

## Annex 10: Evaluation of the Workshop by Participants

Total number of respondents: 14

Base on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the minimum (least satisfy) and 5 the maximum (most satisfy).

If the question does not apply to the respondents, or he/she does not have information needed to answer it,

He/she could choose “no opinion” option.

The numbers of respondents who respond to each scale are shown under each rankings.

Questions	Ranking						% of most satisfying*	% of least satisfying**
	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion		
1. Quality of information you received before the activity.	1	0	3	4	6	0	42.86%	7.14%
2. Quality of logistic information (transportation to OVTA, etc.)	0	0	0	6	7	1	50.00%	0.00%
3. Quality of the residential hall and accommodations.	0	0	2	2	10	0	71.43%	0.00%
4. Clarity of the workshop/meeting objectives.	0	0	1	4	9	0	64.29%	0.00%
5. Extent to which the contents covered your expectation.	0	0	2	6	6	0	42.86%	0.00%
6. Extent to which the contents were correctly sequenced.	0	1	3	4	5	1	35.71%	0.00%
7. Quality of the materials/medias used during the workshop/meeting.	0	0	0	5	9	0	64.29%	0.00%
8. Overall satisfaction of the learning methods.	0	0	0	7	7	0	50.00%	0.00%
9. Clarity of the lectures by resource persons.	0	0	0	5	9	0	64.29%	0.00%
10. Overall quality of the resource persons	0	0	0	4	10	0	71.43%	0.00%
11. Extent to which you were satisfied with the working relations within the participants group.	0	1	0	4	9	0	64.29%	0.00%

Questions	Ranking						% of most satisfying*	% of least satisfying**
	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion		
12. Usefulness of the sharing of experience, ideas and knowledge with other participants.	0	1	1	6	6	0	42.86%	0.00%
13. Overall quality of the study tour.	0	2	0	7	4	1	28.57%	0.00%
14. Extent to which the activity was well organized.	0	0	0	5	9	0	64.29%	0.00%
15. The quality of the Secretariat (supporting of administrative matters).	0	0	0	2	12	0	85.71%	0.00%
16. Relevance of the activity to your current functions.	0	0	0	7	7	0	50.00%	0.00%
17. Relevance of the activity to the needs of your organization.	0	0	0	6	8	0	57.14%	0.00%
* % of most satisfying is the number of respondents who gave "5" ranking divided by total number of respondents.								
** % of least satisfying is the number of respondents who gave "1" ranking divided by total number of respondents.								

## EVALUATION SUMMARY

Additional comments made by respondents (participants):

### 1) Curriculum

- Should be longer
- Very good
- Would like to see case study and on-the-spot investigation.
- Need more detail
- Should suit the length of time of training programme for full discussion of subject
- Should add some curriculum such as CAD/CAM
- The contents of workshop is good
- Well design
- This curriculum is very important and useful for development of our VET
- Effective and efficient for its purpose

### 2) Resource person

- Calibre of resource person has been very high
- Friendly and active
- Well organized
- Selection is excellent
- The knowledge of resource persons is excellent
- Very good, excellent
- Is available or encourage individual consultations after sessions
- Please speak more slowly and loudly

### 3) Facilities/medias

- Good, very good
- Video camera must be used
- Excellent

### 4) Accommodation

- Accommodation has been first class, clean, tiny and properly located
- Should have refrigerator in each room
- Good
- Excellent

### 5) Selection of participants

- Very good
- More widely
- Should have similar level of work experience as much as possible
- Very good and very active to give contribution on seminar
- Excellent

Other comments for future improvement:

- Materials could be supplied to participants in advance, which would help them in interacting with their organization before attending the workshop.
- Materials if supplied on diskette would be easier to carry.
- Study tour gives first hand information and so must be integrated into the courses.
- I think the presence of observers have been encouraging and also contributed positively during the workshop.
- I would like to suggest that in the future ILO/APSDEP should conduct some workshop for us.
- The workshop should conduct at the technical level (instructors, technical officers) because they can share experience from other participants. Furthermore, they can learn from the workshop of the update information.
- Would like to have the opportunity to see rural training centres. Two additional days would be good.
- This seminar is very short, normally it is longer than this, about 2 weeks
- Please provide references and discussion items in advance.