraguay, Sudan, Syrian Arab Republic, Tunisia, Zaire.

Information supplied by Ecuador in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 118: Equality of Treatment (Social Security), 1962

**France** (ratification: 1974)

**Article 3.** paragraph 1. of the Convention - branch (d) (invalidity benefit). The Committee notes that, under section 35 of Act No. 75-534 of 30 June 1975 laying down a policy in favour of handicapped persons, the allowance for handicapped adults is paid to foreigners resident in the national territory only when they are nationals of a country which has entered into a reciprocity agreement with France respecting the grant of this allowance.

The Committee also notes the comments on the application of this provision made by the General Confederation of Labour (CGT) on 26 January 1977 in relation to Convention No. 97 and the Government's reply to these comments made to the Conference Committee in 1978 and in its communication of 6 July 1978.

The Committee finds that the granting of this allowance constitutes a personal right of the beneficiary, unrelated to any discretionary assessment of needs, and that the allowance is granted and financed as a family allowance under section 37 of the above-mentioned Act. It is thus a benefit of the type covered by Article 2, paragraph 6(a), of the Convention (benefits other than those the granting of which depends either on direct financial participation by the persons protected or their employer, or on a qualifying period of occupational activity). The right to this allowance should therefore be guaranteed to nationals of any of the States that have accepted the obligations of the Convention in respect of the invalidity benefit branch who are resident in France (without prejudice, if necessary to the right of the
Government to resort to Article 4, paragraph 2(b), of the Convention in respect of condition of residence. It is therefore unnecessary to conclude a special agreement for the purpose, as the Convention should be considered to establish a system of automatic reciprocity similar to that of the Equality of Treatment (Accident Compensation) Convention, 1925 (No. 12), which has been ratified by France. The Committee recalls in this connection the precedent established by the French Supreme Court (Cour de Cassation) in its order of 24 February 1934.

The Committee requests the Government to indicate the measures contemplated to give full effect to the Convention on this point, either by treating the Convention as a reciprocity agreement with the States concerned (at present, Brazil, Ecuador, Iraq, Italy, Jordan, Kenya, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Mauritania, Mexico, Netherlands, Syrian Arab Republic, Tunisia, Turkey and Zaire) and giving it the necessary publicity, or by expressly amending national legislation.

**Guinea** (ratification: 1967)

The Committee notes with regret that for the second year in succession the Government has not supplied a report. The report supplied for the year 1977 did not contain any reply to the comments made. In the circumstances, the Committee is obliged to reiterate its previous comments hoping that the next report will contain full information and indicate the measures taken on the following points:

**Article 4(1)** of the Convention. The Government stated in its report for 1970-71 that the term "international conventions" used in section 113 of the Social Security Code was interpreted as referring to Convention No. 118 and that measures would be taken to deal with cases of residence or transfer of residence abroad. The Committee would again ask the Government to state in its next report whether, on that assumption, it has taken steps to ensure that foreign workers who are citizens of a State Member for which the Convention is in force, and their survivors, receive benefits in the form of a pension in the same way as nationals.

**Article 5.** In its first report the Government stated that old-age and survivors' benefits, death grants and employment injury pensions were paid in cases of residence abroad, but in its report for the period 1970-71 it stated that such payments were subject to the conclusion of agreements with friendly countries. The Committee noted that only one draft agreement of this kind had been planned with Senegal, Mali and Mauritania within the framework of the Organisation of Senegal River States, but that this project was in abeyance. The Committee would stress that, according to the Convention, the payment of the benefits in question must be fully guaranteed in the case of residence abroad, irrespective of the country of residence and even when no agreement has been entered into, both to citizens of Guinea and to citizens of any other State Member which has accepted the obligations of the Convention in respect of the branch in question; agreements with States of residence were justified only as a means of determining, where necessary, the methods of payment. As the legislation in Guinea does not appear to contain any restriction as to the territories in which such benefits may be paid (except for the restriction applying only to the non-nationals mentioned under Article 4 above), the Committee would ask the Government to take the necessary steps to apply the Convention in practice in this respect.

**Article 6.** Since section 38 of the Social Security Code...
provides that family allowances are payable only in respect of children residing in Guinea, the Committee would once again ask the Government to state what measures it proposes to take, by bilateral or multilateral agreement with the States concerned or otherwise, to guarantee the payment of family allowances to all workers covered by Guinean legislation in so far as they are nationals of Guinea or of another State Member which has accepted the obligations of the Convention concerning family allowances, in respect of the children of those workers who are resident in any of those States.

**Articles 7 and 8.** The Government stated, in its report for 1970-71, that steps would be taken by the Government, as soon as the need arose, to participate with other Members for which the Convention was in force in a system for the maintenance of acquired rights and rights in course of acquisition. The Committee noted that a draft agreement, which was in abeyance, had been drawn up with Senegal, Mali and Mauritania. It would ask the Government to indicate in its future reports any measures that may be taken to implement these Articles of the Convention.

**Suriname** (ratification: 1976)

**Articles 4 and 5 of the Convention—branch (g) (employment injury benefits).** The Committee notes the information supplied by the Government in reply to its earlier comments. It recalls that section 6, paragraph 8, of Decree No. 145 of 10 September 1947, as amended and supplemented by Ordinance No. 164(d) of 24 November 1975, provides that the right to cash benefits shall be forfeited if the beneficiary, whatever his nationality, leaves the country without the consent of the employer before the end of the three-year period following the accident, during which the degree of disablement can be reviewed. The Committee is bound to observe once more that this provision is contrary to the Convention, under which employment injury pensions (cash benefits for disability presumed to be permanent) must continue to be paid without restriction even if the beneficiary, whether a national or a subject of a State that has accepted the obligations of the Convention for this branch, transfers his residence outside the territory. The Committee again expresses the hope that the restriction on the payment of cash benefits abroad may be abolished, at least from the time when the disability is considered to be permanent, even if its degree may still have to be reviewed (but without prejudice to any arrangements made, for example, under Article 11 of the Convention, for the checking of the injured person's condition when resident abroad).

**In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Barbados, France, Libyan Arab Jasahiriya, Turkey.**

**Convention No. 119: Guarding of Machinery, 1963**

**Congo** (ratification: 1964)

The Committee notes that information will be supplied as soon as an order is adopted to define the machinery and parts of machinery to which section 135 of the Labour Code applies. The Committee stresses that without such a determination Article 2 of the Convention.
prohibiting the sale, hire, transfer in any other manner and exhibition of dangerous machinery without appropriate guards, remains not applied. It reiterates its firm hope that an order will shortly be issued to determine the machinery and parts of machinery to which the prohibition in section 135 of the Labour Code applies.

Guatemala (ratification: 1964)

The Committee notes that consultations are still being held with a view to drawing up provisions to give effect to Part II of the Convention. The Committee recalls that since 1968 it has drawn attention to the need for adoption of provisions prohibiting the sale, hire, transfer in any other manner and exhibition of dangerous machinery without appropriate guards.

The Committee hopes that rules to apply this part of the Convention will be adopted at an early date.

Guinea (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

Further to previous comments, the Committee notes with regret from the Government's report that no change has taken place in the national laws and regulations concerning the application of the following provisions of the Convention: Article 2, paragraph 2 (prohibition of the transfer of dangerous machinery in any manner other than sale or hire - e.g. transfer in the form of loan), Article 11 (prohibition of the use of the machinery by a worker without the guards provided being in position and of the removal or making inoperative of safety guards) and Article 17 (measures to be taken to apply the Convention in the maritime and agricultural sectors).

The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly take measures to give effect to the above-mentioned requirements of the Convention.

Jordan (ratification: 1964)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

The Committee recalls that in previous direct requests it had drawn attention to the fact that only partial effect is given to the Convention. In particular, there are no provisions relating to the sale, hire, transfer and exhibition of machinery as required by Part II of the Convention.

Further, it is not clear whether effect is given to Article 17 of the Convention which requires that its provisions shall apply to machinery in all branches of economic activity (including, for example, mines, ships, transport, building and construction, agriculture, subject, in the case of road and rail vehicles and agricultural machinery to the limitations laid down in Article 1, paragraph 3), since the Regulations No. 57 of 1963, which give effect to certain provisions of the Convention, apply only to machinery in "industry".

The Committee notes that the comprehensive revision of the
labour legislation, already noted in its previous general observation, is still under way. It hopes that, as a result, legislation will be enacted which gives effect to the provisions of the Convention mentioned above, and takes account also of Article 16 (laws and regulations giving effect to the Convention to be made after consultation with the most representative organisations of employers and workers concerned and, as appropriate, manufacturers' organisations).

Kuwait (ratification: 1964)

The Committee notes that the Bill to amend the Labour Act which, together with implementing regulations to be issued under it, is to give effect to the Convention, and which was first mentioned in the Government's report for 1975-76, has still not been adopted, but that a national committee is being set up to study draft regulations with a view to their adoption. It recalls that at present no provisions exist in the national legislation relating to the sale, hire, transfer in any other manner, exhibited or used in Kuwait. The Committee notes the Government's statement that machinery is imported into Kuwait from industrialised countries and that the manufacturers and vendors fail to supply adequate guards. By ratifying the Convention however, the Government has undertaken to ensure that no inadequately guarded machinery (whether new or second-hand) is sold, hired, transferred in any other manner, exhibited or used in Kuwait. The Committee trusts therefore that appropriate provisions will be adopted at an early date to give effect to the requirements of the Convention in all branches of economic activity.

Niger (ratification: 1964)

The Committee notes that no measures have yet been taken to give effect to Articles 2 to 4 of the Convention (prohibition of the sale, hire, transfer and exhibition of machinery without appropriate guards), Article 10 (information and instructions to be given to workers) and Article 11 (prohibiting the use of machinery without the guards being in position and operative). It notes further that the legislation on the guarding of machinery (General Order No. 5253 of 19 July 1954) does not appear to apply to machinery in agriculture as required by Article 17.

The Committee also notes that a PIACT mission visited the country in December 1977 in order to study needs in the occupational safety and health field, that the Government still intends to replace existing provisions in this field by a single comprehensive text, and that it hopes to receive ILO assistance to this end.

The Committee can only once again express the hope that measures will be introduced shortly to give effect to the provisions of the Convention mentioned above.

Sierra Leone (ratification: 1964)

The Committee notes that draft Rules under the Factories Act, 1974 are to be submitted shortly to the Minister of Labour for approval and that they incorporate provisions concerning the sale, hire, transfer in any other manner and exhibition of machinery (Part II of the Convention), in addition to the use of machinery (Part III
According to the Report they will apply to all areas of economic activity including road and rail vehicles, agricultural machinery, mines and shipping (Articles 1 and 17 of the Convention).

The Committee hopes that the Rules will be adopted soon and will give full effect both to the substantive requirements of the Convention and the provisions concerning the sectors of activity to be protected.

**Spain (ratification: 1971)**

In its previous comments, the Committee had drawn attention to the need to take measures to give effect to Articles 2 to 4 of the Convention (prohibiting the sale, hire, transfer in any other manner and exhibition of new or second-hand machinery of which the dangerous parts are without appropriate guards). The Committee notes that the new General Regulations on Industrial Safety and Health, which will contain provisions to give effect to these Articles, will be adopted shortly. It asks the Government to provide a copy of the text of the Regulations as soon as they are adopted.

**Turkey (ratification: 1977)**

The Committee notes with interest that circular No. 1978/20 dated 12 July 1978 has been issued by the Prime Minister requiring the Ministry of Industry and Technology to take measures to prevent, inter alia, the sale, hire, transfer or exhibition of machinery not conforming to appropriate standards of safety. It hopes that these measures will include legally binding rules to apply the provisions of Part II of the Convention (the prohibition of the sale, hire, transfer in any other manner and exhibition of unguarded machinery, including the introduction of appropriate penalties). It again expresses the hope that regulations will also be adopted to give effect to the Convention in the agricultural sector and in sea and air transport and to require the employer to bring national laws or regulations relating to the guarding of machinery to the notice of workers and to instruct them in the precautions to be observed in the use of machinery in accordance with Article 10, paragraph 1.

**Zaire (ratification: 1967)**

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

**Articles 2 to 4 of the Convention.** There are no provisions prohibiting the sale, hire, transfer or exhibition of machinery without appropriate guards.

**Article 17. in relation with Article 1, paragraph 3.** Although the Government had stated that the Convention was enforced in the agricultural sector by virtue of the powers conferred on the Department of Agriculture, it had provided no information on the provisions which ensured its implementation in that sector.

The Committee notes that no legislative measures have yet been taken on the above-mentioned matters because they concern several ministries and bodies, and time is needed to obtain their

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
views on the subject. The Committee trusts that the necessary legislation will be adopted in the near future.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Guatemala, Malaysia, Morocco.

Information supplied by Japan in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 120: Hygiene (Commerce and Offices), 1964

Guinea (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

In comments addressed to the Government since 1970, the Committee has drawn attention to the following discrepancies between the national legislation and the Convention:

**Article 6, paragraph 2, of the Convention.** The Labour Code does not lay down any penalties for the infringement of the provisions concerning safety and hygiene of workplaces contained in section 166 of the Code or in the regulations issued pursuant to section 173.

**Article 14.** Section 16 of Order No. 5253 of 19 July 1954 as well as section 181 of the Labour Code require seats to be supplied for women workers only, whereas this provision of the Convention covers workers irrespective of sex.

**Article 18.** The national legislation does not contain provisions to ensure that noise and vibrations likely to have a harmful effect on workers are reduced as far as possible.

The Government stated in its report for 1971-73 that the necessary measures to give effect to the above-mentioned requirements of the Convention would be taken. Since then no further information has been provided. The Committee hopes that the measures previously announced will be adopted in the near future.

Jordan (ratification: 1964)

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

The Committee has, in previous comments, drawn attention to the fact that only partial effect is given to the Convention. Thus, there are no provisions in the national legislation on the matters dealt with in Articles 10, 11, 14, 15, 16 and 18, nor any provision requiring the setting-up of dispensaries or first-aid posts when the size and possible risks of the establishment justify it, in accordance with Article 19. Furthermore, the provisions of the Labour Act which give effect to certain requirements of the Convention do not apply to undertakings employing fewer than five workers.

The Committee notes that the comprehensive revision of the labour legislation, already noted in its previous general observation, is still under way. It hopes that, as a result
legislation will be enacted which will ensure the application of the provisions of the Convention mentioned above, and which, in accordance with Article 4(b), will give such effect as may be possible and desirable under national conditions to the provisions of the Hygiene (Commerce and Offices) Recommendation, 1964. The Committee also hopes that, in accordance with Article 5 of the Convention, the relevant laws and regulations will be framed after consultation with the representative organisations of employers and workers concerned.

Paraguay (ratification: 1967)

In earlier comments, the Committee had drawn attention to the need to adopt detailed regulations to supplement the general provisions of the Labour Code, in order in particular to ensure the application of Articles 10 and 18 of the Convention and, in accordance with Article 4(b) of the Convention, to give such effect as may be possible and desirable under national conditions to the provisions of the Hygiene (Commerce and Offices) Recommendation, 1964. Since 1973 the Government has referred to draft regulations on occupational hygiene and safety which would take account of the Committee's comments.

The Committee notes that these regulations are at the final stages of consideration by the Executive. It trusts that the necessary regulations will be adopted in the near future, and that the Government will be able to supply full information thereon in the next report.

Switzerland (ratification: 1960)

The Committee notes that, although Ordinance No. 3 under the Labour Act, concerning hygiene and accident prevention in industrial establishments, does not formally apply to trading and office establishments, it is in fact referred to in interpreting section 6 of the Labour Act which places on employers a general obligation to make the necessary arrangements to protect workers' life and health, and is thus in fact applied so as to ensure that the Convention is respected in practice.

The Committee further notes that the Accidents Insurance Bill, referred to in the previous report, is now before Parliament and will ensure the application of the Convention as regards the prevention of accidents as such, and in particular of Articles 17 and 18. As to measures of hygiene, the Committee notes that, once the Accidents Insurance Bill has been enacted, it is proposed to amend the above-mentioned Ordinance No. 3 and to make it applicable in some measure to non-industrial establishments. The Committee accordingly reiterates its hope that the proposed legislative provisions will be adopted soon and that, in accordance with Article 4 of the Convention, they will ensure the application in law as well as in practice of the general principles (Part II of the Convention) and give such effect as may be possible and desirable under national conditions to the provisions of the Hygiene (Commerce and Offices) Recommendation, 1964. It also hopes that the legislation in question will provide for the enforcement of these requirements by means of inspection and penalties, in accordance with Article 6 of the Convention.

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: France, Indonesia, Paraguay, Venezuela.
Guinea (ratification: 1967)

The Committee notes with regret that for the second year in succession the Government has supplied no report. The report supplied in 1977, moreover, contains no reply to the earlier comments of the Committee. In these circumstances, the Committee can only repeat its comments in the hope that the next report will contain full information and state the measures taken on the following points:

**Article 4 of the Convention.** The Government had indicated that the new Social Security Code would cover all workers employed in the Republic of Guinea without exception, including "persons holding permanent jobs in a government administrative office or in its subsidiary services or in national public establishments", who are not at present covered by the social insurance scheme and therefore not entitled to compensation for employment injuries. The Committee hopes that the new Code will be adopted soon and, in the meantime, it would ask the Government to state whether the group of workers in question is covered by any special compensation scheme.

**Article 8.** The Government had stated that the new Social Security Code will contain the complete list of occupational diseases appearing in the schedule to Convention No. 121. The Committee hopes that the new Code will, in particular, cover the following points, to which it draws attention:

(a) items 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13 and 14 of Schedule I to the Convention should be included in the list in the national legislation (these items refer respectively to diseases caused by beryllium (glucinium), phosphorus, chromium, manganese, arsenic, mercury, carbon bisulphide, and the toxic compounds of each of these substances, and also diseases caused by the nitro- and amino-toxic derivatives of benzene or its homologues, diseases caused by ionising radiations and primary epitheliomatous cancer of the skin caused by tar, pitch, bitumen, mineral oil, anthracene or the compounds, products or residues of these substances);

(b) the list in the national legislation should not refer merely to silicosis (as is done in point 8 of section 136 of the Social Security Code at present in force), but should be supplemented so as to include other pneumoconioses caused by sclerogetic mineral dusts (anthraco-silicosis, asbestosis) and silico-tuberculosis, provided that silicosis is an essential factor in causing the resultant incapacity or death (see item 1 of Schedule I to the Convention);

(c) the list in the national legislation (point 5 of the section mentioned above), which refers only to poisoning by carbon tetrachloride, should be drafted in general terms, as is done in the Convention (item 10 of Schedule I), so as to cover all diseases caused by the toxic halogen derivatives of hydrocarbons of the aliphatic series);

(d) the list in the national legislation (point 6 of the section mentioned above), which refers to anthrax infection, should be supplemented so as to indicate the work that involves a presumption of the occupational origin of the disease, as shown in the right-hand column of item 15 of Schedule I to the Convention, taking account, however, of the obligations arising out of Convention No. 18. (See also in this connection the observation under Convention No. 18),
Article 15, paragraph 1. The comments made in the observation concerning Convention No. 17, Article 5, apply also to this provision.

Article 18, paragraph 1. Section 108 of the Social Security Code provides for the granting of a pension to the "surviving spouse". The Government is requested to state whether this term includes a widower, at least as long as he is disabled and dependent, as specified in the Convention.

Articles 19 and 20 (in conjunction with Articles 13, 14 and 18). The Committee has noted that the Social Security Fund has been asked to supply information on the application of these Articles and the following Articles of the Convention. The Committee asks the Government, in its future reports, to provide all the information required by the report form, including statistical information, to show that the amount of benefit paid in cases of temporary incapacity, permanent incapacity and the death of the breadwinner, as laid down in Schedule II to the Convention, is assured, family allowances paid before, or possibly during, the contingency being taken into consideration. The Government is requested to state whether Article 19 or Article 20 of the Convention is taken as the basis for deciding whether the required amount has been reached.

Article 21. The Government is requested to supply the information required by the report form and state whether the rates of pensions have been reviewed during the period covered by each report.

Article 22, paragraph 2. The Government is requested to state whether steps have been taken, where benefits have been suspended, to ensure that part of these benefits can be paid to the dependants of the person covered in the cases and within the limits prescribed by national law.

Article 23. The Government is requested to state what right of appeal exists if benefits are refused in disputes other than those concerning the assessment of incapacity, which are governed by section 84 of the Social Security Code.

Article 25. The Government is requested to state what responsibility the Government takes for guaranteeing the payment of benefits in practice.

Furthermore, the Committee, with reference to point V of the report form, asks the Government to indicate how the Convention is applied in practice (as shown, for example, by extracts from the administrative reports of the National Social Security Fund).

Zaire (ratification: 1965)

1. With reference to its earlier comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction, from the information supplied by the Government in its report (received in March 1978), that Ordinance No. 75-099 of 1 March 1975 establishes the procedure for nominating the members and the manner of functioning of the National Social Security Committee and the Regional Committees and also the appeal procedure for insured persons or their dependants, in accordance with the provisions of Article 23 of the Convention. The Committee hopes that the members may be nominated shortly so that the Committees may begin to operate in practice.

2. The Committee also notes with interest that the Government considers taking measures to amend the list of occupational diseases appended to Ordinance No. 66-370 of 29 June 1966 by adding diseases...
caused by the toxic halogen derivatives of hydrocarbons of the.
alkylatic series and those caused by benzene or its toxic homologues,
in accordance with Article 8 of the Convention. The Committee requests
the Government to indicate any progress made in this connection.

* * *

In addition, a request regarding certain points is being
addressed directly to Cyprus.

Information supplied by Yugoslavia in answer to a direct request
has been noted by the Committee.

Constitution No. 122: Employment Policy, 1964

Costa Rica (ratification: 1966)

See under Convention No. 11.

Guinea (ratification: 1966)

The Committee notes with regret that for the third consecutive
year the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore
repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

As it noted in its previous observation, in the absence of
detailed information in reply to the questions contained in the
report form approved by the Governing Body and to the Committee's
previous comments, the Committee is unable to assess the extent
to which the Government has declared and is pursuing an active
policy designed to promote full, productive and freely chosen
employment, as required by the Convention.

Ireland (ratification: 1967)

The Committee has noted the observations on the application of
the Convention communicated by the Irish Congress of Trade Unions.

A detailed report of the Government has now been received,
accompanied by considerable documentation, replying to these
observations. The Committee has decided to examine these matters at
its next session.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being
addressed directly to the following States: Australia, Belgium,
Brazil, Byelorussian SSR, Canada, Chile, Cuba, Cyprus, Czechoslovakia,
Denmark, Ecuador, Federal Republic of Germany, Finland, France, German
Democratic Republic, Guinea, Hungary, Iraq, Italy, Jordan, Libyan Arab
Jasahiriya, Mauritania, Mongolia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Panama,
Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Romania, Spain, Sudan, Suriname,
Sweden, Thailand, Tunisia, Uganda, Ukrainian SSR, USSR, United Kingdom,
Yugoslavia.
Convention No. 123: Minimum Age (Underground Work), 1965

**Austria** (ratification: 1970)

The Committee refers to its previous observation, in which it took note of Ministerial Circular No. 221/2243/10/473/325 of 29 December 1970 respecting the minimum age of 18 years for admission to underground work in mines, which had been sent to employers and labour inspectors with the request that the provisions of the Convention be complied with. The Committee again expresses the hope that the draft order to prescribe this age in pursuance of section 124 of the Labour Code will be adopted shortly and that it will lay down suitable penalties to ensure observance of the prescribed minimum age, in accordance with Article 4, paragraph 1, of the Convention, and the keeping of the records and lists provided for by paragraphs 4 and 5 of the same Article and their being made available to the workers' representatives.

The Government is asked to report any progress made in this connection.

**In addition**

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: **Malaysia, Nigeria**.

Convention No. 124: Medical Examination of Young Persons (Underground Work)

**1965**

**Uganda** (ratification: 1967)

The Committee notes with satisfaction that the Employment Decree, 1975, which came into force on 1 July 1977, and the Employment Regulations, 1977, give effect to the basic provisions of the Convention by requiring an initial and periodic medical examination of workers under 21 years of age employed underground in mines. Certain outstanding points are being dealt with in a request addressed directly to the Government.

**In addition**

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: **Byelorussian SSR, Gabon, Jordan, Mexico, Tunisia, Uganda, Ukrainian SSR, USSR**.

Convention No. 125: Fishermen's Competency Certificates, 1966

**Trinidad and Tobago** (ratification: 1972)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee takes note with interest of the Caribbean Fisheries Training and Development Institute Act No. 59 of 1975. It requests the Government to provide information in its next report on the points raised in a direct request.
In addition, a request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to Trinidad and Tobago.

Convention No. 126: Accommodation of Crews (Fishermen), 1966

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to Yugoslavia.

Convention No. 127: Maximum Weight, 1967

Chile (ratification: 1972)

The Committee notes that, while sections 111-113 of Legislative Decree No. 2200 dated 1 May 1978 limit the maximum weight of sacks to be carried by one person, there appears to be no provision on the manual transport of loads in general as defined in Article 7(a) and (b) of the Convention and that consequently still only partial effect is given to Articles 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 of the Convention.

Article 5. The Committee notes also that there still appears to be no provision to apply this Article, which stipulates that adequate training must be ensured for workers assigned to manual transport of loads.

Article 6. Section 112 of Legislative Decree 2200 provides for the use of technical devices when the weight of sacks exceeds the maxima laid down in section 111, whereas according to this Article technical devices must be used as much as possible in order to limit or facilitate the manual transport of all loads.

Article 7. According to sections 24 and 25 of the Legislative Decree young persons and women must not be admitted to work which exceed their force or which may be dangerous for their health, safety or physical conditions. The Committee asks the Government to indicate the measures taken or contemplated to ensure that the assignment of women and young workers to manual transport of loads other than light loads is limited and that the maximum weight of loads carried by them is substantially less than that permitted for adult male workers.

Article 8. The Committee asks the Government to indicate how the consultation with the most representative organisations of employers and workers concerned was ensured in adopting the appropriate provisions of Legislative Decree 2200.

The Committee hopes that measures will soon be taken to ensure the full application of the above-mentioned provisions of the Convention.

* * *

In addition, a request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to France.

1 The Government is asked to report in detail for the period ending 30 June 1979.
Convention No. 128: Invalidity, Old-Age and Survivors' Benefits, 1967

* * *

Cyprus (ratification: 1968)

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction, from the report of the Government, that Act No. 68 of 1976, which came into force in 1977, has reintroduced the social insurance benefits that were suspended in March 1975 and restored the amount of the widow's pension to a level corresponding to that of the Convention. The Committee also notes with interest, improvements made in the rates of all benefits by Act No. 81 of 1977.

Uruguay (ratification: 1975)

With reference to its earlier observations the Committee has noted the detailed information supplied by the Government in its report for the period ending 30 June 1978 and the information concerning the application of the following provisions of the Convention: Article 13, paragraph 1(b) (measures for the placement of the handicapped); Article 30 (maintenance of rights in course of acquisition); Article 32, paragraph 3 (payment of part of the benefits to dependants in certain cases); and readjustments in the pensions served by the Social Welfare Bank during the period covered by the report. The Committee is, however, obliged once again to request the Government to communicate in its next report the statistical data requested in the report form for the Convention to enable it to appreciate more fully the extent to which effect is given to the Convention as regards the amount of pensions. The Committee is returning to this question and to certain other points in a new direct request.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Barbados, Finland, Uruguay.

Convention No. 129: Labour Inspection (Agriculture), 1969

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Colombia, Denmark, France, Malawi, Upper Volta.

Convention No. 130: Medical Care and Sickness Benefits, 1969

Norway (ratification: 1972)

Article 33 of the Convention (in conjunction with Articles 21 and 22). With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that under the Act of 10 June 1977, which came into force on 1 July 1978, the rate of sickness benefit has been increased so as to give full effect to these provisions of the Convention.

* * *
In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Czechoslovakia, Federal Republic of Germany, Finland, Uruguay.

Convention No. 131: Minimum Wage Fixing, 1970

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Australia, Egypt, Iraq, Nepal, Sri Lanka.

Convention No. 132: Holidays with Pay (Revised), 1970

Uruguay (ratification: 1977)

The Committee has received a communication sent by the workers' delegates of joint committees of several tobacco undertakings in Montevideo and by the International Union of Food and Allied Workers' Associations stating that Decree No. 497/978 of 23 August 1978, which provides that Saturdays should be counted in the period of annual leave, constitutes a violation of the Convention. They consider that "customary holidays" (in the sense of Article 6, paragraph 1, of the Convention) include weekly days of rest, and that therefore for the workers in the tobacco industry who only work five days a week from Monday to Friday, Saturdays should not be counted in the days of annual holidays provided for in Act No. 12590 of 23 December 1958 (Sundays, in addition, have been declared public holidays by law).

According to the legislation in force, public holidays are not counted in annual holidays. In its comments, the Government reproduces the arguments made in the preamble to the above-mentioned Decree No. 497/978, which in essence state that public holidays are determined by general public standards. The parties to an individual or collective labour agreement may declare that a particular day of the week shall not be a working day, but in no case may such a day be transformed into a public holiday by the wish of these parties.

The Committee is called upon to decide whether the national legislation and practice are in conformity with the obligations arising from the ratification of the Convention. It notes that, when it ratified the Convention, the Government specified, as required by Article 3, paragraph 2, of the Convention, that the length of the holiday was 20 working days. The question here is whether only days during which the workers actually work should be considered as working days (the number of which may therefore vary according to the sector of activity or even among different undertakings in the same sector), or whether - in order to ensure a minimum annual holiday for all the workers in the country - the Government may decide what days shall be considered as working days for the purposes of calculating holidays. The Committee is of the latter opinion. It considers that the solution adopted by the Government in this case seems to be in conformity with the spirit of the Convention which, in fixing the minimum annual holiday at "three working weeks", used this notion instead of referring to working days, precisely so that "the basic minimum period of rest would be the same, even though its translation into working days might vary" (International Labour Conference, 54th Session (1970), Report 205.
IV(2), p. 21). Nevertheless, the Committee considers that nothing in the Convention would prevent the parties from excluding Saturday from the calculation of the period of the annual holiday in an individual labour contract or collective agreement.

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Iran, Ireland, Spain, Upper Volta.

Convention No. 134: Prevention of Accidents (Seafarers), 1970

Mexico (ratification: 1974)

The Committee notes with satisfaction that the General Occupational Safety and Health Regulations, 1978, contain provisions of general application relating to occupational safety which give effect to Article 4, paragraph 3(a), (c), (e), (f) and (i), Articles 5 and 6, paragraphs 1, 2 and 4, of the Convention. The outstanding matters are dealt with in a request addressed directly to the Government.

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Finland, Mexico, Nigeria, Romania, Spain.

Convention No. 135: Workers’ Representatives, 1971

Federal Republic of Germany (ratification: 1973)

With reference to its previous observations, the Committee has noted the comments of the Confederation of German Employers’ Associations and of the German Confederation of Trade Unions, and it notes the reply of the Government to those of the latter.

1. The Committee has previously pointed out that in affording protection and facilities, by legislative means, to elected representatives, the Government has chosen one of the methods specified in Article 4 of the Convention of determining these representatives. It has also pointed out that this Article mentions other means, including collective agreements. The Committee has expressed the opinion that governments may have recourse to legislation in order to determine the type of representatives who shall be entitled to the protection and facilities provided for in the Convention, but that the possibility of concluding collective agreements for the same purposes should remain open to trade unions and employers or their organisations; the law of the land should not prevent nor should it be so applied as to prevent collective bargaining as provided for by the instrument.

The Government has stated that it has no further obligation under the Convention. In its opinion, the national provisions on freedom of
association do not prevent collective bargaining or collective agreements in this field. The legislation is not so applied as to prevent the conclusion of collective agreements ensuring protection and facilities for trade union representatives. The judgement given on 5 August 1976 by a labour court of first instance has expressly confirmed the legality of such collective agreements and the German Confederation of Trade Unions has referred to a favourable trend in the situation.

The Confederation of German Employers' Associations, in its comments, states that the Convention does not require that the protection and facilities provided for shall be afforded both to the union representatives and to the workers' representatives in the undertaking. The instrument, it maintains, has even less to say on the question whether there is still a place for collective agreements when the legislative body has adopted provisions on one of these two classes of representatives. Once the legislation is in conformity with the Convention, the decision to adopt further measures is left to the law of the land. In support of this contention, the Confederation refers to statements made while the instrument was being drafted to the effect that the Convention was taking account of historical developments in the various countries.

Further, the Confederation stresses that many provisions in the national laws protect the interests of trade unions and their representatives and explains at length that the claims of trade union organisations to give legal status to trade union representatives in the undertaking through collective agreements has no basis in national law.

If national law affords to the elected representatives of workers in the undertaking the protection and facilities provided for in the Convention, this must not, the Committee wishes to repeat, impede the conclusion of collective agreements affording protection and facilities to trade union representatives in the undertaking. The Committee points out that, under Article 5 of the Convention, where there exist in the same undertaking both trade union representatives and elected representatives, appropriate measures must be taken, whenever necessary, to ensure that the existence of elected representatives is not used to undermine the position of the trade unions concerned or their representatives.

2. The German Confederation of Trade Unions reports that a charitable orthopaedic establishment, which has some 900 workers and is managed by the Evangelical Church, prohibits all trade union activity among its workers. This has been said to be wrong by the Federal Labour Court but an appeal has been lodged with the Federal Constitutional Court against the decision, invoking certain provisions of the Constitution that grant the Church (on which this charitable body depends) the right to autonomy. The Confederation maintains that a general prohibition against trade union activity in the undertaking (unions are prohibited from entering the establishment to carry out their functions of giving information) is incompatible with the Conventions of the ILO in this field. The Confederation states that in the case in question there are no elected representatives of the workers either, since the Act respecting the organisation of undertakings does not apply to establishments of this kind; only the trade unions can thus ensure the defence of the interests of the staff.

The Government has provided a copy both of the judgement given by the Federal Labour Court and of the appeal lodged with the Federal Constitutional Court. It feels unable to make a statement on the affair as long as it is sub judice.
The Committee considers that the Convention presupposes that no obstacle can be placed in the way of the appointment of workers' representatives in an undertaking (whatever its status). The instrument provides that these representatives must enjoy facilities and be given protection against any act prejudicial to them as a result of their status or their actions in that capacity.

The Committee notes the information supplied by the German Confederation of Trade Unions on the decision given by the Federal Labour Court and asks the Government to communicate in due course the decision of the Federal Constitutional Court on the appeal that has been lodged in the matter.

Spain (ratification: 1972)

The Committee takes note of Royal Decree No. 3149 of 6 December 1977 relating to the election of workers' representatives in the undertaking. This provides for a new system of workers' representation in the undertaking, which expressly reserves the rights of trade unions. In addition it provides for the adoption of new laws on the subject and provides that, until it is adopted, the functions and guarantees of the workers' delegates as well as those of members of the works committee will be those recognised under the previous legislation.

On another matter the Committee notes that the Federation of State Banking, Exchange, Credit and Savings Undertakings (UGT) has presented observations in general terms on the difficulties encountered in the application of the provisions of the Convention.

The Committee invites the Government to supply information on any legislative amendment adopted or proposed, as well as on the application in practice of the provisions of the Convention.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Finland, Gabon, Romania, Senegal, Sri Lanka, Syrian Arab Republic, Upper Volta.

Convention No. 136: Benzene, 1971

Spain (ratification: 1973)

The Committee notes with satisfaction that the joint Resolution of the General Departments of Labour and of Industrial and Technological Promotion, dated 15 February 1977, requires that processes involving the use of benzene or products containing benzene shall be carried out in an enclosed system or by other equally safe methods of work, fixes the maximum concentration of benzene in the air of places of employment at 80 mg per cubic metre and prohibits the employment of pregnant and nursing women in work involving exposure to benzene or products containing benzene, thus giving effect to Articles 4, 6, paragraph 2, and 11, paragraph 1, of the Convention.

Zambia (ratification: 1973)

The Committee notes with satisfaction that the Factories (Benzene) Regulations 1978 have been adopted to give effect to the
Observations Concerning Ratified Conventions

Convention. Certain questions of detail are being pursued in a direct request.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Cuba, Federal Republic of Germany, Finland, France, Iraq, Ivory Coast, Kuwait, Morocco, Zambia.

Information supplied by Hungary in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 137: Dock Work, 1973

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Netherlands, Romania, Sweden.

Information supplied by Norway in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 138: Minimum Age, 1973

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Cuba, Federal Republic of Germany, Finland, Netherlands, Zambia.

Convention No. 139: Occupational Cancer, 1974

Under Article 1, paragraph 3, of the Convention, ratifying States are called on, in determining periodically the carcinogenic substances and agents to which occupational exposure shall be prohibited or made subject to authorisation or control, to give consideration to the latest information contained in codes of practice or guides which may be established by the International Labour Office as well as to information from other competent bodies.

The Committee therefore wishes to draw Governments' attention to the publication by the International Labour Office in 1978 of No. 39 in the Occupational Safety and Health Series, on "Occupational Cancer Prevention and Control". It hopes that, as appropriate, Governments will give consideration to this publication, and in particular to the indicative lists of carcinogenic substances and agents, in making the periodic determination required under paragraph 1 of Article 1.

* * *

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Federal Republic of Germany, Switzerland.
Convention No. 140: Paid Educational Leave, 1974

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Netherlands, United Kingdom.

Information supplied by Cuba, France, Hungary and Sweden in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 142: Human Resources Development, 1975

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to Hungary.
## Appendix I. Receipt of Detailed Reports on Ratified Conventions (States Members) as at 28 March 1979

(Article 22 of the Constitution)

Reports received: 1,289       Reports not received: 412       Total: 1,701

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Member</th>
<th>Reports received</th>
<th>Reports not received</th>
<th>Grand total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Conventions Nos.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11, 14, 24, 44, 56, 71, 77, 78, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 101, 111, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 21, 22, 23, 32, 52, 68, 71, 77, 78, 87, 95, 98, 100, 107, 111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8, 11, 21, 22, 87, 98, 100, 111, 122, 131, 137</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11, 21, 24, 25, 26, 87, 94, 95, 98, 100, 101, 111, 122, 124</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11, 14, 21, 22, 87, 98, 106, 107, 111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11, 22, 50, 86, 87, 90, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 101, 102, 108, 111, 115, 118, 122, 128</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 21, 22, 23, 55, 56, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 101, 114, 115, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6, 11, 13, 14, 29, 85, 87, 95, 98, 100, 105, 111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1, 14, 20, 26, 30, 77, 87, 98, 100, 103, 106</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Member</td>
<td>Reports received</td>
<td>Reports not received</td>
<td>Grand total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Conventions Nos.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11, 14, 21, 22, 52, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 101, 105, 106, 107, 111, 115, 117, 122, 124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 44, 52, 55, 56, 71, 77, 78, 87, 94, 95, 100, 106, 111, 124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11, 14, 21, 22, 52, 87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Byelorussian SSR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11, 14, 52, 77, 78, 87, 95, 98, 100, 106, 111, 115, 122, 124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United Rep. of Cameroon</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11, 14, 77, 78, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 122, 131, 132, 135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8, 14, 22, 87, 100, 111, 122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central African Empire</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3, 17, 67, 87, 104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1, 8, 11, 14, 22, 24, 25, 32, 100, 111, 122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3, 8, 9, 11, 14, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 52, 62, 87, 95, 98, 100, 101, 106, 107, 111, 129, 136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11, 14, 87, 95, 119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26, 87, 92, 95, 98, 99, 100, 106, 107, 111, 113, 114, 117, 120, 122, 127, 138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 21, 22, 23, 29, 52, 67, 77, 78, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 101, 106, 107, 111, 122, 131, 136, 140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### OBSERVATIONS CONCERNING RATIFIED CONVENTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Member</th>
<th>Reports received</th>
<th>Reports not received</th>
<th>Grand total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Conventions Nos.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11, 44, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 106, 111, 114, 122, 124</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czechoslovakia</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11, 14, 21, 24, 25, 52, 87, 98, 100, 111, 115, 122, 130, 140</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Yemen</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>94, 95, 98</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 21, 52, 62, 87, 94, 98, 100, 106, 111, 115, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>52, 77, 81, 87, 88, 95, 98, 100, 104, 105, 106, 107, 111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11, 24, 29, 77, 78, 86, 87, 95, 98, 100, 101, 103, 106, 107, 111</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1, 11, 14, 17, 18, 52, 87, 88, 94, 95, 98, 100, 101, 104, 106, 111, 115, 131</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11, 87, 98, 111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8, 11, 84, 98</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 21, 22, 52, 87, 94, 98, 100, 111, 122, 124, 128, 130, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 22, 23, 24, 25, 35, 44, 45, 52, 55, 56, 71, 77, 78, 82, 84, 85, 87, 94, 95, 98, 100, 101, 102, 106, 108, 114, 115, 118, 120, 122, 124, 131, 136, 140</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11, 14, 19, 52, 87, 95, 98, 100, 101, 106, 124</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Democratic Republic</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11, 23, 87, 95, 98, 100, 111, 115, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Rep. of Germany</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8, 11, 22, 23, 24, 25, 56, 73, 87, 92, 97, 98, 100, 111, 113, 114, 115, 120, 122, 126, 130, 132, 134, 136, 138, 139, 140</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF EXPERTS

### State Member Reports received | Reports not received | Grand total
--- | --- | ---
<p>| | Total | Conventions Nos. | Total | Conventions Nos. |
| Ghana | 0 | — | 17 | 1, 8, 11, 14, 22, 23, 30, 87, 94, 96, 98, 100, 106, 107, 111, 115, 117 | 17 |
| Greece | 9 | 8, 11, 14, 52, 55, 87, 95, 98, 100 | 0 | — | 9 |
| Guatemala | 17 | 30, 62, 77, 78, 79, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 101, 105, 106, 111, 114, 119 | 0 | — | 17 |
| Guinea | 0 | — | 35 | 5, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 29, 33, 45, 62, 81, 87, 89, 90, 94, 95, 98, 99, 100, 105, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 139, 140 | 35 |
| Guinea-Bissau | 0 | — | 10 | 6, 14, 81, 98, 100, 105, 106, 107, 108, 111 | 10 |
| Guyana | 10 | 11, 82, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 111, 115 | 0 | — | 10 |
| Haiti | 4 | 24, 25, 98, 100 | 7 | 14, 77, 78, 105, 106, 107, 111 | 11 |
| Honduras | 8 | 14, 78, 87, 95, 98, 100, 106, 111 | 0 | — | 8 |
| Hungary | 18 | 14, 21, 24, 52, 77, 78, 87, 95, 98, 100, 101, 111, 115, 122, 124, 136, 140, 142 | 0 | — | 18 |
| Iceland | 0 | — | 5 | 11, 87, 98, 100, 111 | 5 |
| India | 9 | 5, 11, 14, 21, 22, 100, 107, 111, 115 | 0 | — | 9 |
| Indonesia | 4 | 29, 98, 100, 106 | 0 | — | 4 |
| Iran | 6 | 14, 95, 100, 106, 111, 122 | 0 | — | 6 |
| Iraq | 8 | 1, 23, 30, 77, 95, 98, 100, 122 | 11 | 8, 14, 22, 29, 78, 106, 111, 115, 131, 132, 136 | 19 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Member</th>
<th>Reports received</th>
<th>Reports not received</th>
<th>Grand total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Conventions Nos.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 21, 22, 23, 32, 44, 62, 87, 88, 98, 100, 122, 132</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14, 52, 77, 78, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 101, 106, 111, 117, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 22, 23, 32, 44, 52, 55, 71, 77, 78, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 101, 106, 111, 114, 115, 117, 122, 124</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivory Coast</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11, 14, 52, 87, 95, 98, 100, 111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8, 11, 87, 94</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8, 21, 22, 87, 98, 100, 102, 115, 119</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Kampuchea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11, 14, 94, 97, 98, 105</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1, 30, 52, 87, 106, 111, 119, 136</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao Republic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libyan Arab Jamahiriya</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 21, 24, 25, 77, 78, 87, 98, 100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11, 14, 29, 87, 95, 100, 111, 117, 119, 122, 124, 132</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Member</td>
<td>Reports received</td>
<td>Reports not received</td>
<td>Grand total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Conventions Nos.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>95, 98, 119, 123</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peninsular Malaysia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabah</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>94, 97</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarawak</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11, 14, 94</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11, 14, 52, 87, 95, 98, 100, 111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8, 11, 22, 29, 87, 95, 98, 105, 108, 111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 84, 94, 95, 97, 98</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8, 11, 13, 14, 21, 22, 23, 32, 49, 52, 55, 87, 95, 100, 102, 106, 107, 111, 124, 131, 134</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mongolia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>87, 98, 100, 111, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11, 14, 22, 30, 52, 55, 94, 98, 101, 106, 111, 119, 136</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1, 11, 14, 17, 18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100, 111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 44, 71, 87, 94, 95, 97, 100, 101, 106, 111, 115, 124, 126, 131, 137, 138, 140</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11, 14, 21, 22, 44, 50, 52, 64, 68, 92, 101, 122</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 87, 98, 100, 111</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11, 14, 87, 95, 98, 100, 111, 117, 119</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### OBSERVATIONS CONCERNING RATIFIED CONVENTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Member</th>
<th>Reports received</th>
<th>Reports not received</th>
<th>Grand total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Conventions Nos.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8, 11, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 123, 134</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 21, 22, 24, 25, 44, 56, 71, 87, 95, 97, 98, 100, 111, 115, 122, 130, 132, 134, 135, 137, 141, 142</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11, 14, 21, 22, 87, 96, 98, 106, 107, 111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8, 11, 13, 21, 22, 23, 27, 30, 42, 52, 53, 55, 56, 68, 71, 77, 87, 92, 94, 95, 98, 100, 107, 111, 112, 113, 114, 117, 119, 122, 123, 124, 127</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11, 14, 52, 77, 78, 87, 95, 98, 100, 101, 106, 107, 111, 115, 117, 120, 122, 124</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8, 11, 22, 24, 25, 29, 55, 56, 58, 62, 68, 71, 100, 107, 114, 122</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23, 77, 87, 94, 95, 98, 100, 111, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 22, 23, 24, 25, 77, 78, 87, 95, 98, 100, 101, 103, 111, 115, 122, 124</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11, 14, 98, 100, 106, 107, 111, 135</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 24, 87, 95, 98, 100, 108, 111, 117, 122, 135</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11, 14, 94</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11, 14, 52, 87, 95, 98, 100, 101, 111, 117, 122, 135</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seychelles</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8, 22, 87, 94, 95, 98, 100, 101, 111, 119</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Member</th>
<th>Reports received</th>
<th>Reports not received</th>
<th>Grand total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Conventions Nos.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5, 8, 11, 22, 32, 94, 98</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former British Somaliland</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8, 11, 98, 135</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>81, 95, 98, 100, 111, 117, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11, 14, 87, 94, 95, 101, 106, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 21, 87, 98, 100, 101, 111, 115, 122, 130, 134, 137, 140, 141, 142</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 23, 44, 87, 100, 111, 115, 120, 139</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrian Arab Republic</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1, 11, 14, 29, 30, 52, 87, 94, 95, 98, 100, 101, 105, 106, 107, 111, 115, 117, 123, 124, 131</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanganyika</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanzibar</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29, 105, 122</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Togo</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11, 14, 84, 87</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad and Tobago</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 22, 23, 29, 52, 55, 77, 87, 88, 95, 98, 99, 100, 105, 106, 107, 111, 114, 115, 122, 124</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11, 14, 94, 95, 96, 98, 100, 111, 115, 118, 119</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Observations Concerning Ratified Conventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Member</th>
<th>Reports received</th>
<th>Reports not received</th>
<th>Grand total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Conventions Nos.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uganda</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11, 94, 95, 98, 122, 124</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ukrainian SSR</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11, 14, 23, 52, 77, 78, 87, 95, 98, 100, 106, 111, 115, 122, 124</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>USSR</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11, 14, 23, 52, 77, 78, 87, 95, 98, 100, 106, 111, 115, 122, 124</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>United Kingdom</strong></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8, 11, 22, 24, 25, 44, 56, 82, 84, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 101, 114, 115, 122, 124, 140</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper Volta</strong></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11, 14, 87, 95, 97, 98, 100, 111, 132</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uruguay</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2, 8, 11, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 77, 78, 87, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 106, 110, 114, 128, 130</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Venezuela</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11, 14, 21, 22, 29, 98, 111, 120</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viet Nam</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5, 6, 13, 14, 26, 27, 29, 45, 52, 81, 89, 98, 111, 117, 120, 122, 123, 124</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yemen</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14, 29, 81, 87, 98, 100, 111, 131, 132, 135</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yugoslavia</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5, 6, 10, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 32, 56, 87, 97, 98, 100, 106, 114, 126, 129, 132, 136</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29, 94</td>
<td>11, 14, 29, 84, 95, 98, 100, 117, 119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zaire</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>11, 97, 99, 100, 117, 124, 131, 136, 138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zambia</strong></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29, 105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other States**

| **Albania** | 0 | 16 | 5, 6, 10, 11, 16, 21, 29, 52, 58, 59, 77, 78, 87, 98, 100, 112 | 16 |               |               |
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### Reports received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Member</th>
<th>Reports received</th>
<th>Reports not received</th>
<th>Grand total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Conventions Nos.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauru</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. of South Africa 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Samoa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Albania, Lesotho, the Republic of South Africa and the United States have withdrawn from the ILO, but these States continue to be bound by the Conventions which they have ratified (article 1, paragraph 5, of the Constitution).
## Appendix II. Statistical Table of Reports on Ratified Conventions as at 28 March 1979

(Article 22 of the Constitution)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Reports requested</th>
<th>Reports received at the date requested</th>
<th>Reports received in time for the session of the Committee</th>
<th>Reports received in time for the session of the Conference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1931-1932</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1932-1933</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1933-1934</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934-1935</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1935-1936</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>91.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936-1937</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1937-1938</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>84.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1938-1939</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1943-1944</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1944-1945</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>72.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945-1946</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946-1947</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1947-1948</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1948-1949</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949-1950</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950-1951</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>83.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951-1952</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>84.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1952-1953</td>
<td>1 026</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>89.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953-1954</td>
<td>1 175</td>
<td>1 077</td>
<td>1 119</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954-1955</td>
<td>1 234</td>
<td>1 063</td>
<td>1 170</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955-1956</td>
<td>1 333</td>
<td>1 234</td>
<td>1 283</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956-1957</td>
<td>1 418</td>
<td>1 295</td>
<td>1 349</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957-1958</td>
<td>1 558</td>
<td>1 484</td>
<td>1 509</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958-1959</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958-1960</td>
<td>1 000</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>87.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959-1961</td>
<td>1 362</td>
<td>1 090</td>
<td>1 142</td>
<td>83.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960-1962</td>
<td>1 309</td>
<td>1 059</td>
<td>1 121</td>
<td>85.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961-1963</td>
<td>1 124</td>
<td>1 314</td>
<td>1 430</td>
<td>88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962-1964</td>
<td>1 175</td>
<td>1 268</td>
<td>1 356</td>
<td>90.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963-1965</td>
<td>1 700</td>
<td>1 444</td>
<td>1 527</td>
<td>89.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964-1966</td>
<td>1 562</td>
<td>1 330</td>
<td>1 395</td>
<td>89.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-1967</td>
<td>1 833</td>
<td>1 551</td>
<td>1 643</td>
<td>89.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-1968</td>
<td>1 647</td>
<td>1 409</td>
<td>1 470</td>
<td>89.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-1969</td>
<td>1 821</td>
<td>1 498</td>
<td>1 601</td>
<td>87.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-1970</td>
<td>1 898</td>
<td>1 463</td>
<td>1 549</td>
<td>81.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-1971</td>
<td>1 992</td>
<td>1 504</td>
<td>1 707</td>
<td>85.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-1972</td>
<td>2 025</td>
<td>1 572</td>
<td>1 753</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-1973</td>
<td>2 048</td>
<td>1 521</td>
<td>1 691</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-1974</td>
<td>2 189</td>
<td>1 854</td>
<td>1 958</td>
<td>89.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-1975</td>
<td>2 034</td>
<td>1 663</td>
<td>1 764</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-1976</td>
<td>2 200</td>
<td>1 831</td>
<td>1 914</td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1977</td>
<td>1 529</td>
<td>1 120</td>
<td>1 328</td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1978</td>
<td>1 701</td>
<td>1 289</td>
<td>1 328</td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. First year for which this figure is available.
2. As a result of a decision by the Governing Body, detailed reports were requested as from 1958-59 until 1976 only on certain ratified Conventions.
3. As a result of a decision by the Governing Body (November 1976) detailed reports are now requested, according to certain criteria, at yearly, two-yearly or four-yearly intervals.
II. Observations on the Application of Conventions in Non-Metropolitan Territories (Article 22 and Article 35, Paragraphs 6 and 8, of the Constitution)

A. GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

France

The Committee notes with regret that most reports due in respect of the application of Conventions in New Caledonia, and thirteen first reports (Conventions Nos. 2, 10, 44, 53, 63, 69, 73, 77, 78, 88, 96, 99 and 122) which have been due for three years in respect of St. Pierre and Miquelon, have not been received. The Committee hopes that the reports in question will be available for examination by the Committee at its next session.

Netherlands

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due in respect of the application of Conventions in the Netherlands Antilles have not been received. It hopes that the reports in question will be available for examination by the Committee at its next session.

New Zealand

The Committee notes with regret that the reports due in respect of the application of Conventions in Niue Island (for the second consecutive year) and Tokelau Islands have not been received. It hopes that the reports in question will be available for examination by the Committee at its next session.

United Kingdom

1. The Committee notes that once again no reports have been received in respect of the application of Conventions in Southern Rhodesia (Zimbabwe), and that accordingly no information is available in answer to the observations previously made concerning the observance in this territory of Conventions Nos. 81, 82, 84, 86 and 105. It recalls that the decisions of the United Nations concerning the right of the people of Zimbabwe to self-determination, and in particular General Assembly Resolution 3297 (XXIX) of 13 December 1974, have affirmed the primary responsibility for the territory of the Government of the United Kingdom as administering power under Chapter XI of the United Nations Charter, and expresses the hope that appropriate measures will be taken to ensure the observance of the obligations accepted in respect of Southern Rhodesia (Zimbabwe) under or in relation to international labour Conventions.

2. The Committee notes also with regret that the reports due in respect of the application of Conventions in the Falkland Islands
NOH-HETHOPOLITAN TERRITORIES

(Malvinas), Jersey and St. Vincent have not been received. It hopes that the reports in question will be available for examination by the Committee at its next session.

**

In addition, a request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to France (St. Pierre and Miquelon).

E. INDIVIDUAL OBSERVATIONS

Convention No. 3: Maternity Protection, 1919

**

Convention No. 8: Unemployment Indemnity (Shipwreck), 1920

Belize

The Committee has pointed out that the provisions of section 157 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Act 1894, taken in conjunction with section 1 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping (International Labour Conventions) Act 1925, both Acts having been made applicable to this territory, constitute a bar to the right of a seaman to unemployment indemnity in case of shipwreck where it is proved that he has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores, and are therefore not in conformity with the Convention, which does not provide for such a bar. The Committee has requested the Government to take the necessary measures to ensure the full application of the Convention on this point.

In its latest report, the Government again states that these comments will be taken into account and that consultations with a view to amending the above-mentioned legislation in conformity with the Convention are still in progress. It refers, however, to legislative measures to be taken in this connection by the United Kingdom and adds that as soon as they have been taken they will be deemed to be applicable to the territory of Belize, in virtue of section 75 of the Harbours and Merchant Shipping Ordinance, Chapter 149 of the Laws of Belize.
The Committee notes this information and hopes that the above-mentioned measures will be adopted very shortly (see also under Convention No. 8: United Kingdom).

British Virgin Islands

The Committee has pointed out that the provisions of section 157 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Act 1894, taken in conjunction with section 1 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping (International Labour Conventions) Act 1925, both Acts having been made applicable to this territory, constitute a bar to a seaman's claim to unemployment indemnity in the event of shipwreck where it is proved that he has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores, and are therefore not in conformity with the Convention, which does not provide for such a bar. The Committee has requested the Government to take the necessary measures to ensure the full application of the Convention on this point.

In reply to these comments, the Government again states that no action can be taken so long as the corresponding British Act has not been amended. The Committee trusts that the necessary amendments will be adopted in the near future (see also under Convention No. 8: United Kingdom).

Falkland Islands (Malvinas)

Article 2 of the Convention. In reply to the previous comments of the Committee concerning the forfeiture of the right to unemployment indemnity where it is proved that the seaman has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores (section 157 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Act 1894, read together with section 1 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping (International Labour Conventions) Act 1925, whose scope has been extended to this territory), the Government stated in its report for 1971-76 that a complete revision of the legislation of the territory was in progress and that the opportunity would be taken of calling the attention of the Law Revision Commissioner to the defects in the merchant shipping law with a view to their rectification.

Since the Government has supplied no report for the period 1977-78, the Committee can only express the hope that the legislation will be amended very shortly so as to ensure the full application of the Convention on this point and that the next report will indicate the progress made in this connection.

Gibraltar

Article 2 of the Convention. In reply to its previous comments concerning the bar to claims to unemployment indemnity in case of shipwreck, where it is proved that the seaman has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores during the shipwreck or foundering, the Government states in its report for the period 1976-78 that the proposed repeal of section 45(l) of the Merchant Shipping Ordinance is to be effected in conjunction with other changes that are to be made in the Ordinance in line with amendments to the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Acts. The Committee notes this statement and hopes that the measure under consideration will be adopted very shortly so as to bring the national laws into full conformity with this basic provision of the Convention (see also under Convention No. 8: United Kingdom).
Hong Kong

The Committee has pointed out that the provisions of section 157 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Act 1894, taken in conjunction with section 1 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping (International Labour Conventions) Act 1925, both Acts having been made applicable to this territory, constitute a bar to a seaman's claim to unemployment indemnity in case of shipwreck where it is proved that he has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores, and are therefore not in conformity with the Convention, which does not provide for such a bar. The Committee has requested the Government to take the necessary measures to ensure the full application of the Convention on this point.

In reply to these comments, the Government states again that no action can be taken so long as the corresponding British Act has not been amended. The Committee trusts that the necessary amendments will be adopted in the near future (see also under Convention No. 8: United Kingdom).

Montserrat

The Committee has pointed out that the provisions of section 157 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Act 1894, taken in conjunction with section 1 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping (International Labour Conventions) Act 1925, both Acts having been made applicable to this territory, constitute a bar to a seaman's claim to unemployment indemnity in case of shipwreck where it is proved that he has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores, and are therefore not in conformity with the Convention, which does not provide for such a bar. The Committee has requested the Government to take the necessary measures to ensure the full application of the Convention on this point.

In reply to these comments, the Government states again that no action can be taken so long as the corresponding British Act has not been amended. The Committee trusts that the necessary amendments will be adopted in the near future (see also under Convention No. 8: United Kingdom).

St. Helena

Article 2 of the Convention. In reply to the previous comments of the Committee concerning the forfeiture of the right to unemployment indemnity in case of loss or foundering of the ship where it is proved that the seaman has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores (section 157 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Act 1894, read together with section 1 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping (International Labour Conventions) Act 1925, whose scope has been extended to this territory), the Government states again, in its report for 1976-78, that it is not practicable to amend the legislation of the territory until an appropriate amendment has been introduced to the legislation of the United Kingdom. The Committee hopes that suitable measures will be taken in the near future to ensure the full application of the Convention on this point.

St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla

The Committee has pointed out that the provisions of section 157 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Act 1894, taken in conjunction with section 1 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping (International Labour Conventions) Act 1925, both Acts having been made applicable to this territory, constitute a bar to a seaman's claim to unemployment
indemnity in case of shipwreck where it is proved that he has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores, and are therefore not in conformity with the Convention, which does not provide for this bar. The Committee has requested the Government to take the necessary steps to ensure the full application of the Convention on this point.

In reply to these comments, the Government again states that no action can be taken so long as the corresponding British Act has not been amended. The Committee trusts that the necessary amendments will be adopted in the near future (see also under Convention No. 8: United Kingdom).

St. Vincent

Article 2 of the Convention. In reply to the previous comments of the Committee concerning the forfeiture of the right to unemployment indemnity in case of loss or foundering of the ship where it is proved that the seaman has not exerted himself to the utmost to save the ship, cargo and stores (section 157 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping Act 1894, read together with section 1 of the United Kingdom Merchant Shipping (International Labour Conventions) Act 1925, whose scope has been extended to this territory), the Government stated in its report for 1973-75 that consideration would be given to the possibility of amending the legislation of the territory in accordance with the amendments introduced to the legislation of the United Kingdom.

Since the Government has supplied no report for the period 1976-78, the Committee can only express the hope that the necessary legislative measures will be taken in the near future in order to ensure the full application of the Convention on this point and that the next report will indicate the progress made in this connection.

* * *

In addition, a request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to Denmark (Faeroe Islands).

Convention No. 9: Placing of Seamen, 1920

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to France (French Polynesia).

Information supplied by France (Overseas Departments (French Guiana, Guadeloupe, Martinique, Réunion, St. Pierre and Miquelon); New Caledonia) in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 11: Right of Association (Agriculture), 1921

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to France (St. Pierre and Miquelon).

Information supplied by the United Kingdom (Antigua) in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.
Convention No. 14: Weekly Rest (Industry), 1921

**United Kingdom**

**Hong Kong**

The Committee notes with satisfaction that, by virtue of section 17 of the Employment Ordinance, as amended by Ordinance No. 71 of 1976, workers enjoy in every period of seven days a period of rest comprising at least one day.

Convention No. 15: Minimum Age (Trimmers and Stokers), 1921

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to the **United Kingdom** (Belize).

Convention No. 17: Workmen's Compensation (Accidents), 1925

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: **France** (New Caledonia), **United Kingdom** (Bermuda, St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla, St. Vincent).

Information supplied by **France** (French Polynesia) in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 19: Equality of Treatment (Accident Compensation), 1925

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: **France** (French Polynesia, New Caledonia), **United Kingdom** (Bermuda, St. Vincent).

Information supplied by the **United Kingdom** (Antigua, St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla) in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 22: Seamen's Articles of Agreement, 1926

**France**

**Overseas Departments** (French Guiana, Guadeloupe, Martinique, Réunion, St. Pierre and Miquelon)

**Article 9, paragraph 1, of the Convention.** See under Convention No. 22, France.

**French Polynesia**

**Article 9, paragraph 1, of the Convention.** See under Convention No. 22, France.
New Caledonia

Article 9, paragraph 1, of the Convention. See under Convention No. 22, France.

Convention No. 24: Sickness Insurance (Industry), 1927

United Kingdom

Guernsey

Article 4 of the Convention. With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that the pharmaceutical service has been extended with effect from 1 November 1977 to include a range of appliances.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: France (French Polynesia, New Caledonia), United Kingdom (Guernsey).

Convention No. 25: Sickness Insurance (Agriculture), 1927

United Kingdom

Guernsey

Article 4 of the Convention. See under Convention No. 24.

* * *

In addition, a request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to the United Kingdom (Guernsey).

Convention No. 32: Protection against Accidents (Dockers) (Revised), 1932

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (Overseas Departments: French Guiana, Guadeloupe, Martinique, Réunion).

Convention No. 35: Old-Age Insurance (Industry, etc.), 1933

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (Overseas Departments (French Guiana, Guadeloupe, Martinique, Réunion, St. Pierre and Miquelon); French Polynesia, New Caledonia).
Convention No. 36: Old-Age Insurance (Agriculture), 1933

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (French Polynesiö, New Caledonia, St. Pierre and Miquelon).

Convention No. 37: Invalidity Insurance (Industry, etc.), 1933

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (French Polynesiö, New Caledonia, St. Pierre and Miquelon).

Convention No. 38: Invalidity Insurance (Agriculture), 1933

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (French Polynesiö, New Caledonia, St. Pierre and Miquelon).

Convention No. 42: Workmen’s Compensation (Occupational Diseases) (Revised), 1934

France

Overseas Departments (French Guiana, Guadeloupe, Martinique, Réunion)

See under France, Convention No. 42.

***

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: France (French Polynesiö, New Caledonia), United Kingdom (Gilbert Islands).

Convention No. 44: Unemployment Provision, 1934

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (French Polynesiö, New Caledonia).

Convention No. 45: Underground Work (Women), 1935

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to France (French Polynesiö).

Convention No. 52: Holidays with Pay, 1936

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to France (New Caledonia).
Convention No. 53: Officers' Competency Certificates, 1936

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (French Polynesia, New Caledonia).

Convention No. 55: Shipowners' Liability (Sick and Injured Seamen), 1936

Information supplied by France (French Polynesia) in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 56: Sickness Insurance (Sea), 1936

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: France (New Caledonia), United Kingdom (Guernsey).

Convention No. 58: Minimum Age (Sea) (Revised), 1936

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: France (New Caledonia), United Kingdom (Guernsey).

Convention No. 59: Minimum Age (Industry) (Revised), 1937

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: France (New Caledonia), United Kingdom (Guernsey).

United Kingdom

Guernsey

Article 3, paragraphs 1 and 2, of the Convention. See under Convention No. 24: the comments concerning Article 4 are also applicable to Convention No. 56.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: France (New Caledonia), United Kingdom (Guernsey).

United Kingdom

St. Helena

Further to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that the Children (Amendment) Ordinance 1978, gives full effect to the provisions of the Convention.

United Kingdom

Hong Kong

Article 1 of the Convention. With reference to its previous comments, the Committee notes with satisfaction that section 5 of the Factories and Industrial Undertakings (Amendment) Ordinance, 1977, which amends Regulation 4 of the Factories and Industrial Undertakings
Regulations, 1975, prohibits the employment of children in undertakings that are not carried on by way of trade or for the purposes of gain, in accordance with this Article of the Convention.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the United Kingdom (Antigua, Bermuda, Gilbert Islands, Hong Kong, Montserrat, St. Vincent).

Information supplied by the United Kingdom (British Virgin Islands, Gibraltar and St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla) in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 63: Statistics of Wages and Hours of Work, 1938

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (French Polynesia, New Caledonia).

Convention No. 69: Certification of Ships' Cooks, 1946

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to France (New Caledonia).

Convention No. 71: Seafarers' Pensions, 1946

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to France (New Caledonia).

Information supplied by France (French Polynesia) in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 77: Medical Examination of Young Persons (Industry), 1946

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (French Polynesia, New Caledonia).

Convention No. 78: Medical Examination of Young Persons (Non-Industrial Occupations), 1946

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (French Polynesia, New Caledonia).
Convention No. 81: Labour Inspection, 1947

France

Overseas departments (French Guiana, Guadeloupe, Martinique, Réunion)

See under Convention No. 81, France, observation concerning Articles 20 and 21.

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (French Polynesia, New Caledonia).

Convention No. 82: Social Policy (Non-Metropolitan Territories), 1947

United Kingdom

St. Vincent

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

Article 18. paragraphs 1(i) and 2. of the Convention. The Committee notes the Government's statement in its report for 1972-74 (repeated in May 1976) that the minimum wage rates for women in agriculture and in industrial undertakings are lower than those for men, but that the jobs assigned to men and women are different, those for women being less strenuous. The Committee would, however, point out that the principle of equal pay for work of equal value requires the elimination of differing wage rates established by reference to the sex of the workers concerned. While this does not prevent the fixing of different rates for different types of work, such differences should be based on criteria other than the worker's sex. The Committee therefore hopes that measures will be taken to ensure that wage rates are fixed on the basis of the work to be performed and not on the basis of sex.

Article 19. paragraphs 2 and 3. For a number of years the Committee has been drawing the Government's attention to the need to prescribe a minimum school-leaving age and, in 1969, the Government indicated that the necessary legislation would be enacted as soon as funds became available. The Committee notes from the Government's report for 1974 to 1976 that it is continuing to give careful consideration to the Committee's comments with a view to effecting the necessary measures when it is in a position to do so, and hopes that the Government will shortly be able to indicate the progress made towards the application of these provisions of the Convention.

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the United Kingdom (Antigua, Bermuda).
Convention No. 87: Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise, 1948

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: France (General direct request), United Kingdom (General direct request, Antigua, Gilbert Islands, St. Vincent).

Information supplied by the United Kingdom (Bermuda) in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 88: Employment Service, 1948

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to France (New Caledonia).

Information supplied by France (French Polynesia) in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 94: Labour Clauses (Public Contracts), 1949

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: France (French Polynesia, New Caledonia), Netherlands (Netherlands Antilles), United Kingdom (British Virgin Islands, Guernsey, Hong Kong, Jersey, St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla).

Convention No. 95: Protection of Wages, 1949

United Kingdom

St. Vincent

The Committee notes that the Government's report has not been received. It must therefore repeat its previous observation which read as follows:

Articles 2, 5, 6, 10, 12 and 15 of the Convention. The Committee notes the Government's statement that it plans to revise the present labour legislation, with assistance from aid agencies, and that it will take into account the requirements of these Articles of the Convention, on which comments have been made since 1960. The Committee hopes that these measures will be taken in the near future and will ensure full legislative conformity with the Convention.

*   *   *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the United Kingdom (Hong Kong, Jersey, Montserrat).
Convention No. 96: Fee-Charging Employment Agencies (Revised), 1949

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (French Polynesia, New Caledonia).

Convention No. 98: Right to Organise and Collective Bargaining, 1949

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: France (general direct request, French Polynesia, New Caledonia, St. Pierre and Miquelon), United Kingdom (general direct request, Hong Kong).

Information supplied by the United Kingdom (Antigua) in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 105: Abolition of Forced Labour, 1957

United Kingdom

Southern Rhodesia (Zimbabwe)

See under General Observations.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Denmark (Faeroe Islands), France (French Polynesia, New Caledonia, St. Pierre and Miquelon).

Convention No. 106: Weekly Rest (Commerce and Offices), 1957

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to the Netherlands (Netherlands Antilles).

Information supplied by France (Overseas Departments: French Guinea, Guadeloupe, Martinique, Réunion) in answer to a direct request has been noted by the Committee.

Convention No. 115: Radiation Protection, 1960

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (French Polynesia, New Caledonia).
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Convention No. 120: Hygiene (Commerce and Offices), 1964

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (Overseas Departments: French Guiana, Guadeloupe, Martinique, Réunion, St. Pierre and Miquelon; French Polynesia, New Caledonia.)

Convention No. 122: Employment Policy, 1964

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Australia (Norfolk Islands), Denmark (Greenland), France (French Polynesia, New Caledonia), Netherlands (Netherlands Antilles), United Kingdom (Guernsey, Isle of Man).

Convention No. 123: Minimum Age (Underground Work), 1965

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (French Polynesia, New Caledonia).

Convention No. 124: Medical Examination of Young Persons (Underground Work), 1965

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to France (New Caledonia).

Convention No. 125: Fishermen's Competency Certificates, 1966

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (Overseas Departments: French Guiana, Guadeloupe, Martinique, Réunion, St. Pierre and Miquelon; French Polynesia).

Convention No. 135: Workers' Representatives, 1971

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to the United Kingdom (Gibraltar).

Convention No. 136: Benzene, 1971

Requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to France (Overseas Departments: French Guiana, Guadeloupe, Martinique, Réunion).

Convention No. 140: Paid Educational Leave, 1974

A request regarding certain points is being addressed directly to the United Kingdom (St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla).
Appendix. Receipt of Detailed Reports on Ratified Conventions (Non-Metropolitan Territories) as at 28 March 1979
(Articles 22 and 35 of the Constitution)
Reports received: 389  Reports not received: 198  Total: 587

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries and Territories</th>
<th>Reports received</th>
<th>Reports not received</th>
<th>Population (thousands)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Conventions Nos.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk Islands</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11, 47, 87, 98, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faeroe Islands</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 52, 87, 98, 106</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11, 14, 87, 106, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overseas Departments:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadeloupe</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8, 9, 11, 14, 15, 16, 22, 23, 35, 36, 37, 38, 43, 45, 49, 52, 55, 56, 71, 87, 94, 95, 98, 100, 101, 106, 108, 112, 113, 114, 120, 125</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 22, 23, 35, 36, 37, 38, 43, 45, 49, 52, 55, 71, 87, 94, 95, 98, 100, 101, 106, 108, 112, 113, 114, 120, 125</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinique</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8, 9, 11, 14, 15, 16, 22, 23, 35, 36, 37, 38, 43, 45, 49, 52, 55, 71, 87, 94, 95, 98, 100, 101, 106, 108, 112, 113, 114, 120, 125</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reunion</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8, 9, 11, 14, 15, 16, 22, 23, 35, 36, 37, 38, 43, 45, 49, 52, 55, 71, 87, 94, 95, 98, 100, 101, 106, 108, 112, 113, 114, 120, 125</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Pierre and Miquelon</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 35, 36, 37, 38, 43, 45, 49, 52, 55, 56, 58, 71, 81, 87, 89, 91, 94, 95, 98, 100, 101, 106, 108, 115, 120, 123, 124, 125, 126</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For footnotes see end of table.
### NON-METROPOLITAN TERRITORIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries and Territories</th>
<th>Reports received</th>
<th>Reports not received</th>
<th>Population (thousands)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Conventions Nos.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overseas Territories:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Polynesia</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 23, 35, 36, 37, 38, 43, 49, 52, 56, 58, 73, 78, 81, 82, 84, 87, 91, 94, 95, 98, 99, 100, 101, 106, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Caledonia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands Antilles</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook Islands</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29, 50, 64, 65, 99, 104, 105</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niue</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokelau Islands</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigua</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11, 14, 58, 59, 82, 84, 87, 94, 97, 98, 101</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8, 11, 15, 22, 58, 59, 82, 84, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 101, 115</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bermuda</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11, 15, 17, 22, 58, 59, 82, 84, 87, 94, 98, 115</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Virgin Islands</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 58, 59, 82, 84, 87, 94, 97, 98</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunei</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8, 11, 15, 58, 82, 84, 94, 95, 98</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fakland Islands (Malvinas)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries and Territories</td>
<td>Reports received</td>
<td>Reports not received</td>
<td>Population (thousands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Conventions Nos.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibraltar</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8, 11, 15, 22, 44, 58, 59, 82, 84, 87, 94, 95, 98, 135</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilbert Islands</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11, 58, 59, 82, 84, 87, 94, 98</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guernsey</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8, 11, 22, 24, 25, 44, 56, 87, 94, 97, 98, 114, 115, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 15, 22, 58, 59, 82, 84, 87, 94, 95, 98, 101, 115, 124</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isle of Man</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8, 11, 22, 24, 25, 44, 56, 87, 94, 95, 97, 98, 101, 122</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montserrat</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 15, 58, 59, 82, 84, 87, 95, 97, 98</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Kitts-Nevis-Anguilla</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 22, 58, 59, 82, 84, 87, 94, 97, 98, 101, 140</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Helena</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8, 11, 14, 15, 58, 59, 82, 84, 87, 98</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Vincent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Rhodesia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other States:**

| United States             | 3     | 0      | 0      | —       | 100 |
| Guam                      | 1     | 55     | 0      | —       | 3,210 |
| Puerto Rico               | 1     | 55     | 0      | —       | 90 |
| Virgin Islands            | 1     | 55     | 0      | —       | 90 |

III. Observations concerning the Submission to the Competent Authorities of the Conventions and Recommendations Adopted by the International Labour Conference (Article 19 of the Constitution)

**Afghanistan**

The Committee refers to its previous observation and hopes that the Government will soon indicate whether the Recommendations adopted from the 53rd to 58th Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to the competent authorities. The Committee further hopes that the Government will shortly submit the instruments adopted at the 52nd, 59th, 60th, 61st, 62nd and 63rd Sessions and will supply, in regard to all the above-mentioned instruments, the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

**Bulgaria**

The Committee notes the information and documents concerning the submission to the Council of State of the instruments adopted at the 61st and 63rd Sessions of the Conference. It again expresses the hope that the Government will be able to submit the instruments adopted by the Conference not only to the Council of State but also to the National Assembly, as the legislative body.

**Byelorussian SSR**

The Committee notes from the information supplied by the Government that the instruments adopted at the 62nd and 63rd Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to the Presidium of the Supreme Soviet of the Byelorussian SSR.

With regard to the comments that it has been making for some years on the submission of Conventions and Recommendations to the Supreme Soviet itself as legislative body, and the transmission to the ILO of the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body, the Committee refers to its observations of 1976 and 1977 and hopes that the Government will shortly be able to indicate the results of the re-examination of these questions by the authorities concerned.
The Committee notes the submission to the competent authorities of the instruments adopted at the 62nd Session of the Conference. It also notes that the instruments adopted at the 49th, 50th, 52nd, 60th, 61st and 63rd Sessions were to be submitted to the Prime Minister after the Legislative Commission has expressed its opinion. The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly be able to state that the submission of these instruments has been carried out and that it will provide, in respect of them, the information and documents required in the Memorandum adopted by the Government Body.

The Committee also requests the Government to provide a copy of the document by means of which the instruments adopted at the 53rd, 59th and 62nd Sessions of the Conference have been submitted.

In the absence of a reply to its previous observations, the Committee hopes that the Government will soon be able to state that the instruments adopted at the 55th to 63rd Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to the competent authorities and that it will provide the information and documents required in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body (points II and III of the questionnaire) in respect not only of these instruments but also of those adopted from the 50th to the 54th Sessions, which have already been submitted.

Colombia

The Committee has noted the information given by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 to the effect that instruments adopted from the 58th to the 61st Sessions of the Conference were to be submitted to Congress during the term of the legislature beginning in July 1978. In the absence of any further information on the subject, the Committee hopes that the Government will shortly indicate whether the submission of these instruments, and of the instruments adopted at the 62nd and 63rd Sessions of the Conference, has taken place. Referring to its previous observation, the Committee also hopes that the Government will soon be able to supply the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body in respect of a number of instruments adopted from the 40th to the 60th Session of the Conference which were submitted to Congress during its regular sessions of 1973 and 1974, according to the information communicated by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1977.

Costa Rica

The Committee regrets to note that the Government has not replied to its previous direct requests. It again expresses the hope that the Government will soon communicate the information on the proposals made and the decisions that may be taken on the instruments adopted at the 60th Session of the Conference, which have already been submitted.

It also hopes that the Government will state whether the Recommendations adopted at the 54th and 55th Sessions and the instruments adopted at the 61st, 62nd and 63rd Sessions have been submitted to the competent authorities, and that in respect of them, it will provide the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.
SOBMISSION TO COMPETENT AUTHORITIES

El Salvador

The Committee notes that the instruments adopted at the 60th and 61st Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to the competent authorities. With reference to its earlier comments, the Committee notes that the Government has still not supplied, in respect of the instruments adopted at the 52nd, 55th, 56th and 59th Sessions of the Conference, already submitted to the Legislative Assembly, the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body (indication concerning the propositions that have been made and any decisions taken and copies of the documents by means of which the instruments have been submitted). The Committee hopes that the Government will be able soon to communicate such information and documents in respect of the above-mentioned instruments and that it will also indicate whether the instruments adopted at the 62nd and 63rd Sessions have been submitted to the competent authorities.

Ethiopia

The Committee notes that no information has been supplied for several years concerning the submission to the competent authorities of the instruments adopted by the Conference. The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly be able to state that Recommendation No. 136, adopted at the 54th Session of the Conference, and the instruments adopted at the 58th, 59th, 60th, 61st, 62nd and 63rd Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to the competent authorities, and that it will supply in this connection the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

Gabon

With reference to its previous observation, the Committee notes with interest the information supplied by the Government to the effect that various instruments adopted from the 51st Session of the Conference on and already submitted to the Council of Ministers have now been submitted to the National Assembly. It hopes that the Government will provide copies of the documents by means of which the instruments in question have been submitted to the National Assembly and also information on any decision taken in connection with them, as required by the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body (points II(c) and III of the questionnaire).

Ghana

The Committee regrets to note the absence of any reply to its previous direct requests. It hopes that the Government will shortly state whether the instruments adopted at the 60th, 61st, 62nd and 63rd Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to the competent authorities and that it will provide in respect of them the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee also hopes that the Government will provide information on any new proposals made or measures taken in respect of the instruments adopted from the 50th to 59th Sessions, which have already been submitted to the competent authorities.
Further to its previous comments, the Committee has noted with satisfaction the information and documents supplied by the Government with respect to the submission to Congress of all the instruments adopted from the 53rd to the 63rd Sessions of the Conference, following direct contacts between the national services concerned and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO.

Guatemala

The Committee refers to the information provided by the Government in 1978 according to which efforts were being made to submit to Parliament as quickly as possible the instruments adopted from the 54th to the 62nd Sessions of the Conference and that they had already been examined by the Cabinet. In the absence of further information, the Committee hopes that the Government will shortly be in a position to indicate that these instruments, and also those adopted at the 63rd Session, have been submitted and that it will provide the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

Haiti

With reference to its previous comments, the Committee takes note with satisfaction of the information and documents provided by the Government on the submission to the Legislative Chamber of the instruments adopted by the Conference from the 54th to 63rd Sessions following direct contacts between the national services concerned and a representative of the Director-General of the ILO.

The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly be able to state that all the remaining instruments, adopted at various Sessions between the 32nd and the 53rd, have been submitted to the Legislative Chamber and that it will provide in respect of them the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

Hungary

The Committee notes the information and documents supplied by the Government on the submission to the Presidential Council of the instruments adopted at the 63rd Session of the Conference. With reference to its previous observations, the Committee again expresses the hope that the instruments adopted by the Conference may also be submitted to Parliament as the authority invested by the Constitution of Hungary with full legislative powers. The Committee notes in this connection the information supplied by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 to the effect that the authorities are still examining the question with a view to finding an adequate solution.

The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly be able to communicate the results of their examination.

Indonesia

The Committee has noted the information and documents supplied by the Government concerning the submission to Parliament of the instruments adopted at the 61st and 62nd Sessions of the Conference. It would be grateful if the Government would indicate whether the submission of the instruments adopted at the 63rd Session has also taken place.
Referring to its earlier observations, the Committee trusts that the Government will shortly supply the information requested concerning its proposals and the decisions of the competent authorities on the instruments adopted from the 52nd to the 56th Session of the Conference, which have already been submitted to Parliament.

**Iraq**

Further to its previous observation, the Committee has noted the information communicated by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 to the effect that arrangements for the submission of the remaining instruments to the competent authorities had almost been completed. Although several of the Conventions adopted from the 58th to the 61st Sessions of the Conference have recently been ratified, the Government has as yet supplied no other information. The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly be able to state that the numerous instruments listed in the last column of the table in Appendix I to this section of the report have been submitted to the competent authorities, and that it will supply in this connection the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body (points II and III of the questionnaire).

**Jordan**

Further to its earlier observations, the Committee has noted the statement made by a Government representative to the Conference Committee in 1978 to the effect that a legislative assembly had been established on 20 April 1978. The Committee has also noted with interest that the Government has requested direct contact with the ILO with a view to meeting its obligation under the ILO Constitution, to supply reports and information. The Committee accordingly hopes that the Government will shortly be in a position to state that the numerous instruments adopted since the 39th Session of the Conference which are listed in the last column of the table in Appendix I to this section of the report have been submitted to the legislative assembly, and that it will supply in this connection the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

**Democratic Kampuchea**

The Committee notes the absence of any information concerning the submission to the competent authorities of the instruments adopted by the Conference.

**Laos Republic**

Since no information has been supplied by the Government, the Committee hopes that the Government will soon be able to state whether the instruments adopted from the 48th to the 63rd Session of the Conference have been submitted to the competent authorities, and that it will supply in this connection the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

**Lebanon**

The Committee has noted from the information supplied by the Government that the submission of the instruments adopted at the 61st,
62nd and 63rd Sessions of the Conference has been delayed because the translation of these instruments was not yet available. The Committee hopes that the submission of the instruments still listed in the last column of the table in Appendix I to this section will be possible shortly, and that the Government will supply, in this connection, the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

**Liberia**

The Committee has noted the statement made by a Government representative to the Conference Committee in 1978 to the effect that the instruments adopted from the 31st to the 63rd Session of the Conference had been submitted to the President, who had referred them to the Ministry of Justice for scrutiny before submitting them to the national legislature. The Committee recalls that according to information supplied earlier, the instruments adopted from the 31st to the 60th Session had been submitted to the President for transmission to the national legislature in 1976. The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly be able to supply in respect of all the instruments in question (except Convention No. 133, recently ratified) the document whereby they were submitted to the legislature, indicating the proposals made and any decisions taken in respect of these instruments, as requested in points II(c) and III of the questionnaire at the end of the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

**Madagascar**

Further to its previous observation, the Committee has noted with satisfaction from the information and documents supplied by the Government that all the instruments adopted from the 56th to the 63rd Session of the Conference have been submitted to the Supreme Revolutionary Council.

**Malawi**

With reference to its previous observations, the Committee notes the statement by the Government representative to the Conference Committee in 1978, to the effect that the comments of the supervisory bodies of the ILO have been communicated to the competent department.

In the absence of any new information on the matter, the Committee points out once more that, under article 19, paragraphs 5 and 6, of the Constitution of the ILO, Conventions and Recommendations adopted by the Conference must be submitted to the authority or authorities within whose competence the matter lies, for the enactment of legislation or other action. Since, under section 35(2) of the Constitution of Malawi, "the legislative power of Parliament shall be exercised by Bills passed by the National Assembly and assented to by the President", the National Assembly appears to be the competent authority for the enactment of legislation for the purposes of article 19 of the Constitution of the ILO. The Committee accordingly expresses again the hope that the Government will submit the Conventions and Recommendations to the National Assembly.

The Committee also hopes that the Government will shortly indicate whether the instruments adopted at the 55th, 58th, 60th, 61st, 62nd and 63rd Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to the competent authorities and communicate the information and the documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.
SOBHISSIOH TO COMPETENT AUTHORITIES

Malaysia

The Committee noted in 1978 that, following an administrative reorganisation, measures would be taken, starting that year, with a view to the submission by stages to Parliament of all the outstanding Conventions and Recommendations. In the absence of any further information, the Committee trusts that the Government will shortly be able to state that all the instruments adopted from the 58th to the 63rd Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to Parliament and that it will provide the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

Malta

The Committee notes from the information supplied by the Government, that memorandums are being prepared for discussion by the Cabinet so that Policy Statements may be approved by the latter with a view to placing on the Table of the House of Representatives the Conventions and Recommendations adopted from the 55th Session of the Conference. The Committee further notes that, because of the backlog of instruments, it is proposed to proceed first with instruments with no application to Malta, followed by those which could be ratified, possibly with some modification to the legislation. It hopes that the Government will be able soon to indicate that submission of instruments to Parliament has actually taken place and that it will supply, in this connection, the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

Mauritania

Further to its earlier observations, the Committee has noted from the statement made by a Government representative to the Conference Committee in 1978 and the information subsequently supplied by the Government that, since the difficulties due to the insufficient number of officials no longer existed, all the instruments adopted from the 47th to the 50th Session of the Conference were soon to be submitted to the competent authority, and that the instruments adopted at the 63rd Session were to be examined with a view to their submission. The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly be able to state that the submission of the aforementioned instruments, as well as of the instruments adopted at the 61st and 62nd Sessions of the Conference, has taken place, and that it will supply in this connection the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

Mauritius

Further to its previous observation, the Committee notes from the information communicated by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 that all the outstanding instruments adopted from the 53rd to the 63rd Session of the Conference have been referred to the National Advisory Board for consideration with a view to their submission to Parliament, priority being given to the instruments adopted at the 62nd and 63rd Sessions. The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly be able to state that the aforementioned instruments have been submitted to Parliament, and that it will supply in this connection the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.
The Committee notes that no information has been supplied in response to its previous observation concerning the submission of the instruments adopted from the 58th to the 61st Session of the Conference. It again requests the Government to provide particulars as to the authorities regarded as competent and the action taken by them, and to supply copies of the documents whereby the aforementioned instruments were submitted, in accordance with paragraphs 5(c) and 6(c) of article 19 of the Constitution of the ILO and the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body (points I and II(b) and (c) and III of the questionnaire). The Committee would further be grateful if the Government would indicate whether the submission of the instruments adopted at the 62nd and 63rd Sessions of the Conference has taken place.

The Committee has noted the information supplied by the Government concerning the submission to the competent authorities of the instruments adopted at the 62nd and 63rd Sessions of the Conference. It has also noted with interest the statement made by a Government representative to the Conference Committee in 1978 to the effect that all the instruments would be submitted to Parliament in conformity with the procedure in force in the country. The Committee accordingly hopes that the Government will shortly be able to state that the instruments adopted from the 51st to the 61st Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to Parliament, and that it will supply in this connection the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

Further to its earlier observations, the Committee has noted the information communicated by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978 to the effect that the competent services were making an in-depth review of the instruments adopted at the 51st, 56th, 58th, 60th and 61st Sessions of the Conference with a view to their submission to the competent authorities. The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly be able to indicate that the aforementioned instruments, as well as those adopted at the 59th, 62nd and 63rd Sessions, have been submitted to the competent authorities, and that it will supply in this connection the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

With reference to its previous observations, the Committee has noted, from the information communicated by the Government to the Conference Committee in 1978, that the question of submission of instruments adopted by the Conference to the National Assembly and of the supply of information as requested in the Memorandum drawn up by the Governing Body, was still under active consideration. It further notes with interest the information subsequently communicated by the Government in reply to various points of the questionnaire in the above Memorandum, and relating to the submission of the instruments adopted at the 60th and 61st Sessions of the Conference.

The Committee hopes that, as a result of the Government's examination of the matter, the latter will submit the Conventions and
SOBHIS SISIOS TO COMPETENT AUTHORITIES

Recommendations adopted by the Conference to the National Assembly which, under the Constitution of Pakistan, is the body vested with legislative powers.

Pera

The Committee regrets to note that the Government has supplied no information on submission to the competent authorities since 1974. The Committee trusts that the Government will soon state that the numerous instruments still listed in the last column of the table in Appendix I to the present section of the report have been submitted to the competent authorities, and that it will supply in this connection the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body (points II and III of the questionnaire).

Sri Lanka

Referring to its previous observation, the Committee notes that the instruments adopted at the 59th and 60th Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to the competent authorities and that steps are being taken to submit the instruments adopted at the 61st and 62nd Sessions. The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly be able to state that the latter instruments, as well as those adopted at the 63rd Session, have been submitted, and that it will supply in this connection the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body. The Committee hopes that the Government will also supply information on the proposals made and on any decisions taken in respect of the instruments adopted from the 55th to the 58th Sessions, which had previously been submitted to the National State Assembly.

Tanzania

The Committee has noted the statement made by a Government representative to the Conference Committee in 1978 to the effect that the document for the submission of the instruments adopted from the 54th to the 59th Sessions of the Conference could not yet be submitted to Parliament because of the need to translate it into Swahili, and that it was being redrafted to take account of the instruments adopted at the 60th and 61st Sessions. The Committee notes with regret that no submission of instruments has taken place for several years. It hopes that the Government will shortly be in a position to state that these instruments, as well as those adopted at the 62nd and 63rd Sessions, have been submitted to Parliament, and that it will supply the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body in respect of the aforementioned instruments and in respect of the instruments adopted from the 47th to the 53rd Sessions.

Ukrainian SSR

The Committee notes from the information supplied by the Government that the instruments adopted at the 62nd and 63rd Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to the Presidium of the Supreme Soviet of the Ukrainian SSR.

With regard to the comments it has been making for a number of years concerning the submission of Conventions and Recommendations to the Supreme Soviet itself as the legislative body, and the communication to the ILO of the information and documents called for in
the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body, the Committee refers to its observations of 1976 and 1977 and hopes that the Government will soon be able to indicate the results of the re-examination of these questions by the authorities concerned.

**USSR**

The Committee notes the information provided by the Government to the effect that the instruments adopted at the 62nd and 63rd Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to the Presidium of the Supreme Soviet of the USSR.

The Committee recalls that, for a number of years, it has been expressing the hope that the Conventions and Recommendations adopted by the Conference might also be submitted to the Supreme Soviet itself as the legislative body and that the information and documents concerning submission might be communicated to the ILO in accordance with the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

In 1975, the Government representative to the Conference Committee mentioned a new examination of the question. The Committee notes that the Government representative to the Conference Committee in 1978 stated that the suggestion that the deputies of the Supreme Soviet might be made aware of the activities of the ILO was still under study and expressed the hope that a positive solution would be found in connection with the legislative work that was under way following the adoption of the new Constitution of the USSR.

The Committee hopes that the Government will shortly be able to provide information on the decisions taken in the matter and also on the communication of the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

Mr. Tunkin, member of the Committee, considered that the Committee, in its comments concerning the discharge by member States of their obligation regarding submission to the competent authorities of Conventions and Recommendations adopted by the International Labour Conference, placed undue emphasis on the problem, especially as regards the USSR and some other countries. According to the Constitution of the USSR of 1977, and the law on the "Procedure of Conclusion, Implementation and Denunciation of the International Treaties of the USSR" of 1978, the Presidium of the Supreme Soviet of the USSR, to whom the ILO documents were presented, was the competent authority within the meaning of article 19 of the ILO Constitution, because it was an organ empowered to take all appropriate action in connection with the Conventions and Recommendations adopted by the International Labour Conference.

**United Arab Emirates**

Further to its previous observation, the Committee notes with interest that the instruments adopted from the 58th to the 63rd Session of the Conference have been submitted to the Council of Ministers. It would be grateful if the Government would supply in this connection the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body (points II(b) and (c) and III of the questionnaire), especially as concerns the Government's proposals as to the action to be taken on these instruments and any decisions taken in this respect.
SUBMISSION TO COMPETENT AUTHORITIES

Uruguay

The Committee notes that the instruments adopted at the 62nd Session of the Conference (except Recommendation No. 153) and Convention No. 149, adopted at the 63rd Session, have been submitted to the Council of State. Since the Government has already stated that various Conventions adopted at the 58th, 59th and 60th Sessions of the Conference were the subject of consultations, the Committee hopes that it will shortly be able to state that all the instruments still appearing in the last column of the table in Appendix I to the present section of the report have been submitted to the competent authorities and that it will provide in respect of them the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

Viet-Nam

The Committee notes the absence of any information concerning the submission to the competent authorities of the instruments adopted by the Conference.

Japan

The Committee notes with regret that no information has been received in answer to its previous observation. It trusts that the instruments adopted from the 50th to the 56th Session of the Conference (excepting the Conventions ratified) and those adopted from the 60th to the 63rd Session will shortly be submitted to the competent legislative authority, and that the Government will supply in respect of these instruments and those adopted at the 49th, 58th and 59th Sessions, already submitted, the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

Yugoslavia

The Committee again notes with regret that since 1974 no information has been provided concerning the submission of Conventions and Recommendations to the competent authorities. It hopes that the Government will be able to indicate in the near future that the instruments adopted at the 55th and 56th to 63rd Sessions of the Conference (with the exception of Convention No. 139, which has been ratified) have been submitted to the competent authorities, and that it will supply in this connection the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body.

Zaire

The Committee regrets to note that there has been no reply to its previous observations. It trusts that the Government will in the near future supply the document by means of which the instruments adopted at the 54th, 55th, 56th and 59th Sessions of the Conference have been submitted to the President of the Republic, and that it will also supply, in respect to the instruments adopted from the 50th to the 53rd Sessions, the information and documents called for in the Memorandum adopted by the Governing Body. Furthermore, it requests the Government to state whether the instruments adopted at the 58th and from the 60th to the 63rd Sessions have been submitted.

The Committee points out however, that under section 30 of the national Constitution, the President of the Republic has full powers
and presides over the Legislative Council, section 37 of the Constitution provides that he "shall exercise the legislative power with the assistance of the Legislative Council" and section 59 provides that "the initiative in legislation rests jointly" with the President and "with each member of the Legislative Council". The Committee therefore again expresses the hope that the instruments submitted to the President may also be laid before the Legislative Council.

* * *

In addition, requests regarding certain points are being addressed directly to the following States: Algeria, Angola, Austria, Bahamas, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Bolivia, Burma, Burundi, United Republic of Cameroon, Canada, Chile, Congo, Cuba, Cyprus, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Dominican Republic, Fiji, France, German Democratic Republic, Federal Republic of Germany, Greece, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Iceland, Iran, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Ivory Coast, Jamaica, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Luxembourg, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Pakistan, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sudan, Suriname, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Upper Volta, Venezuela, Zambia.
Appendix I. Information Supplied by Governments with Regard to the Obligation to Submit Conventions and Recommendations to the Competent Authorities

*(31st to 63rd Sessions of the International Labour Conference, 1948-77)*

*Note:* The number of the Convention or Recommendation is given in parentheses, preceded by the letter “C” or “R” as the case may be, when only some of the texts adopted at any one session have been submitted. Ratified Conventions are considered as having been submitted.

Account has been taken of the date of admission or readmission of States Members to the ILO for determining the sessions of the Conference whose texts are taken into consideration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Sessions of which the adopted texts have been submitted to the authorities considered as competent by governments</th>
<th>Sessions of which the adopted texts have not been submitted (including cases in which no information has been supplied)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>31 to 51, 53 (C 129, 130), 54 (C 131, 132), 55 (C 133, 134), 56 (C 135, 136), and 58 (C 137, 138)</td>
<td>52, 53 (R 133, 134), 54 (R 135, 136), 55 (R 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142), 56 (R 143, 144), 58 (R 145, 146), 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>47 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>31 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td>58 to 60</td>
<td>61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrein</td>
<td>51 to 63</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>31 to 60</td>
<td>61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>31 to 62</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>31 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>45 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>31 to 59, 60 (C 141, 142, 143; R 149, 150), 61 and 62</td>
<td>60 (R 151) and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>31 to 45, 46 (C 117, 118; R 116), 47 (C 119), 48 (C 120, 121, 122), 49 (C 123, 124; R 124, 125), 50 (C 126; R 127), 51 (C 128; R 129, 130), 52, 53 (C 129, 130), 54, 55 (R 137, 138, 140, 141, 142), 56 (R 143), 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
<td>46 (R 117), 47 (R 118, 119), 48 (R 120, 121, 122), 49 (R 123), 50 (C 126; R 127), 51 (C 128; R 129, 130), 52, 53 (C 129, 130), 54, 55 (R 137, 138, 140, 141, 142), 56 (R 143), 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The Conference did not adopt any Conventions or Recommendations at its 57th Session (1972).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Sessions of which the adopted texts have been submitted to the authorities considered as competent by governments</th>
<th>Sessions of which the adopted texts have not been submitted (including cases in which no information has been supplied)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burma</td>
<td>31 to 60</td>
<td>61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>47 to 61 and 62 (R 153, 154)</td>
<td>62 (C 145, 146, 147; R 155) and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byelorussian SSR</td>
<td>37 to 63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>44 to 63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>31 to 60</td>
<td>61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Empire</td>
<td>45 to 48, 51, 53 to 59 and 62</td>
<td>49, 50, 52, 60, 61 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>45 to 54</td>
<td>55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>31 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>31 to 39, 40 (C 105, 106, 107; R 103), 41 (C 109; R 105, 106, 108), 42 to 44, 45 (C 116), 46 (C 118), 47 (C 119), 48 (C 120, 121, 122), 49 (C 123, 124), 50 (C 125, 126), 51 (C 127), 53 (C 129, 130), 54 (C 131, 132), 55 (C 133, 134) and 56 (C 135, 136)</td>
<td>40 (R 104), 41 (C 108; R 107, 109), 45 (R 115), 46 (C 117; R 116, 117), 47 (R 118, 119), 48 (R 120, 121, 122), 49 (R 123, 124, 125), 50 (R 126, 127), 51 (C 128; R 128, 129, 130, 131), 52, 53 (R 133, 134), 54 (R 135, 136), 55 (R 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142), 56 (R 143, 144), 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>45 to 61</td>
<td>62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>31 to 53, 54 (C 131, 132), 55 (C 133, 134), 56 to 60</td>
<td>54 (R 135, 136), 55 (R 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142), 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>31 to 60 and 62 (C 145; R 154)</td>
<td>61, 62 (C 146, 147; R 153, 155) and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>45 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czechoslovakia</td>
<td>31 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Kampuchea</td>
<td>53, 54, 56</td>
<td>55, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Yemen</td>
<td>53 to 61</td>
<td>62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>31 to 61 and 63</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>31 to 61</td>
<td>62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>31 to 61</td>
<td>62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>31 to 53, 54 (C 131, 132; R 135), 55 and 56</td>
<td>54 (R 136), 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>59 and 60</td>
<td>61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SUBMISSION TO COMPETENT AUTHORITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Sessions of which the adopted texts have been submitted to the authorities considered as competent by governments</th>
<th>Sessions of which the adopted texts have not been submitted (including cases in which no information has been supplied)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>45 to 50, 51 (C 127, 128; R 128, 53, 54 (C 131, 132; R 135), 56 (C 135; R 143), 58 (C 138; R 146), 60, 61, 62 (C 147; R 155) and 63</td>
<td>51 (R 129, 130, 131), 52, 54 (R 136), 55, 56 (C 136; R 144), 58 (C 137; R 145), 59 and 62 (C 145, 146; R 153, 154)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Democratic Republic</td>
<td>59 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany (Federal Republic)</td>
<td>34 to 61 and 62 (C 147; R 155)</td>
<td>62 (C 145, 146; R 153, 154) and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>40 to 59</td>
<td>60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>31 to 44, 45, 46 (C 118), 47 to 56, 58 (C 138; R 146), 59, 60 (C 141, 142; R 149, 150) and 61</td>
<td>46 (C 117; R 116, 117), 58 (C 137; R 145), 60 (C 143; R 151), 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>43 to 56, 59 and 60 (C 142, 143; R 150, 151)</td>
<td>58, 60 (C 141; R 149), 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea-Bissau</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>50 to 53</td>
<td>54, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>31, 32 (C 91, 98; R 84, 85, 86, 87), 34 (C 99, 100), 35 (C 102, 103), 38 (C 104), 40 to 44, 45 (C 116), 46 to 49 and 54 to 63</td>
<td>32, (C 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97), 33, 34 (R 89, 90, 91, 92), 35 (C 101; R 93, 94, 95), 36, 37, 38 (R 99, 100), 39, 45 (R 115), 50, 51, 52 and 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>39 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>31 to 61 and 63</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>31 to 60</td>
<td>61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>33 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>31 to 61</td>
<td>62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>31, 32 (C 92, 95, 98), 34, 35 (C 102, 103), 36, 40 (C 105, 106), 42 (C 111; R 111), 43 (C 112, 113, 114), 44, 45, (C 116), 46 (C 117, 118), 48 (C 122), 49 (C 123, 124), 51 to 56, 58 (C 137; R 145), 59, 60 (C 142; R 150) and 61</td>
<td>32, (C 91, 93, 94, 96, 97; R 84, 85, 86, 87), 33, 35 (C 101; R 93, 94, 95), 37, 38, 39, 40 (C 107; R 103, 104), 41, 42 (C 110; R 110), 43 (R 112), 45 (R 115), 46 (R 116, 117), 47, 48 (C 120, 121; R 120, 121, 122), 49 (R 123, 124, 125), 50, 58 (C 138; R 146), 60 (C 141, 143; R 149, 151), 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>31 to 59</td>
<td>60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Sessions of which the adopted texts have been submitted to the authorities considered as competent by governments</td>
<td>Sessions of which the adopted texts have not been submitted (including cases in which no information has been supplied)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>32 to 61</td>
<td>62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>31 to 59 and 63 (C 148; R 156)</td>
<td>60, 61, 62 and 63 (C 149; R 157)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivory Coast</td>
<td>45 to 61 and 63</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>47 to 53, 54 (C 131; R 135), 55 to 60</td>
<td>54 (C 132; R 136), 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>35 to 63</td>
<td>39, 40 (C 106, 107; R 103, 104), 41, 42 (C 110; R 110), 43, 44, 45 (R 115), 46 (R 116, 117, 119, 121, 122), 49 (R 123, 124, 125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>40 (C 105), 42 (C 111; R 111), 45 (C 116), 46 (C 117, 118), 47 (C 119), 48 (C 120, 122), 49 (C 123, 124), 50 (R 127), 51 (C 127; R 129, 130, 131), 53 and 56</td>
<td>50 (C 125, 126; R 126), 51 (C 128; R 128), 52, 54, 55, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>48 to 63</td>
<td>48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>45 to 63</td>
<td>48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao Republic</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>31 (C 88, 89, 90; R 83) 32 (C 95, 98; R 85), 34 (C 100; R 90), 35 (C 102, 103), 40 (C 105, 106, R 103), 42 (C 111; R 111), 44 (C 115), 45 (C 116), 46 (C 117, 118), 47 (C 119), 48 (C 120, 121, 122), R 120, 122, 49 (C 123, 124), 50 (C 125, 126), 51 (C 127, 128), R 128), 53 (C 129, 130) and 54 (C 131; R 135)</td>
<td>31 (C 87), 32 (C 91, 92, 93, 94, 96, 97; R 84, 86, 87), 33, 34 (C 99; R 89, 91, 92), 35 (C 101; R 93, 94, 95), 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 (C 107; R 104), 41, 42 (C 110; R 110), 43, 44 (R 113, 114), 45 (C 115), 46 (R 116, 117), 47 (R 118, 119), 48 (R 121), 49 (R 123, 124, 125), 50 (R 126, 127), 51 (R 129, 130, 131), 52, 53 (R 133, 134), 54 (C 132; R 136), 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>31 (C 87), 32 (C 92, 98), 38 (C 104), 40 (C 105), 42, 43 (C 112, 113, 114), 48, 49, 50, 55 (C 133; R 140, 141) and 56</td>
<td>31 (C 88, 89, 90; R 83), 32 (C 91, 93, 94, 96, 97; R 84, 85, 86), 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38 (R 99, 100), 39, 40 (C 106, 107; R 103, 104), 41, 43 (R 112), 44, 45, 46, 47, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55 (C 134; R 137, 138, 139, 142), 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libyan Arab Jamahiriya</td>
<td>35 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>31 to 62</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>45 to 54, 56 to 63</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>49 to 54 and 56</td>
<td>55, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>41 to 56</td>
<td>58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Submission to Competent Authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Sessions of which the adopted texts have been submitted to the authorities considered as competent by governments</th>
<th>Sessions of which the adopted texts have not been submitted (including cases in which no information has been supplied)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>44 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>49 to 54</td>
<td>55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>45, 46, 47 (C 119), 48, 49, 50 (C 125, 126), 51 (C 127, 128), 52, 53 and 55</td>
<td>47 (R 118, 119), 50 (R 126, 127), 51 (R 128, 129, 130, 131), 54, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>53 to 58, 59 (C 139; R 147) and 61</td>
<td>59 (C 140; R 148), 60, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>31 to 61</td>
<td>62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mongolia</td>
<td>53 to 61</td>
<td>62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>39 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>54 (C 131), 62 and 63</td>
<td>51, 52, 53, 54 (C 132; R 135, 136), 55, 56, 58, 59, 60 and 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>31 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>31 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>40 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>45 to 51 (C 127; R 128, 129, 130), 52 to 56 (C 135) and 58 (C 138)</td>
<td>51 (C 128; R 131), 56 C 136; R 143, 144, 58 (C 137; R 145, 146), 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>45 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>31 to 61</td>
<td>62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papua-New Guinea</td>
<td>61 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>40 to 61</td>
<td>62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>31 to 43, 44 (C 115), 45 (C 116), 46 (C 117, 118), 47 (C 119), 48 (C 120, 121, 122), 49, 50 (C 125, 126; R 126), 56 and 59 (C 139)</td>
<td>44 (R 113, 114), 45 (R 115), 46 (R 116, 117), 47 (R 118, 119), 48 (R 120, 121, 122), 50 (R 127), 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 58, 59 (C 140; R 147, 148), 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>31 to 60, 61 (R 152) and 62 (R 154, 155)</td>
<td>61 (C 144), 62 (C 145, 146, 147; R 153) and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>31 to 56 and 60 (C 143; R 151)</td>
<td>58, 59, 60 (C 141, 142; R 149, 150), 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Sessions of which the adopted texts have been submitted to the authorities considered as competent by governments</td>
<td>Sessions of which the adopted texts have not been submitted (including cases in which no information has been supplied)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>58 (C 137, 138; R 145) and 59 (R 147)</td>
<td>58 (R 146), 59 (C 139, 140; R 148), 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>39 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>47 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>61 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>44 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seychelles</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>45 to 62 (C 145, 147; R 153, 155)</td>
<td>62 (C 146; R 154) and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>50 to 61</td>
<td>62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>45 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>39 to 60, 61 (R 152) and 62</td>
<td>61 (C 144) and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>31 to 60</td>
<td>61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>39 to 61</td>
<td>62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>31 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrian Arab Republic</td>
<td>31 to 58 (C 138; R 146), 59 (C 139; R 147) and 60 (C 143; R 151)</td>
<td>58 (C 137; R 145), 59 (C 140; R 148), 60 (C 141, 142; R 149, 150), 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>46 to 53</td>
<td>54, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>31 to 60</td>
<td>61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Togo</td>
<td>44 to 59</td>
<td>60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad and Tobago</td>
<td>47 to 62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>39 to 61 and 63</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>47 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukrainian SSR</td>
<td>37 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>58 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>31 to 63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SUBMISSION TO COMPETENT AUTHORITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Sessions of which the adopted texts have been submitted to the authorities considered as competent by governments</th>
<th>Sessions of which the adopted texts have not been submitted (including cases in which no information has been supplied)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Volta</td>
<td>45 to 58, 60 (C 143), 61 and 63 ................................................................................................................</td>
<td>59, 60 (C 141, 142; R 149, 150, 151) and 62 ......................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>31 to 53, 54 (C 131, 132), 55 (C 133, 134), 56, 58 (C 138), 59 (C 140), 62 (C 145, 146, 147; R 154, 155), and 63 (C 149)</td>
<td>54 (R 135, 136), 55 (R 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142), 58 (C 137; R 145, 146), 59 (C 139; R 147, 148), 60, 61, 62 (R 153) and 63 (C 148; R 156, 157)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USSR</td>
<td>37 to 63 ........................................................................................................................................................</td>
<td>— .................................................................................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>31 to 63 ........................................................................................................................................................</td>
<td>— .................................................................................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>33 to 52, 53 (R 133, 134), 54 (R 135, 136), 55 (R 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142), 56 (R 143, 144) and 58 (R 145, 146)</td>
<td>53 (C 129, 130), 54 (C 131, 132), 55 (C 133, 134), 56 (C 135, 136), 58 (C 137, 138), 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>49, 54 (C 131, 132), 56 (C 135), 58 and 59 ..................................................................................................</td>
<td>50, 51, 52, 53, 54, (R 135, 136), 55, 56 (C 136; R 143, 144), 60, 61, 62 and 63 ..........................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yugoslavia</td>
<td>31 to 54, 56 and 59 (C 139; R 147) .............................................................................................................</td>
<td>55, 58, 59 (C 140; R 148), 60 61, 62 and 63 ...............................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaire</td>
<td>45 to 56 and 59 ............................................................................................................................................</td>
<td>58, 60, 61, 62 and 63 ...............................................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>49 to 62 ........................................................................................................................................................</td>
<td>63 .............................................................................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix II. — Over-all position of member States at 22 March 1979

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sessions at which decisions were adopted</th>
<th>Number of States in which, according to information supplied by Government</th>
<th>None of these texts have been submitted (including cases in which no information has been supplied by the Government)</th>
<th>Number of States which were Members of the Organisation at the time of the session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 (June 1948)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 (June 1949)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 (June 1950)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 (June 1951)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 (June 1952)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 (June 1953)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 (June 1954)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 (June 1955)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 (June 1956)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 (June 1957)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 (April/May 1958)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 (June 1958)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 (June 1959)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 (June 1960)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 (June 1961)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 (June 1962)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 (June 1963)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 (June/July 1964)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 (June 1965)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 (June 1966)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 (June 1967)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 (June 1968)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 (June 1969)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 (June 1970)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 (October 1970)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 (June 1971)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58 (June 1973)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59 (June 1974)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 (June 1975)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 (June 1976)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 (October 1976)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 (June 1977)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 At this session the Conference adopted one Recommendation only.